RTR Appendix

Southern California Edison, Pacific Gas and Electric, Southern California Gas, and San Diego Gas and Electric ("Joint Utilities" or "Joint IOUs") developed Responses to Recommendations (RTR) contained in the evaluation studies of the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency Program Cycle and beyond. This Appendix contains the Responses to Recommendations in the report:

RTR for the Proposer Defined Study: A Roadmap for Accelerating the Adoption of Low-Global Warming Potential HVAC Refrigerants (DNV GL, Calmac ID #CPU0234.01, ED WO #GroupA_HVAC_PD_Y3)

The RTR reports demonstrate the Joint Utilities' plans and activities to incorporate EM&V evaluation recommendations into programs to improve performance and operations, where applicable. The Joint IOUs' approach is consistent with the CPUC Decision (D.) 07-09-043¹ and the Energy Division-Investor Owned Utility Energy Efficiency Evaluation, Measurement and Verification (EM&V) Plan² for 2013 and beyond.

Individual RTR reports consist of a spreadsheet for each evaluation study. Recommendations were copied verbatim from each evaluation's "Recommendations" section.³ In cases where reports do not contain a section for recommendations, the Joint IOUs attempted to identify recommendations contained within the evaluation. Responses to the recommendations were made on a statewide basis when possible, and when that was not appropriate (e.g., due to utility-specific recommendations), the Joint IOUs responded individually and clearly indicated the authorship of the response.

The Joint IOUs are proud of this opportunity to publicly demonstrate how programs are taking advantage of evaluation recommendations, while providing transparency to stakeholders on the "positive feedback loop" between program design, implementation, and evaluation. This feedback loop can also provide guidance to the evaluation community on the types and structure of recommendations that are most relevant and helpful to program managers. The Joint IOUs believe this feedback will help improve both programs and future evaluation reports.

Attachment 7, page 4, "Within 60 days of public release, program administrators will respond in writing to the final report findings and recommendations indicating what action, if any, will be taken as a result of study findings as they relate to potential changes to the programs. Energy Division can choose to extend the 60 day limit if the administrator presents a compelling case that more time is needed and the delay will not cause any problems in the implementation schedule, and may shorten the time on a case-by-case basis if necessary to avoid delays in the schedule."

Page 336, "Within 60 days of public release of a final report, the program administrators will respond in writing to the final report findings and recommendations indicating what action, if any, will be taken as a result of study findings. The IOU responses will be posted on the public document website." The Plan is available at http://www.energydataweb.com/cpuc.

Recommendations may have also been made to the CPUC, the CEC, and evaluators. Responses to these recommendations will be made by Energy Division at a later time and posted separately.

Response to Recommendations (RTR) in Impact, Process, and Market Assessment Studies

Study Title:Proposer Defined Study: A Roadmap for Accelerating the Adoption of Low-Global Warming Potential HVAC RefrigerantsProgram:HVACAuthor:DNV GLCalmac ID:CPU0234.01ED WO:GroupA_HVAC_PD_Y3

Link to Report: http://calmac.org/publications/CPUC_HVAC_Refrigerants_-_PDS_05032021_FinalReport.pdf

				PG&E (if applicable)		SCE (if applicable)		SCG (if applicable)		SDG&E (if applicable)	
ltem #	Findings	Best Practice / Recommendations (Verbatim from Final Report)	Recommendation Recipient	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes						
			If incorrect, please indicate and redirect in notes.	Choose: Accepted, Rejected, or Other	Examples: Describe specific program change, give reason for rejection, or indicate that it's under further review.	Choose: Accepted, Rejected, or Other	Examples: Describe specific program change, give reason for rejection, or indicate that it's under further review.	Choose: Accepted, Rejected, or Other	Examples: Describe specific program change, give reason for rejection, or indicate that it's under further review.	Choose: Accepted, Rejected, or Other	Examples: Describe specific program change, give reason for rejection, or indicate that it's under further review.
1	Flammability is the problem. Additional Supporting Information: The single biggest current barrier of adopting low-GWP refrigerants is the issue of flammability. Virtually all low-GWP alter- native refrigerants with cooling capacity and energy- efficiency performance characteristics similar to refrigerants cur- rently in use are mild to highly flamma- ble. All the HVAC experts we spoke with emphasized the fact that they are not currently permitted to install mildly flammable refrigerants in most common HVAC equipment today. Lab testing per- formed by one of the experts we inter- viewed shows mildly-flammable refriger- ants being considered for near-term adoption are only flammable in condi- tions where high rates of leakage occur in a confined space. Mechanical, fire, and building codes are currently being modified to allow for mildly flammable refrigerants given appropriate measures to minimize risk are in place.	This is a key finding and no recommendation is associated with this finding.	All PAs								
2	Refrigerant transitions take time. Additional Supporting Information: Sec- ondary research and interviews with HVAC-refrigerant experts revealed an ex- tensive list of national organizations, state and local codes, and other authori- ties required to approve the use of new refrigerants in the United States. Any in- crease in flammability or change in tox- icity for a refrigerant extends the length of time for its approval. The United	This is a key finding and no recommendation is associated with this finding.	All PAs								

					PG&E (if applicable)	SCE (if applicable)		SCG (if applicable)			SDG&E (if applicable)	
ltem #	Findings	Best Practice / Recommendations (Verbatim from Final Report)	Recommendation Recipient	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	
	States is a safety-focused society relative to some other industrialized countries. For instance, Europe and Asia are al- ready moving quickly ahead in using mildly flammable refrigerants and to some degree natural refrigerants in HVAC equipment. The proposed HFC re- duction timeline and the recent passing of the American Innovation and Manu- facturing Act of 2020, ensures the transi- tion will happen in California and the rest of the United States eventually.											
3	The refrigerant evolution continues. Additional Supporting Information: The current transition away from high-GWP HFCs towards HFCs with GWP levels <750 is rife with challenges and the likely outcome is still pending. All 10 of the HVAC manufacturers and contractors we spoke with agree the preferred near- term refrigerant candidates are all mildly flammable HFCs. There is one technically viable refrigerant, R-466a (Solstice® N41), that is not flammable under nor- mal conditions and has a GWP just be- low 750. On the surface, these charac- teristics would make R-466a the pre- ferred option, but it has drawbacks. In terms of performance, it falls short of the other leading <750 GWP candidates, and it's relatively unproven. It also con- tains a molecule not traditionally used in refrigeration that is concerning because of its slight ozone-depleting potential. Six experts we spoke with at length about R-466a see it as a step in the wrong direction. All experts we talked to said manufacturers are transitioning to mildly flammable refrigerants to meet the low-GWP phasedown requirements.	This is a key finding and no recommendation is associated with this finding.	All PAs									
4	The Refrigerant Avoided Cost Calculator is a valuable tool. Additional Supporting Information: The CPUC Refrigerant ACC is a relatively new tool that holds a lot of value. The tool provides users a simple yet informative option for calculating refrigerant carbon- equivalent emission impacts. The tool's approach is based on a well vetted methodology by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Changes (IPCC). Using	The CPUC should con- sider using the DNV GL prototype lifetime GWP calculator to update the current CPUC Refriger- ant ACC. The research findings of this study provide the data needed to expand the outputs of the current CPUC Refrigerant ACC		Rejected	We can't recommend any tool with- out a proper review and scrutiny of such; please provide the tool and documentation in order to PG&E and other PAs to do our own evalu- ation.	Other	Per CPUC guidance, SCE will be lev- eraging CPUC's RACC tool - 2021 ACC Refrigerant Calculator v1b.xlsx for the evaluation of 2021 EE measures for which there is a varia- tion on refrigerant charge between the based case and measure case. To the discretion of CPUC, future enhancements and/or improve- ments to their RACC can be di- rected and/or supported in future	Other	N/A	Other	Per CPUC DEER 2023 Resolution E- 5152, all PAs are to use CPUC Re- frigerant Avoid Cost Calculator as stated in section C.6 Refrigerant Avoid Cost and per Attachment A, section 3.6 Refrigerant Avoid Cost, this tool will be utilized for deemed programs. The given recommendation does not provide information on the spe- cific URL website to download the	

					PG&E (if applicable)		SCE (if applicable)		SCG (if applicable)	SDG&E (if applicable)		
ltem #	Findings	Best Practice / Recommendations (Verbatim from Final Report)	Recommendation Recipient	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	
	the IPCC methodology and leveraging CARB emissions estimates, the tool pre- dicts refrigerant impact in a dollar equiv- alent value. Our review of the tool and based on the findings of this research study, the outputs of the tool are very noteworthy but can be further im- proved. For example, the current CPUC Refrigerant ACC tool does not consider the energy performance or refrigerant charge required of alternative refriger- ants.	tool. Using our evalua- tion approach, we de- fined variables impact- ing equipment energy operation and refriger- ant emissions. Using the sourced variables, we developed a prototype add-on tool that calcu- lates energy impacts from alternative refrig- erants as well as re- quired charge-level im- pacts on emissions. The framework of the tool can be extended to measures beyond HVAC refrigerants.					DEER cycles. SCE encourages fur- ther enhancements and improve- ments to CPUC's RACC.				given DNV GL tool to determine if the outputs can be potentially lev- eraged for custom project applica- tions, therefore SDGE will continue to use the CPUC approved refriger- ant Avoided Cost Calculator.	
5	Accurate outputs rely on accurate in- puts. Additional Supporting Information: Many of the estimates both the CPUC and our add-on tools produce are based on CARB emission inventories. Our dis- cussion with CARB determined annual inventories take a year or more to sum- marize and become available for use.	It is important to incor- porate the latest find- ings when estimating future impacts. Some operational leakage rates found in current estimates track higher than our outside re- search indicates. End- of-life emissions could also be tracked better for the purposes of this tool. The outputs of both tools are signifi- cant and noteworthy. Minimizing the uncer- tainties in the tool are that much more im- portant given the signif- icance of the findings.	All PAs	Accepted	Any tool used for the estimating of refrigerant emissions should be up- dated with the latest data. We sug- gest consulting with trade organiza- tions such as ASHRAE or similar or- ganizations.	Other	SCE encourage the enhancement and/or expansion of refrigerant leakage data by CPUC and/or Evalu- ator via both data collection and re- search including but not limited to HVAC technology, e.g., AC (dx) and heat pump for both standard and high efficiencies and similarly for domestic hot water and dryer heat pump technology.	Other	N/A	Other	SDG&E supports the process of evaluating the most current studies to further improve and recalibrate operational leakage rates for refrig- erants based on the given technol- ogy type. And support that the given CPUC Avoid Cost Calculator, future revision updates, need to align with the bi-annual DEER Reso- lution and Business Plan submis- sions, this is recommended to avoid market disruptions.	
6	Mildly flammable HFCs are the near- term solution. Additional Supporting Information: Cur- rently, HVAC contractors are not permit- ted to install mildly flammable refriger- ants in most common HVAC equipment today. The leading mildly flammable HFC candidates for the most common resi- dential and commercial HVAC applica- tions include R-32, R-454B, and R-452B. However, state fire marshal delays now indicate building and fire codes most likely won't be updated to allow these mildly flammable refrigerants for use in	PAs should begin in- cluding a requirement for the use of mildly flammable HFCs into their HVAC incentive programs where these refrigerants are cur- rently permitted. The mildly flammable HFC refrigerants are cur- rently allowed in porta- ble, window and smaller air- conditioners as well as in some larger	All PAs	Accepted	PG&E will consider this recommen- dation for when and where these refrigerants are allowed by law.	Other	We agree that when permitted by Building and Fire Code and local ju- risdictions, statewide HVAC IOU lead, in collaboration with all IOUs, should evaluate cost effective pro- gram strategies that can support and fully align with statewide de- carbonization goals.	Other	N/A	Other	SDG&E is the PA lead for up- stream/mid-stream HVAC and Plug- Load Appliance and will collaborate with Cal TF staff in updating those eTRM measure that can potentially include mildly flammable HFC as permitted by local, state, and fed- eral fire codes, as they become identified and available for program integration.	

					PG&E (if applicable)	SCE (if applicable)			SCG (if applicable)	SDG&E (if applicable)	
ltem #	Findings	Best Practice / Recommendations (Verbatim from Final Report)	Recommendation Recipient	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes
	most California HVAC equipment until January 1, 2024. Web survey responses indicate the HVAC supply chain will likely take around two years from when build- ing and fire code requirements are final- ized to have a representative selection of <750 GWP HVAC refrigerant equip- ment offerings.	commercial settings. As more mildly flammable HFCs become viable with updated building codes, the PAs should provide incentives for those options as well.									
7	The goalposts are still moving. Additional Supporting Information: CARB's latest proposed amendment to regulations on HFCs will delay the transi- tion timing from the current 2023 <750 GWP HFC reduction timeline, to 2025. A public hearing was held on December 10, 2020, with the board voting in favor of adopting the amendment, but the bill is still undergoing approval and likely won't receive final approval until Octo- ber 2021. HVAC experts we spoke with point to updates in the next cycle of Cali- fornia Building and Fire Codes that will include revised policies and guidelines to allow for the use of mildly flammable HFC refrigerants in most major HVAC equipment. Until those updates are re- leased, the goalposts are not set, and the timing of the transition remains un- certain. Once the building and fire codes get finalized, most likely not until the in- terim code update is published in Janu- ary 2024, the policy and timing of Cali- fornia's transition, will be more certain.	In the near-term, the PAs should provide in- centives for the use of reclaimed high-GWP re- frigerants in HVAC equipment. As soon as the building and fire codes allow mildly- flammable HFCs, the PAs should promote these HFCs via their HVAC incentive pro- grams.	All PAs	Other	The industry maybe a bit reluctant going through another transitioning refrigerant such as the case CFCs to HFCs and therefore reluctant to adopt a temporary solution. Ex- tending the adoption of new regu- lations is probably the result of pre- vious mishaps.	Other	We agree that when permitted by Building and Fire Code and local ju- risdictions, statewide HVAC IOU lead, in collaboration with all IOUs, should evaluate cost effective pro- gram strategies that can support and fully align with statewide de- carbonization goals.	Other	N/A	Other	SDG&E is the PA lead for up- stream/midstream HVAC and is reg- ularly collaborating with the third- party implementer (CLEAResult) on meeting the latest regulatory and cost effectiveness test require- ments. SDG&E and its third-party implementer plan to act once CARB regulation changes and mildly flam- mable refrigerants for major HVAC equipment are approved for use by the latest fire code. Providing incentives for reclaimed high-GWP refrigerants requires CPUC ex-ante review and approval and has the potential of being re- jected based on free-ridership given that reclaiming of refrigerants is re- quired by law. URL https://www.epa.gov/ods- phaseout/homeowners-and-con- <u>sumers-frequently-asked-questions</u> "Under Section 608 of the Clean Air Act, EPA prohibits individuals from knowingly venting refrigerants con- taining ozone-depleting refrigerants (including HCFC-22) as well as their substitutes (such as HFCs, including R-410A), while maintaining, servic- ing, repairing, or disposing of AC and refrigeration equipment".
8	Natural refrigerants are the long-term solution. Additional Supporting Information: The refrigerant evolution will not stop with mildly flammable HFCs. The HFC reduc- tion goals mean that in the next 10-20 years, HVAC equipment will need to push past <750 GWP HFCs towards re- frigerants with GWP levels below 150. Findings from literature reviews and in- terviews with HVAC experts all indicate the long-term goal is refrigerants with	Promote natural refrig- erants. The CPUC should recommend the PAs promote the use of natural refrigerants wherever code permits. Incentives should be di- rected towards acceler- ating the use of natural HVAC refrigerants over HFCs and HFOs. We rec- ommend the PAs pro-	All PAs	Accepted	Natural refrigerants seem to be the optimum solution, investing and promoting the adoption of natural refrigerants should be a priority for every organization	Other	To the extent permitted by Building and Fire Code and local jurisdic- tions, we understand that statewide IOU leads (for Emerging Technology, Custom, and HVAC programs), in collaboration with all IOUs, should evaluate cost effective program strategies that can sup- port and fully align with statewide decarbonization goals.	Other	N/A	Other	SDG&E is the PA lead for up- stream/midstream HVAC and is reg- ularly collaborating with the third- party implementer (CLEAResult) on meeting the latest regulatory and cost effectiveness requirements. SDG&E and its third-party imple- menter plan to act once CARB regu- lation changes and natural refriger- ants for major HVAC equipment are approved for use by the latest fire code.

					PG&E (if applicable)		SCE (if applicable)		SCG (if applicable)		SDG&E (if applicable)
ltem #	Findings	Best Practice / Recommendations (Verbatim from Final Report)	Recommendation Recipient	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes
	GWP levels close to 1. Natural refriger- ants like pure CO2, R-290 (propane), and ammonia have GWP levels below 10, but also require significant HVAC system re- designs before they can be made widely available.	mote natural refriger- ants either through the emerging technology program, under custom programs, or any other HVAC program.									The promotional use of natural HVAC refrigerants is largely de- pendent on meeting CPUC cost ef- fectiveness for deemed eTRM measures, and with local third- party implementers, statewide ET program, and upstream/mid- stream program third-party admin- istrators.
9	Significant barriers limit refrigerant-only retrofits. Additional Supporting Information: In all 12 interviews with HVAC experts in which we discussed refrigerant only change-outs, the interviewees reported extensive challenges to changing refrig- erants in most existing HVAC equipment. A refrigerant-only changeout would in- clude simply replacing the existing refrig- erant with a low-GWP alternative refrig- erant with only minimal alterations to the equipment. The list of barriers in- cludes various code violations including mechanical, fire and building codes, the need for partial system redesign once codes permit mildly flammable refriger- ants, authorization from the manufac- turer, authorization from the owner, and the need for the action to be cost-effec- tive. Web- survey responses show in- creased feasibility for refrigerant-only retrofits on commercial HVAC equip- ment and chillers.	PAs should only con- sider the option of re- frigerant-only changeouts in larger HVAC equipment like chillers and commercial roof- top units. The cost of replacing larger exist- ing HVAC equipment is substantially higher than the cost and chal- lenges of a refrigerant only change-out.	All PAs	Other	New refrigerants may not compati- ble with existing system compo- nents (seals for example) and the oil. We learned our lesson during the last refrigerant phased out. PAs should consider these facts before creating programs, this could change the TRCs.	Other	As now, due to decarbonization goals and cost effectiveness of these measures, SCE has discontin- ued Residential RCA (deemed) measures for PY2022. Similarly, as we understand from SDGE, the lead HVAC IOU has discontinued deemed RCA measures for the com- mercial sector for PY2022.	Other	N/A	Other	This given recommendation is best addressed by the current custom project review process (CMPA) given that each customer project site varies and likely requires an ex- isting condition report, and pre/post measurement and evalua- tion (M&V) plan.
10	End-of-life emissions are alarming. Additional Supporting Information: Our research on CARB and EPA emission esti- mates show emissions either from inten- tional venting, improper disposal, or leaks during transport, are highest for residential and small commercial HVAC equipment. Everyone we spoke with said there is little to no incentive for contrac- tors to recover and reclaim existing re- frigerant in smaller equipment. All con- tractors we spoke with said the laws reg- ulating intentional venting come with virtually zero enforcement. Among the people we spoke with and surveyed about refrigerant emissions, the most common solution shared is to offer in- centives.	Provide incentives for safe and documented end-of-life refrigerant recovery. PAs should re- quire the safe and doc- umented recovery of remaining end-of-life refrigerant a prerequi- site for any HVAC sys- tem change-out incen- tive. Providing incen- tives to promote end- of-life refrigerant recov- ery would not only re- duce emissions but it will help track the rate of end- of-life emissions	All PAs	Other	PA incentive programs are designed to promote energy savings not re- frigerant recovery. Programs will have to account for the expense of paying for used refrigerants. The TRC will have to be adjusted.	Accepted	SCE understands that the statewide HVAC IOU lead will likely be sup- porting the evaluation and creation of non-resource programs support- ing the safe and documented recov- ery of remaining end-of-life refrig- erant. SCE supports these activities.	Other	N/A	Other	SDG&E is currently evaluating the possibility of developing a new ap- pliance recycling measure for refrig- erator and freezers, for market sup- port. Providing incentives for reclaimed end-of-life refrigerants requires CPUC ex-ante review and approval and has the potential of being re- jected based on free-ridership given that reclaiming of refrigerants is re- quired by law. URL https://www.epa.gov/ods- phaseout/homeowners-and-con- sumers-frequently-asked-questions "Under Section 608 of the Clean Air Act, EPA prohibits individuals from

					PG&E (if applicable)		SCE (if applicable)		SCG (if applicable)		SDG&E (if applicable)
ltem #	Findings	Best Practice / Recommendations (Verbatim from Final Report)	Recommendation Recipient	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes	Disposi- tion	Disposition Notes
		and improve future esti- mates.									knowingly venting refrigerants con- taining ozone-depleting refrigerants (including HCFC-22) as well as their substitutes (such as HFCs, including R-410A), while maintaining, servic- ing, repairing, or disposing of AC and refrigeration equipment".
11	Refrigerant charge adjustments cause emissions to increase. Additional Supporting Information: Our research on CARB and EPA emissions es- timates shows operational refrigerant leakage stems from system leakage and incidental leaks when servicing the sys- tem. These types of emissions increase every time refrigerant is added to a sys- tem. Whenever hoses and gauges are at- tached to a unit, some minor leakage will occur. Significant leakage can occur be- cause of a temperamental valve or a sys- tem getting overcharged. Feedback from HVAC contractors indicates that the standard practice is to avoid even check- ing the refrigerant charge unless all other common issues are ruled out, but this was not standard practice until just recently. Historical evaluated energy ef- ficiency performance for air-conditioner maintenance programs focusing on re- frigerant charge adjustments demon- strate these activities often provide min- imal energy performance improvements.	Stop funding refrigerant charge adjustments. PAs should consider dis- continuing any HVAC maintenance programs that promote refriger- ant charge adjustments. PAs should educate HVAC contractors about the problems associ- ated with pro-active re- frigerant charge adjust- ments and promote best practices for as- sessing charge levels without tapping refrig- erant lines.	All PAs	Accepted	PG&E sunset refrigerant adjust- ments back in 2020.	Other	As now, due to decarbonization goals and cost effectiveness of these measures, SCE has discontin- ued Residential RCA (deemed) measures for PY2022. Similarly, SCE understands from SDGE, that the lead HVAC IOU has discontinued deemed RCA measures for the com- mercial sector for PY2022. Further, SCE understands that the statewide HVAC IOU lead will likely be sup- porting the evaluation and creation of non-resource programs to edu- cate HVAC contractors about the problems associated with proactive refrigerant charge adjustments and promote best practices for as- sessing charge levels without tap- ping refrigerant lines. SCE supports these activities.	Other	N/A	Accepted	All Refrigerant Charge Adjustments (RCA) deemed measures are set to sunset and expire on 12/31/2021. SDGE is currently solicitating for the downstream HVAC Quality Installa- tion/ Quality Maintenance program and will look to work with the suc- cessful bidder to incorporate this recommendation.