CALMAC Study ID CPU0035.01 Volume 10 of 15 Appendix I

Embedded Energy in Water Studies Study 1: Statewide and Regional Water-Energy Relationship

Prepared by GEI Consultants/Navigant Consulting, Inc.

Prepared for the California Public Utilities Commission Energy Division

Managed by California Institute for Energy and Environment

August 31, 2010

Appendix I Desalination and Recycled Water Supply

I.1 Desalination

A key assumption in the model is that as population grows and surface water is limited, recycled water and desalination will be relied upon to meet demand. To project how much desalination will be used in each region, the Study Team relied upon applications for new ocean and brackish water desalination plants. Assuming that all of the proposed plants are in operation by 2030, the total capacity of desalination is the sum of the current capacity and the projected new capacity. Table 1 shows desalination capacity by hydrologic region.

| Table 1. Desalination (| Capacity by Region |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Dos | alination Canacity (AEV) |

| | | Desalination Capacity (AFY) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------|---------|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| | | NC | SF | СС | SC | SR | SJ | TL | NL | SL | CR |
| Current | Brackish | 0 | 3,900 | 0 | 86,187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ocean | 0 | 0 | 2,504 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Projected | Brackish | 0 | 0 | 28,000 | 60,344 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,000 |
| | Ocean | 0 | 0 | 21,818 | 240,115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Capacity (2030) | Brackish | 0 | 3,900 | 28,000 | 146,531 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,000 |
| | Ocean | 0 | 0 | 24,322 | 240,263 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

I.1.1 Ocean Desalination

Current and projected ocean desalination capacity was obtained through the California Coastal Commission's 2004 report, Seawater Desalination and the California Coastal Act. This report lists existing facilities and their capacities, as well as proposed facilities and their capacities. Existing capacities are relatively small, almost 3,000 AFY. The total output of existing and proposed facilities, about 260,000 AFY, represents an 80-fold increase in desalination

-

¹ http://www.coastal.ca.gov/energy/14a-3-2004-desalination.pdf

production. Table 2 and Table 3 below show existing and proposed desalination facilities by region, respectively.

Table 2. Existing Ocean Desalination Capacity

| Existing Facilities | Operator | Region | AFY |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------|------|
| Gaviota | Chevron | CC | 460 |
| Morro Bay | PG&E | CC | 482 |
| Moss Landing | Duke Energy | CC | 537 |
| Marina Coast Water District | Marina Coast WD | CC | 335 |
| Monterey | Monterey Bay Aquarium | CC | 45 |
| Diablo Canyon | PG&E | CC | 645 |
| Santa Catalina | Santa Catalina Island | SC | 148 |
| Total | | | 2652 |

Table 3. Proposed Ocean Desalination Facilities

| Proposed Facilities | Operator | Region | AFY |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|
| Cambria | Cambria Community | CC | 560 |
| | Services District | | |
| Monterey | Ocean View Plaza | CC | 6 |
| Sand City | City of Sand City | CC | 30 |
| Santa Cruz | City of Santa Cruz | CC | 2800 |
| Long Beach | City of Long Beach | SC | 335 |
| Long Beach | City of Long Beach | SC | 11000 |
| Los Angeles | LADWP | SC | 11000 |
| Monterey | Monterey Bay Shores | CC | 22 |
| Sand City | Monterey Peninsula | CC | 8400 |
| | Water and Sanitation | | |
| | District | | |
| Moss Landing | Cal-Am | CC | 10000 |
| Orange County | MWD of Orange County | SC | 30000 |
| Huntington Beach | Poseidon Resources | SC | 55000 |
| San Diego | San Diego County Water | SC | 55000 |
| | Authority | | |
| Carlsbad | San Diego County Water | SC | 55000 |
| | Authority and Poseidon | | |
| | Resources | | |
| San Diego | US Navy | SC | 780 |
| West Basin | West Basin MWD | SC | 22000 |
| Total | | | 261933 |

I.1.2 Brackish Water Desalination

Current and projected brackish water desalination was obtained through two sources. First, current and projected capacity was taken from a presentation given by Orange County Water District at a Groundwater Conference in 2005.² Then, further current capacity was taken from a 2007 groundwater assessment study done by MWD.³ Table 4 and Table 5 show the current and projected capacity of groundwater desalination by region, respectively.

Table 4. Existing Brackish Desalination Capacity

| Existing Facilities | Participating Agency | Location | HR | Yield (AFY) |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|----|----------------|
| Arlington Basin Groundwater Desalter Project | Western Municipal Water District | City of Riverside | SC | 6100 |
| Beverly Hills Desalter Project | City of Beverly Hills | City of Beverly Hills | SC | 2600 |
| Juan Well Filter Facility | Central Basin Municipal Water District | City of Hawaiian Gardens | SC | 900 |
| Lower Sweetwater River Basin Groundwater Demineralization Project | San Diego County Water Authority | National City | SC | 3600 |
| Madrona Desalination Facility Project | City of Torrance | City of Torrance | SC | 2400 |
| Menifee Basin Desalter Project | Eastern Municipal Water District | Sun City | SC | 3360 |
| Mesa Consolidated Colored Water Treatment Facility | Municipal Water District of Orange County | City of Costa Mesa | SC | 11300 |
| Oceanside (Mission Basin) Desalter Project Phase I and II | San Diego County Water Authority | City of Oceanside and Camp Pendleton | SC | 6500 |
| Temescal Basin Desalting Facility Project | Western Municipal Water District | City of Corona | SC | 10000 |
| Tustin Desalter Project | Municipal Water District of Orange County | City of Tustin | SC | 3271 |
| West Basin Desalter Project | West Basin Municipal Water District | City of Torrance | SC | 1524 |
| Chino Basin Desalination Program | Western Municipal Water District and Inland Empire Utilities Agency | City of Chino Hills | SC | 24600 |
| Glenwood Nitrate Water Reclamation Plant –Cresenta Valley | Crescenta Valley Water District | City of La Crescenta | SC | 1032 |
| Alameda County WD – Phase 2 Desalter | Alameda County Water District | Alameda County | SF | 3900 |

² http://www.lib.berkeley.edu/WRCA/WRC/pdfs/Everest.pdf

http://www.mwdh2o.com/mwdh2o/pages/yourwater/groundwater/2007_groundwater_projects.xls

| Irvine Desalter –Orange County Basin | Irvine Ranch Water District (IRWD) and the Orange County Water District (OCWD) | City of El Toro | SC | 9000 |
|---|--|-----------------|----|--------|
| Total | | | | 90,087 |

Table 5. Proposed Brackish Desalination Capacity

| Proposed Facilities | Participating Agency | Location | HR | Yield (AFY) |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|----|----------------|
| Recovery Enhancement | Eastern Municipal Water District | Sun City | SC | 11,200 |
| San Pasqual Basin – Phase III | San Diego County Water District | San Diego | SC | 5000 |
| Desalination Project | Coachella Valley Water District | Coachella Valley | CR | 11000 |
| Metals Recovery from Brine | Calleguas Municipal Water District | Simi Valley | SC | 30000 |
| Pajaro FS | San Benito County Water District | Hollister | CC | 28000 |
| San Diego Formation Project – Phase II | San Diego County Water District | San Diego | SC | 10000 |
| Arlington Desalter Expansion | Western Municipal Water District | Riverside | SC | 4144 |
| Total | | | | 99,344 |

Using these new projected new brackish and ocean desalination plants, the Study Team can estimate the future capacity of desalination under different scenarios. First, under Scenario 1, the state builds only the brackish water desalination plants by 2020, and will not build any ocean desalination plants. Under Scenario 2, the state builds only the ocean desalination plants. Half of the planned ocean capacity will be built by 2020, and the remaining half will be built by 2030. The resulting total desalination capacity by hydrologic region under each scenario is presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Total Desalination Capacity (TAF)

| | | NC | SF | CC | SC | SR | SJ | TL | NL | SL | CR |
|------------|------|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Scenario 1 | 2020 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2030 | 0 | 4 | 31 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenario 2 | 2020 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2030 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

I.2 Recycled Water

To project future capacity of recycled water, first a baseline estimate is needed. The Study Team relied upon a 2002 Statewide Recycled Water Survey from the California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB).⁴ This report lists current recycled water capacity by county⁵. Using the county divisions, the Study Team was able to group recycled water capacity by hydrologic regions. Table 7 shows current recycled water capacity by hydrologic region.

| Table 7. Current Recycled Water Capacity | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Hydrologic Region | Current Capacity (AFY) | | | | | | |
| NC | 17,346 | | | | | | |
| SF | 40,370 | | | | | | |
| CC | 25,295 | | | | | | |
| SC | 275,494 | | | | | | |
| CR | 9,747 | | | | | | |
| NL | 5,758 | | | | | | |
| SL | 18,753 | | | | | | |
| SJ | 33,547 | | | | | | |
| SR | 10,139 | | | | | | |
| TL | 108,532 | | | | | | |
| Total | 544,980 | | | | | | |

To project future capacity, the Study Team used the SWRCB's Recycled Water Policy⁶, approved in May 2009. This policy states mandated statewide new capacity of recycled water by 2020 and 2030. Further, the policy states 2020 and 2030 goals for new capacity of recycled water, above and beyond the mandates. These mandates and goals are shown in Table 8.

| Table 8. Recycled Water | Capacity Mandates and Goals |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|

| Policy | Capacity (TAF) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Mandated New Capacity in 2020* | 200 |
| Mandated New Capacity in 2030* | 300 |
| Goal New Capacity in 2020* | 1000 |
| Goal New Capacity in 2030* | 2000 |

^{*}increase above 2002 capacity

⁴http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/grants_loans/water_recycling/docs/wr2002survey_attc.pdf ⁵ A new survey is currently underway, but the SWRCB is still collecting data, so the Study Team must rely on 2002

capacity as the current capacity.

⁶http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/water_recycling_policy/docs/recycledwaterpolicy_approved.pdf

The Study Team spread the mandates and goals for new recycled water construction across the ten hydrologic regions. To do so, the Study Team took the urban demand from the Regional Water Balances, and applied the percentage of urban demand used in each hydrologic region to the projected statewide new construction. The result is shown below in Table 9.

Table 9. Expected New Capacity by Hydrologic Region (TAF)

| | Statewide | NC | SF | CC | SC | SR | SJ | TL | NL | SL | CR |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Urban Use (TAF) - Baseline | 9,761.5 | 161.3 | 1,134.2 | 313.2 | 4,531.5 | 1,010.3 | 713.8 | 745.1 | 42.5 | 314.8 | 794.8 |
| Percent of Statewide | 100% | 2% | 12% | 3% | 46% | 10% | 7% | 8% | 0% | 3% | 8% |
| Mandated 2020 | 200 | 3.3 | 23.2 | 6.4 | 92.8 | 20.7 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 16.3 |
| Mandated 2030 | 300 | 5.0 | 34.9 | 9.6 | 139.3 | 31.0 | 21.9 | 22.9 | 1.3 | 9.7 | 24.4 |
| Goal 2020 | 1000 | 16.5 | 116.2 | 32.1 | 464.2 | 103.5 | 73.1 | 76.3 | 4.4 | 32.3 | 81.4 |
| Goal 2030 | 2000 | 33.0 | 232.4 | 64.2 | 928.4 | 207.0 | 146.3 | 152.7 | 8.7 | 64.5 | 162.8 |

Using these new construction mandates and goals, the Study Team can estimate the future capacity of recycled water under different scenarios. First, under Scenario 1, the state builds only the mandated new capacity. Under Scenario 2, the state builds the goal capacity. The resulting total recycled water capacity by hydrologic region under each scenario is presented in Table 10.

Table 10. Total Recycled Water Capacity (TAF)

| | | NC | SF | CC | SC | SR | SJ | TL | NL | SL | CR |
|------------|------|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|
| Scenario 1 | 2020 | 21 | 41 | 24 | 110 | 38 | 32 | 33 | 18 | 24 | 34 |
| | 2030 | 22 | 52 | 27 | 157 | 48 | 39 | 40 | 19 | 27 | 42 |
| Scenario 2 | 2020 | 34 | 134 | 49 | 482 | 121 | 90 | 94 | 22 | 50 | 99 |
| | 2030 | 50 | 250 | 82 | 946 | 224 | 164 | 170 | 26 | 82 | 180 |