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## Appendix 1

## Sample Tariff, Sample Bill Insert, and Sample Shadow Bill

The sample tariff is for the PG\&E CPP-F rate. The sample bill insert is for the SDGE residential CPP-F high Summer rate. The sample shadow bill is for the SDG\&E CPP-F rate.

## SCHEDULE E-3-EXPERIMENTAL RESIDENTIAL CRITICAL PEAK PRICING SERVICE

| APPLICABILITY: | This schedule is applicable to residential bundled service customers who have been <br> selected by PG\&E to participate in the Statewide Pricing Pilot (SPP) as directed by the <br> California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) in Decision 03-03-036. Customers have <br> the option to decline to participate and return to their applicable tariff schedule. This is <br> an experimental schedule and shall remain in effect until December 31, 2004 or until <br> cancelled by the CPUC. |
| :--- | :--- |
| The experimental rates applicable under this schedule have been designed to test <br> customer response to different prices than are applicable under PG\&E's standard <br> residential tariff, Schedule E-1. The SPP is constructed as a statistical experiment, with <br> an experimental design with sample groups of customers paying different types of <br> experimental time-of-use prices. PG\&E will randomly assign selected customers to <br> either Rate A or Rate B of this schedule. Depending on how customers use their <br> energy, their bills under this rate schedule may be higher or lower than the bills they <br> would have had under Schedule E-1. Customers who remain in the SPP and on this <br> rate for specified time periods will be eligible to receive a Participation Appreciation <br> Payment, as described under Special Condition 2. |  |
| A customer taking service under this schedule may be eligible for a 20 percent |  |
| California Alternative Rates for Energy (CARE) discount on their bill, if all terms and <br> conditions of PG\&E's low income residential tariff are met. |  |
| PG\&E's entire service territory. |  |

Pacific Gas and Electric Company San Francisco, California

## SCHEDULE E-3-EXPERIMENTAL RESIDENTIAL CRITICAL PEAK PRICING SERVICE (Continued)

RATES: RATE A

|  | Transmission | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distribu- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Public <br> Purpose Programs | Generation | Nuclear Decommissioning | DWR Bond | FTA | Reliability Services | Total Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. ENERGY CHARGE: (\$ per kWh per SUMMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Super Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | $0.65734(\mathrm{R})$ | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.73821 |
| Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | $0.16464(\mathrm{R})$ | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.24551 |
| Off-Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | (0.00266)(R) | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.07821 |
| Baseline Credit, deduction per kWh of baseline use | - | 0.01732 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.01732 |
| ENERGY CHARGE: <br> (\$ per kWh per WINTER Month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Super Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | 0.47465 | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.55552 |
| Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | 0.25465 | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.33552 |
| Off-Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | 0.02465 | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.10552 |
| Baseline Credit, deduction per kWh of baseline use | - | 0.01732 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.01732 |
| MINIMUM ENERGY CHARGE: (\$/Meter/Day) | 0.00756 | 0.12009 | 0.00188 | 0.03205 | 0.00021 |  |  | 0.00248 | 0.16427 |
| TRANSMISSION REVENUE BALANCING ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENT RATE: (per kWh per Month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (0.00230) | - | - | 0.00230 | - | - | - | - | 0.00000 |
| 2. ENERGY PROCUREMENT SURCHARGE: <br> (per kWh, applies to all usage) | - | - | - | 0.01000 | - | - | - | - | 0.01000 |
| 3. ADDITIONAL ENERGY PROCUREMENT SURCHARGES: (per kWh, usage in specified tiers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 3-131\%-200\% of baseline | - | - | - | 0.05124 | - | - | - | - | 0.05124 |
| Tier 4-201\%-300\% of baseline | - | - | - | 0.09517 | - | - | - | - | 0.09517 |
| Tier 5-over 300\% of baseline | - | - | - | 0.11505 | - | - | - | - | 0.11505 |

Advice Letter No. 2382-E
Decision No. 03-03-036
48472

Date Filed
May 27, 2003

Effective $\qquad$ Resolution No.

May 27, 2003
April 1, 2003

## SCHEDULE E-3-EXPERIMENTAL RESIDENTIAL CRITICAL PEAK PRICING SERVICE (Continued)

| RATES: RA | E B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Transmission | Distribution | Public Purpose Programs | Generation | Nuclear Decommissioning | DWR Bond | FTA | Reliability Services | Total Rate |  |
| 1. ENERGY CHARGE: (\$ per kWh per SUMMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Super Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | 0.46634(R) | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.54721 | (T) |
| Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | $0.14634(\mathrm{R})$ | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.22721 |  |
| Off-Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | $0.03634(\mathrm{R})$ | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.11721 |  |
| Baseline Credit, deduction per kWh of baseline use | - | 0.01732 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.01732 |  |
| ENERGY CHARGE: <br> (\$ per kWh per WINTER Month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Super Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | 0.65065(R) | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.73152 | (T) |
| Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | 0.04065(R) | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.12152 |  |
| Off-Peak | 0.00503 | 0.05267 | 0.00432 | $0.03565(\mathrm{R})$ | 0.00048 | 0.00513(N) | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.11652 |  |
| Baseline Credit, deduction per kWh of baseline use | - | 0.01732 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.01732 |  |
| MINIMUM ENERGY CHARGE: (\$/Meter/Day) | 0.00756 | 0.12009 | 0.00188 | 0.03205 | 0.00021 |  |  | 0.00248 | 0.16427 |  |
| TRANSMISSION REVENUE BALANCING ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENT RATE: (per kWh per Month) | (0.00230) | - | - | 0.00230 | - | - | - | - | 0.00000 |  |
| 2. ENERGY PROCUREMENT SURCHARGE: <br> (per kWh, applies to all usage) | - | - | - | 0.01000 | - | - | - | - | 0.01000 |  |
| 3. ADDITIONAL ENERGY PROCUREMENT SURCHARGES: <br> (per kWh, usage in specified tiers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 3-131\%-200\% of baseline | - | - | - | 0.05124 | - | - | - | - | 0.05124 |  |
| Tier 4-201\%-300\% of baseline | - | - | - | 0.09517 | - | - | - | - | 0.09517 |  |
| Tier 5-over 300\% of baseline | - | - | - | 0.11505 | - | - | - | - | 0.11505 |  |

Advice Letter No. 2382-E
Decision No. 03-03-036
48474

Date Filed
May 27, 2003

Effective $\qquad$ Resolution No.

## SCHEDULE E-3-EXPERIMENTAL RESIDENTIAL CRITICAL PEAK PRICING SERVICE (Continued)

RATES: 4. RATE APPLICABILITY
Generation is calculated residually based on the total rate less the sum of the following: Transmission, Distribution, Public Purpose Programs, Nuclear
Decommissioning, Department of Water Resources Bond ("DWR Bond"), FTA, and Reliability Services.

Energy Procurement Surcharges are calculated as specified in Schedule E-EPS. They provide an increase in revenues, subject to refund or adjustment, for the purpose of improving utility recovery of the costs of procuring future energy costs in the wholesale market. This energy procurement surcharge applies everywhere PG\&E provides electric service. The $\$ 0.01$ per kWh Energy Procurement Surcharge is charged to all electric service customers (including direct access customers), except customers taking service on the California Alternative Rates for Energy (CARE) program, and customers taking service on Schedule E-DEPART. The Additional Energy Procurement Surcharge is charged to all bundled service customers, except customers taking service on the California Alternative Rates for Energy (CARE) program or who receive a medical baseline allowance.

Where the minimum charge applies with no usage, the generation charge is calculated residually based on the total minimum charge less the sum of: Transmission, Distribution, Public Purpose Programs, Nuclear Decommissioning, and Reliability Services. Where the minimum charge applies with usage, the total charge will be equal to the total minimum charge above, plus the Energy Procurement Surcharges in Schedule E-EPS. The generation charge for bills with usage is calculated residually based on the total charge less the sum of the following charges: Transmission, Distribution, Public Purpose Programs, Nuclear Decommissioning, DWR Bond, FTA, and Reliability Services.

TIME PERIODS:
super PEAK PERIODS:

Super Peak As defined below
Peak All hours between 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. Weekdays
Off-Peak All other Weekday hours plus Weekends and Holidays
per calendar year and no more than three (3) consecutive days. Up to twelve (12) Critical-Peak pricing periods will be scheduled during the summer billing season, and up to three (3) during the winter billing season. Each customer shall be notified that the Super Peak is effective, by 5:00 p.m. the day prior to implementation of the Super Peak day.

The Super Peak period shall be triggered by one or more of the following:
a. ISO emergencies, as defined as a stage 1 event or higher;
b. Extreme or unusual temperature conditions impacting system demand;
c. PG\&E procurement requirements; and/or
d. PG\&E discretionary events for test purposes, program evaluation or system contingencies.

| Advice Letter No. | $2382-\mathrm{E}$ | Issued by <br> Decision No. | 03-03-036 | Karen A. Tomcala |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## SCHEDULE E-3-EXPERIMENTAL RESIDENTIAL CRITICAL PEAK PRICING SERVICE (Continued)

HOLIDAYS: "Holidays" for the purposes of this rate schedule are New Year's Day, President's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veterans Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day. The dates will be those on which the holidays are legally observed.

SEASONS: The summer season is May 1 through October 31 and the winter season is November 1 through April 30. Bills that include May 1 and November 1 seasonal changeover dates will be calculated by multiplying the applicable daily baseline quantity and rates for each season by the number of days in each season for the billing period.

NOTIFICATION If a CPP event occurs, PG\&E will notify all customers via a dedicated phone line. If the OF A CPP EVENT: customer elects, PG\&E will also notify the customer via an alphanumeric pager that is capable of receiving a text message sent via the Internet, e-mail, or fax.

Receipt of such notice is the responsibility of the participating customer. PG\&E does not guarantee the reliability of the pager system, e-mail system, Internet site, or fax by which the customer may receive a notification.

Date Filed
d Effective $\qquad$ Resolution No

## SCHEDULE E-3-EXPERIMENTAL RESIDENTIAL CRITICAL PEAK PRICING SERVICE (Continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

1. TERMS OF SERVICE: Customer meeting the SPP program criteria shall be randomly selected by PG\&E to receive service under this schedule and participate in the SPP. A customer may elect to change to another applicable rate.
2. PARTICIPATION APPRECIATION PAYMENT: A customer Participation Appreciation Payment of $\$ 25$ will be paid to each participant upon successful competion of the program enrollment process, including provision of necessary demographic survey information. Those customers who continuously remain on their assigned pilot tariff through October 31, 2003, will receive an additional Participation Appreciation Payment of $\$ 75$, and those who continue to participate through April 30,2004 will receive a further additional Participation Appreciation Payment of $\$ 75$.
3. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY: Service under this schedule is restricted to customers randomly selected by PG\&E, as specified by the CPUC in Decision 03-03-036. This schedule shall be available subject to metering availability and communications signal strength. Customer must have telephone service.
4. INFORMATION TREATMENTS: Customer shall receive information regarding the SPP, as well as energy cost management information. Customer shall be requested to provide demographic information for the purposes of the SPP by filling out a survey. The survey information may include, but will not be limited to questions about number
of members in the household, income, end-uses, dwelling size, and age of dwelling. The survey information may include, but will not be limited to questions about numbe
of members in the household, income, end-uses, dwelling size, and age of dwelling. Customer may receive energy usage and cost information throughout the duration of
the SPP. This information may be provided via multiple channels including, but not Customer may receive energy usage and cost information throughout the duration of
the SPP. This information may be provided via multiple channels including, but not limited to: PG\&E bill inserts, printed literature, fax, e-mail, pager, radio and/or web based content accessed via the Internet.
5. METERING: PG\&E will supply, own, and maintain all necessary meters and associated equipment utilized for billings. In addition, and for purposes of monitoring customer load, PG\&E may install, at its expense, load research metering. The customer shall supply, at no expense to PG\&E, a suitable location for meters and associated equipment used for billing and load research.
6. BASELINE RATES: Baseline rates are applicable only to separately metered residential use. PG\&E may require the customer to file with it a Declaration of Eligibility for Baseline Quantities for Residential Rates.
(Continued)

| Advice Letter No. | 2361-E | Issued by | Date Filed | March 25, 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision No. | 03-03-036 | Karen A. Tomcala | Effective | March 25, 2003 |
| 48141 |  | Pagkice Prosidqot Regulatory Retations | Resolution |  |

## SCHEDULE E-3-EXPERIMENTAL RESIDENTIAL CRITICAL PEAK PRICING SERVICE (Continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:
(Cont'd.)
7. BASELINE (TIER 1) QUANTITIES: The following quantities of electricity are to be billed at the rates for baseline use (also see Rule 19 for additional allowances for medical needs):

BASELINE QUANTITIES (kWh PER DAY)

| Baseline <br> Territory* | Code B - Basic Quantities |  | Code H-All-Electric Quantities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Summer | Winter | Summer | Winter |
|  | Tier I | Tier I | Tier I | Tier I |
| P | 15.8 (C) | 12.9 (C) | 19.5 (C) | 31.1 (C) |
| Q | 8.5 \| | 13.0 \| | 10.4 | 21.9 \| |
| R | 17.5 | 12.7 | 22.1 (C) | 29.7 |
| S | 15.8 | 12.8 | 19.5 (C) | 31.2 |
| T | 8.5 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 19.1 |
| V | 8.7 | 10.4 | 15.3 | 24.4 (C) |
| W | 18.7 | 11.9 | 23.8 (C) | 29.2 |
| X | 12.2 | 13.0 | 11.4 (C) | 21.9 (C) |
| Y | 10.8 | 12.9 | 14.5 | 31.1 \| |
| Z | 0.7 (C) | 11.2 (C) | 11.3 | 31.7 (C) |

8. ALL-ELECTRIC QUANTITIES (Code H): All-electric quantities are applicable to service to customers with permanently-installed electric heating as the primary heat source. All-electric quantities are also applicable to service to customers of record as of November 15, 1984, to whom the former Code W (Basic plus Water Heating) lifeline allowance was applicable on May 15, 1984, and who thereafter maintain continuous service at the same location under this schedule.

If more than one electric meter services a residential dwelling unit, the all-electric quantities, if applicable, will be allocated only to the primary meter.
9. ADDITIONAL METERS: If a residential dwelling unit is served by more than one electric meter, the customer must designate which meter is the primary meter and which is (are) the additional meter(s). Only the basic baseline quantities or basic plus medical allowances, if applicable, will be available for the additional meter(s).

* The applicable baseline territory is described in Part A of the Preliminary Statement.
(Continued)

| Advice Letter No. | 2361-E | Issued by | Date Filed | March 25, 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decision No. | 03-03-036 | Karen A. Tomcala | Effective | March 25, 2003 |
|  |  | Veigo Posiderot | Resolution |  |

## SCHEDULE E-3-EXPERIMENTAL RESIDENTIAL CRITICAL PEAK PRICING SERVICE (Continued)

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS:
(Cont'd.)
10. BILLING: A customer's bill is first calculated according to the total rates and conditions above. The following adjustments are made:

BUNDLED SERVICE CUSTOMERS receive supply and delivery services solely from PG\&E. The customer's bill is based on the Total Rate and Conditions set forth above and the Energy Procurement Surcharge (EPS) as provided in Schedule E-EPS. The energy charge is a portion of the customer's total bill determined by multiplying the average price from Schedule EC for Schedule E-1 by the customer's total usage.
11. RATE REDUCTION BOND CREDIT: Pursuant to Public Utilities Code 368.5, customers will continue to receive their 10 percent credit originally mandated by Assembly Bill 1890 and implemented through Public Utilities Code 368(a), by way of a reduction to Generation. The 10 percent credit applies to the Energy Charge rates applicable under this tariff, which is the portion of the total bill representing rates in effect on January 3, 2001, for Bundled Service Customers. The 10 percent bill credit does not apply to increases in the total rates implemented after January 3, 2001.

Additionally, customers eligible for the credit are obligated to pay a Fixed Transition Amount (FTA), also referred to as a Trust Transfer Amount (TTA), as described in Schedule E-RRB and defined in Preliminary Statement Part AS.
12. CALIFORNIA ALTERNATIVE RATES FOR ENERGY (CARE) DISCOUNTS: Customers eligible for PG\&E's low income residential tariffs who are assigned to this rate schedule will pay the following charges. CARE customers do not pay the Energy Procurement Surcharge and Additional Energy Procurement Surcharge rates shown elsewhere in this tariff. The Baseline Credit shown below is applicable to all usage up to the total baseline quantity determined as specified under Special Condition 7 of this schedule.

## RATES: RATE A

|  | Transmission | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distribu- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Public <br> Purpose Programs | Generation | Nuclear <br> Decommissioning | FTA | Reliability Services | Total Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. ENERGY CHARGE: (per kWh per <br> SUMMER Month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Super Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.54133 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.59018 | (T) |
| Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.14717 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.19602 |  |
| Off-Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.01333 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.06218 |  |
| Baseline Credit, deduction per KWh of baseline use | - | 0.01386 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.01386 |  |
| ENERGY CHARGE: (per kWh per |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (T) |
| WINTER Month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Super Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.39518 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.44403 | (T) |
| Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.21918 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.26803 |  |
| Off-Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.03518 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.08403 |  |
| Baseline Credit, deduction per KWh of baseline use | - | 0.01386 | - | - | - | - | - | $0.01386$ |  |
| MINIMUM ENERGY CHARGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRANSMISSION REVENUE <br> BALANCING ACCOUNT <br> ADJUSTMENT RATE <br> $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { per kWh per Month } & (0.00230) & - & - & 0.00230 & - & - & 0.00000\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Advice Letter No. } \begin{array}{l} \text { 2382-E } \\ \text { Decision No. } \end{array} \begin{array}{l} 03-03-036 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | Issued by |  |  | Date Filed |  |  | May 27, 2003 |  |
|  |  | Karen A. Tomcala |  |  | Effective |  |  | April 1, 2003 |  |
|  |  | Paged | Pbesiode |  |  | olution |  |  |  |

## SCHEDULE E-3-EXPERIMENTAL RESIDENTIAL CRITICAL PEAK PRICING SERVICE (Continued)

SPECIAL
CONDITIONS:
RATES:
(Cont'd) RATE B

|  | Transmission | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distribu- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Public <br> Purpose Programs | Generation | Nuclear <br> Decommissioning | FTA | Reliability Services | Total Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. ENERGY CHARGE: (per kWh per SUMMER Month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Super Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.38853 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.43738 |
| Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.13253 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.18138 |
| Off-Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.04453 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.09338 |
| Baseline Credit, deduction per KWh of baseline use | - | 0.01386 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.01386 |
| ENERGY CHARGE: (per kWh per |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WINTER Month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Super Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.53598 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.58483 |
| Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 | 0.04798 | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.09683 |
| Off-Peak | 0.00503 | 0.02696 | 0.00314 |  | 0.00048 | 0.00969 | 0.00355 | 0.09283 |
| Baseline Credit, deduction per KWh of baseline use | - | 0.01386 | - | - | - | - | - | $0.01386$ |
| MINIMUM ENERGY CHARGE per meter per day | 0.00756 | 0.08707 | 0.00136 | 0.03356 | 0.00020 |  | 0.00167 | 0.13142 |
| TRANSMISSION REVENUE BALANCING ACCOUNT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADJUSTMENT RATE per kWh per Month | (0.00230) | - | - | 0.00230 | - | - | - | 0.00000 |

DWR BOND The Department of Water Resources (DWR) Bond Charge was imposed by California CHARGE: Public Utilities Commission Decision 02-01-063, as modified by Decision 02-12-082, and is property of DWR for all purposes under California law. The Bond Charge applies to all retail bundled sales, excluding CARE and Medical Baseline sales. The DWR Bond Charge (where applicable) is included in customers' total billed amounts.

For Medical Baseline Customers, no portion of the rates in this schedule shall be used to pay the DWR Bond Charge. For these customers, Generation will be calculated residually based on the total rate less the sum of: Transmission, Reliability Services, Distribution, Public Purpose Programs, Nuclear Decommissioning, and FTA.

## Statewide Pricing Pilot Customer Information Sheet Rate EECC DRCPPFA

| Account Summary | kWh |
| :--- | ---: |
| Super Peak | 17 |
| On Peak | 117 |
| Off Peak | 1540 |
| Total | 1674 |

The effective rates shown above are your average cost of electricity during each Time-of-Use period during the current billing period. The effective rate will change month-to-month based on your changing usage patterns. These effective rates are intended to offer you a more refined method to encourage conservation during particular time periods when energy is more costly to deliver. SDG\&E hopes this simplified rate presentation makes your energy use choices and their cost impacts more clear than they would be otherwise.

## Shift \& Save Program

Seasonal Cost Comparison

## Customer: Tor Garman

Account: 123456789
Rate: DRCPPV


#### Abstract

The Shift \& Save Pricing Plan has higher electric rates during on peak periods from 2 p.m. -7 p.m. on weekdays and lower rates during off peak periods, weekends and holidays. Up to 15 days a year are "super peak" days, with the highest electric rates. The comparisons show how your bills may change, using your seasonal usage over the past year and your on peak usage, recorded after the installation of your advanced electric meter. Please note that your on peak usage has been recorded for a short time and may vary during the year. You'll receive appreciation payments totaling $\$ 175$ for being on the program through April 30, 2004.


## Your Estimated Summer and Winter Electric Cost <br> Note that Shift \& Save rates change from summer to winter

Your average summer (May-Oct) monthly usage:
Your average winter (Nov-Apr) monthly usage:
Your actual on peak ( 2 p.m. -7 p.m.) usage percentage, since meter installation:
$\Rightarrow$ The average residential customer's on peak usage is $16 \%$.

2731 kWh
2965 kWh
$16 \%$ Note: this may be before you have reduced on peak use.


## Factors Affecting On Peak Usage (2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Weekdays)

The following activities typically cause higher charges when performed during on peak periods:

> Central and room air conditioning
> Laundry (washer, electric dryer)
> Dishwasher
> Extensive cooking (electric range, electric oven)

Electric space heating
Electric water heating
Incandescent lights
Pool pump or spa

The following appliances typically have a much smaller effect:

| Television | Ceiling fans |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stereo | Fluorescent lights |

Electronic gadgets

## Appendix 2

## Map of SPP Sample Distribution by Climate Zone

The sample customer map uses zip codes of customers in the sample. Selected cities are shown.

## SPP Sample Customer Map



Prepared by Charles River Associates
Draft -- Not Audited

## Appendix 3

# Population by Weather Station Used to <br> Create Weather Variables by Climate Zone 

## APPENDIX 3: POPULATION BY WEATHER STATION USED TO CREATE WEATHER VARIABLES BY CLIMATE ZONE

Weather is an important determinant of energy use and a key explanatory variable in the regression models. Consequently, each control and treatment customer in the experiment was assigned by the relevant utility to a specific weather station located in close proximity to the customer, and weather data was gathered for that station. Data from 58 weather stations was used in the analysis. Table 3-1 lists the weather stations that were used and the corresponding customer population associated with each station. The population values were used to calculate climate-zone-specific, weighted averages for the weather variables. When a weather station was included in more than one climate zone, the distribution of control group customers in the experiment assigned to that weather station was used to allocate the station population to each climate zone.

| Table 3-1 <br> Population By Weather Station Used To Calculate Weather Variables by Climate Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Station ID | Weather Area | Population | Zone 1 | Zone 2 | Zone 3 | Zone 4 |
| PG\&E | P05 | Concord | 236,416 |  | X | X |  |
| PG\&E | P06 | Oakland | 280,055 | X | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P07 | San Ramon | 81,199 |  | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P08 | Colma | 94,604 | X | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P09 | Potrero | 295,343 | X |  |  |  |
| PG\&E | P10 | Ukiah | 44,668 | X | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P11 | San Rafael | 186,424 | X | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P12 | Santa Rosa | 161,644 | X | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P13 | Sacramento | 162,848 |  |  | X |  |
| PG\&E | P14 | Belmont | 144,699 | X | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P15 | Milpitas | 491,164 |  | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P16 | Santa Cruz | 82,392 | X |  |  |  |
| PG\&E | P17 | Chico | 84,998 | X | X | X | X |
| PG\&E | P18 | Marysville | 50,534 |  | X | X |  |
| PG\&E | P19 | Red Bluff | 48,078 | X |  |  | X |
| PG\&E | P20 | Auburn | 124,617 | X | X | X |  |
| PG\&E | P21 | Angels Camp | 65,661 | X | X | X | X |
| PG\&E | P22 | Stockton | 235,473 |  |  | X | X |
| PG\&E | P23 | Paso Robles | 31,116 |  | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P24 | Salinas | 114,703 | X | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P25 | Santa Maria | 107,566 | X | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P26 | Eureka | 57,284 | X | X |  |  |
| PG\&E | P27 | Bakersfield | 159,010 |  |  |  | X |
| PG\&E | P28 | Fresno | 327,599 | X |  |  | X |
| PG\&E | P29 | Cupertino | 210,199 | X | X |  |  |
| SCE | E01 | Tulare | 124,357 |  | X | X |  |


| Table 3-1 <br> Population By Weather Station Used To Calculate Weather Variables by Climate Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Station ID | Weather Area | Population | Zone 1 | Zone 2 | Zone 3 | Zone 4 |
| SCE | E02 | Mammoth Lakes | 10,797 |  | X |  |  |
| SCE | E03 | San Dimas | 211,541 |  |  | X |  |
| SCE | E04 | Monterey Park | 415,914 |  | X | X |  |
| SCE | E05 | Ventura | 115,460 |  | X | X |  |
| SCE | E06 | Romoland | 292,609 |  |  | X |  |
| SCE | E07 | Rialto | 353,505 |  |  | X |  |
| SCE | E08 | Moorpark | 141,237 |  | X | X |  |
| SCE | E09 | Rimforest | 44,072 |  | X |  | X |
| SCE | E10 | Valencia | 77,528 |  | X | X |  |
| SCE | E12 | Bishop | 14,271 |  | X |  |  |
| SCE | E13 | Goleta | 66,229 |  | X |  |  |
| SCE | E14 | El Segundo | 206,231 |  | X | X |  |
| SCE | E15 | Long Beach | 321,292 |  | X |  |  |
| SCE | E16 | Westminster | 244,534 |  | X |  |  |
| SCE | E17 | Santa Ana | 713,691 |  | X | X |  |
| SCE | E18 | Cathedral Cit | 91,506 |  |  |  | X |
| SCE | E19 | Blythe | 7,965 |  |  |  | X |
| SCE | E20 | Ridgecrest | 25,362 |  |  |  | X |
| SCE | E21 | Barstow | 14,645 |  |  |  | X |
| SCE | E22 | Lancaster | 90,922 |  |  |  | X |
| SCE | E23 | Victorville | 80,287 |  |  |  | X |
| SCE | E24 | Yucca Valley | 23,239 |  |  |  | X |
| SDG\&E | S01 | Lindbergh Field | 254,600 |  | X |  |  |
| SDG\&E | S02 | Miramar | 190,376 |  | X | X |  |
| SDG\&E | S03 | Montgomery Field | 160,157 |  | X | X |  |
| SDG\&E | S04 | Oceanside Airport | 74,951 |  | X |  |  |
| SDG\&E | S05 | Gillespie Field | 162,609 |  |  | X |  |
| SDG\&E | S06 | Brown Field | 40,693 |  |  | X |  |
| SDG\&E | S07 | Campo | 2,930 |  |  | X |  |
| SDG\&E | S08 | Ramona | 73,202 |  | X | X |  |
| SDG\&E | S09 | Carlsbad | 123,367 |  | X |  |  |

## Appendix 4

## Sample Stratification and Allocation

## APPENDIX 4: SAMPLE STRATIFICATION AND ALLOCATION

Section 2.3 of the main report provided a high level summary of the sample design for the SPP. This appendix summarizes the detailed allocation of samples by treatment, size strata, customer segment and service territory.

### 4.1 RESIDENTIAL SAMPLE ALLOCATION

Within each treatment cell, the samples were optimized to provide the greatest level of accuracy for the pre-specified Bayesian allocations. After stratifying by housing type, the DaleniusHodges method ${ }^{1}$ was used to determine optimal usage cut points, and the Neyman allocation method ${ }^{2}$, which allocates more sample points to strata with greater variance, was applied to increase the explanatory capability of the final sample. For multi-family strata, the allocated sample sizes were small, so these cells were not segmented further based on the Neyman allocation method. Table $4-1$ summarizes the allocation of samples within each cell for the residential CPP-F and TOU rate treatments based on the Dalenius-Hodges and Neyman processes.

Table 4-2 summarizes the shares represented by each strata in the sample and control group populations. As indicated in the table, the primary outcome of the sample allocation process described above is that high usage customers constitute a larger share of the SPP sample than they do in the population at large. The impact estimates and demand models presented in sections 4 through 7 of the main report have been adjusted to reflect differences between the sample and population shares based on the stratification variables.

[^0]Table 4-1
Sample Allocation for Residential Track A CPP-F , TOU, and Control* By Climate Zone, Dwelling Type, and Usage Level

|  |  |  |  | Control |  |  |  | CPP-F |  |  |  | TOU |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Climate Zone | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dwellin } \\ \text { g } \\ \text { Type } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Usage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ \text { Count } \end{gathered}$ | Total | PG\&E | SCE | SDG\&E | Total | PG\&E | SCE | SDG\&E | Total | PG\&E | SCE | SDG\&E |
| 1 | Single | Low | 432,173 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | High | 188,621 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Multiple | All | 406,722 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 1,027,516 | 63 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Single | Low | 1,848,301 | 27 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 51 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 0 |
|  |  | High | 814,877 | 45 | 23 | 16 | 6 | 85 | 44 | 29 | 11 | 22 | 13 | 9 | 0 |
|  | Multiple | All | 1,259,417 | 28 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 53 | 19 | 23 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 3,922,595 | 100 | 43 | 39 | 18 | 188 | 82 | 73 | 33 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| 3 | Single | Low | 1,249,106 | 32 | 7 | 21 | 4 | 60 | 13 | 40 | 7 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 0 |
|  |  | High | 675,729 | 46 | 14 | 29 | 3 | 87 | 26 | 55 | 6 | 23 | 8 | 15 | 0 |
|  | Multiple | All | 533,557 | 22 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 41 | 9 | 26 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 2,458,392 | 100 | 26 | 64 | 10 | 188 | 48 | 120 | 20 | 50 | 15 | 35 | 0 |
| 4 | Single | Low | 433,556 | 30 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 35 | 22 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | High | 257,864 | 49 | 31 | 18 | 0 | 56 | 36 | 20 | 0 | 25 | 16 | 9 | 0 |
|  | Multiple | All | 173,943 | 20 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 865,363 | 100 | 64 | 36 | 0 | 114 | 73 | 41 | 0 | 50 | 33 | 17 | 0 |
| Total |  |  | 8,273,866 | 363 | 196 | 139 | 28 | 542 | 255 | 234 | 53 | 200 | 123 | 77 | 0 |

Table 4-2

| Zone | Single Family Low Use |  | Single Family High Use |  | Multiple Family |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample (\%) | Population (\%) | Sample (\%) | Population (\%) | Sample (\%) | Population (\%) |
| 1 | 27.0 | 42.1 | 33.3 | 18.4 | 39.7 | 39.6 |
| 2 | 27.0 | 47.1 | 45.0 | 20.8 | 28.0 | 32.1 |
| 3 | 32.0 | 50.8 | 46.0 | 27.5 | 22.0 | 21.7 |
| 4 | 30.0 | 50.1 | 49.0 | 29.8 | 20.0 | 20.1 |
| All | 29.2 | 47.9 | 44.3 | 23.4 | 26.2 | 28.7 |

For each stratum, a series of potential samples were selected at random and without replacement. The final sample was chosen so that it most closely resembles the population in terms of summer average daily usage. Several types of customers were excluded from the sampling frame, including those who (a) live in master-metered dwellings and therefore cannot be sent a time-varying price signal, (b) are on a medical baseline rate and may not be able to engage in load shifting without endangering their condition, (c) are on an existing time-of-use (TOU) rate or an air conditioner cycling program, which they have chosen on a voluntary basis, (d) are a direct access customer, who buy power from third party suppliers, (e) are a net metering customer, producing their own power, or (f) get power on standby rates or special contract rates.

Sample allocations for Track B and for the Information Only cells in Track A are contained in Tables 4-3 and 4-4.

| Table 4-3 <br> Sample Allocation for Track B <br> (All Customers Are Located in Climate Zone 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate Group | Location | Dwelling Type | Usage Level | Pop Count | Info Only |  | CPP-F |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mple S |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cell } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Sample Size | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cell } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Rate T | atment |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | Low |
| E-1 | Hunter's Point | MF | Low | 2,580 | B01 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MF | High | 1,574 | B01 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SF | Low | 4,588 | B01 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SF | High | 1,723 | B01 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10,465 |  | 63 |  |  |  |  |
| E-3 | Hunter's Point | MF | Low | 2,580 |  |  | B02 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
|  |  | MF | High | 1,574 |  |  | B02 | 26 | 13 | 13 |
|  |  | SF | Low | 4,588 |  |  | B02 | 50 | 25 | 25 |
|  |  | SF | High | 1,723 |  |  | B02 | 30 | 15 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | 10,465 |  |  |  | 126 | 63 | 63 |
| E-3 | Richmond | MF | Low | 5,827 |  |  | B03 | 18 | 9 | 9 |
|  |  | MF | High | 2,311 |  |  | B03 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
|  |  | SF | Low | 10,946 |  |  | B03 | 32 | 16 | 16 |
|  |  | SF | High | 2,685 |  |  | B03 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | 21,769 |  |  |  | 64 | 32 | 32 |

Table 4-4
Sample Allocation for Information Only Treatment

| Rate Group | Climate Zone | Dwelling Type | Usage Level | Population Count | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cell } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E-1 | 2 | MF | All | 407,559 | A11 | 15 |
|  |  | SF | Low | 661,508 |  | 15 |
|  |  |  | High | 408,776 |  | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,477,843 |  | 63 |
|  | 3 | MF | All | 100,956 | A12 | 11 |
|  |  | SF | Low | 248,319 |  | 18 |
|  |  |  | High | 195,122 |  | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | 544,397 |  | 63 |

The CPP-V treatment was offered to two different populations, the general population (Track A) and the population of consumers who had already volunteered for the AB970 Smart Thermostat pilot program (Track C). The Track A sample design called for the selection of 125 customers split between climate zones 2 and 3 . The selection criterion was that a customer's usage during
the summer months must exceed 600 kWh a month. This resulted in a pool of approximately 240,000 customers. Participants in the AB970 pilot were excluded from Track A. Note that the Track A CPP-V target population included approximately 80,000 customers that were originally solicited for the Smart Thermostat program (climate zone 3 only) and that had decided not to join that program. The Track A CPP-V rate was offered only to single-family residences that exceeded the threshold of 600 kWh a month.

SDG\&E performed an optimal allocation using the Dalenius-Hodges procedure with stratification boundaries based on high and low summer average daily usage. The procedure was applied to the target population frame of approximately 240,000 customers. The treatment group consisted of 125 primary sample sites with 20 like replacements for each primary sample site. SDG\&E anticipated that recruitment for the CPP-V technology treatment customers would require extensive sample replacements.

For the residential Track C CPP-V treatment group, a random sample of 125 primary sites was selected from SDG\&E's population of 3,650 AB970 Smart Thermostat Program Participants. The treatment group customers were placed on a CPP-V rate, with the group being split evenly between the high and low rate differentials. Nearly all of the existing Smart Thermostat participants were located in SDG\&E's inland climate zone. SDG\&E's inland climate zone is in the statewide climate zone 3, although the weather in San Diego is milder than the average statewide weather in zone 3.

SDG\&E utilized an existing sample of 100 Smart Thermostat participants with interval data recorders for its CPP-V Control Group 1. This group of 100 customers was split into two groups of 50 . On any given curtailment day, 50 had their technology dispatched and 50 did not. SDG\&E made these 100 interval metered customers aware that they would be asked to curtail on days other than an ISO stage 2 alert. SDG\&E modified the curtailment criteria for its existing smart thermostat control group so that direct comparisons to the treatment group could be made.

SDG\&E was able to utilize a control sub-sample from Track A CPP-V. This sub-sample was selected from SDG\&E's inland customers (climate zone 3) with summer monthly usage aexceeding 600 kWh . This second control group sample was selected using the DaleniusHodges method with a Neyman allocation as described in the prior section. The second control group had initially received the Smart Thermostat Program marketing materials and chose not to participate. Both control group customers were required to have the ability to utilize an enabling technology such as 1 -way or 2-way paging. ${ }^{3}$

Table 4-5 summarizes the CPP-V sample allocation.

[^1]| Table 4-5Sample Allocation for Residential CPP-V Treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Climate Zone | Dwelling Type | Usage | Sample | Sample Description | Population | Total | Rate Differentia |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | Low |
| 2 | All | Low | CPP-V- Track A | Treatment Group (>600 kWh) | 78,335 | 19 | 10 | 9 |
|  | All | High |  |  | 26,014 | 43 | 22 | 21 |
| 3 | All | Low | CPP-V- Track A | Treatment Group (>600 kWh) | 81,865 | 21 | 11 | 10 |
|  | All | High |  |  | 30,046 | 42 | 21 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 216,260 | 125 | 64 | 61 |
| 2 | All | Low | CPP-V- Track A | Control Group1 (>600 kWh) | 78,335 | 8 | - | - |
|  | All | High |  |  | 26,014 | 18 | - | - |
| 3 | All | Low | CPP-V- Track A | Control Group1 (>600 kWh) | 81,865 | 6 | - | - |
|  | All | High |  | Also Control 2 for C02 | 30,046 | 12 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | 216,260 | 44 |  |  |
| 2 | All | Low | CPP-V- Track A | Control Group 2 | 289,892 | 8 | - | - |
|  | All | Med |  | Entire Population Sample Frame | 262,788 | 11 | - | - |
|  | All | High |  |  | 73,168 | 17 | - | - |
| 3 | All | Low | CPP-V- Track A | Control Group 2 | 200,467 | 7 | - | - |
|  | All | Med |  | Entire Population Sample Frame | 189,059 | 9 | - | - |
|  | All | High |  |  | 59,507 | 11 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,074,881 | 63 |  |  |
| 3 | All | All | CPP-V- Track C | Treatment Group - Smart Therm Part | 3,650 | 126 | 62 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  | Target population > 600 kWh a month |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3,650 | 126 | 62 | 63 |
| 3 | All | All | CPP-V- Track C | Control Group 1 (> 600 kWh ) | 3,650 | 70 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | Smart Thermostat Participants |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3,650 | 70 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total CPP-V Residential Sample | 3,650 | 428 | 126 | 124 |

** This control group utilizes the existing control group for the residential smart thermostat program. 20 Additional sites were selected to complement the existing control group.

### 4.2 C\&I SAMPLE DESIGN

For the C\&I customer segment, two treatments were tested, TOU rates and CPP-V rates. As with the residential sector, the CPP-V rate was tested among two populations, Track A and Track C.

The target population for the TOU treatment sample consisted of the general population of C\&I customers below 200 kW in the SCE service territory who were likely to have some economic incentive to respond to TOU rates. Very small customers (e.g., daily average usage $<5 \mathrm{kWh}$ )
and those who clearly had little or no economic incentive to respond to TOU rates (e.g., bus stops, ATM machines, billboards) were excluded.

The target population for the Track A, CPP-V sample was the general population of C\&I customers below 200 kW in the SCE service territory who were likely to have air conditioning and for whom an enabling technology was feasible. When developing the sample, customers were excluded if they did not live in areas with 2-way paging coverage or they did not have enough load to account for air conditioning. ${ }^{4}$

In addition to the treatment groups, two separate control samples were also selected, one from the target population for the CPP-V treatment and one from the target population for the TOU treatment. As with the residential samples, several types of customers were excluded from the sampling frame, including direct access customers, those on existing TOU rates, those on the air conditioning cycling program, net energy metering customers, and those on standby or special contract rates.

The target population for the Track C sample was C\&I customers in SCE's service territory who had already volunteered to participate in the AB970 smart thermostat program. ${ }^{5}$ A stratified random sample from this population was selected to recruit for CPP-V rates. A separate blind control sample was also randomly selected from the same population. It is important to keep in mind that the population frame for this sample is by no means representative of the general C\&I customer population.

In each sample, the total size was first allocated between the two rate groups GS-1 (<20 kW) and GS-2 (20-200 kW) and then between the treatment rates and control samples using the results from the Bayesian model adjusted to allow for a minimum number in each cell. Stratified random sampling was then applied using size (kW) as the only stratification variable and using standard load research sample design and section methods such as Dalenius-Hodges technique, Neyman optimal allocation, and sample validation. Table 4-6 summarizes the allocation of C\&I sample for treatment and control for both tracks A and C.

[^2]Table 4-6
Sample Allocation for Small Commercial \& Industrial (Tracks A and C: TOU, CPP-V, and Controls) By Rate Group and Usage Level

| General Population |  |  |  | TOU |  |  |  |  |  | CPP-Variable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPP <br> Track | Rate <br> Group | Usage Level | Population Count | Control (A) |  | TOU Treatment |  |  |  | Populatio Count ** | Control (B) |  | CPP-V Treatment |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Cell } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \text { A17 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sampl } \\ \text { Size } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cell } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Sample Size |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Cell } \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sampl } \\ \text { Size } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Cell } \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | Sample Size |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Rate |  |  |  |  |  | Total | R |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | Low |  |  |  |  |  | High | Low |
| A | GS-1 | Low | 229,423 |  | 19 | A21 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 142,724 | A27 | 19 | A19 | 24 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  | High | 84,096 | A17 | 25 | A21 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 56,233 | A27 | 25 | A19 | 34 | 17 | 17 |
|  |  |  | 313,519 |  | 44 |  | 50 | 25 | 25 | 198,957 |  | 44 |  | 58 | 29 | 29 |
|  | GS-2 | Low | 73,788 | A18 | 17 | A22 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 60,994 | A28 | 17 | A20 | 32 | 16 | 16 |
|  |  | High | 28,539 | A18 | 27 | A22 | 30 | 15 | 15 | 23,389 | A28 | 27 | A20 | 48 | 24 | 24 |
|  |  |  | 102,327 |  | 44 |  | 50 | 25 | 25 | 84,383 |  | 44 |  | 80 | 40 | 40 |
|  |  |  | 415,846 |  | 88 |  | 100 |  |  | 283,340 |  | 88 |  | 138 |  |  |


| Smart Thermost (AB970) Program |  |  |  | CPP-Variable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPP <br> Track | Rate Group | Usage Level |  | Populatio Count | Control (3) |  | CPP-V Treatment |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cell } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Sample Size |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Rate |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | Low |
| C | GS-1 | Low |  | 836 | C03 | 17 |  | C05 | 22 | 11 | 11 |
|  |  | High |  | 408 | C03 | 25 |  | C05 | 34 | 17 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | 1244 |  | 42 |  | 56 | 28 | 28 |
|  | GS-2 | LOW |  | 398 | C04 | 21 | C06 | 38 | 19 | 19 |
|  |  | High |  | 381 | C04 | 21 | C06 | 38 | 19 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  | 779 |  | 42 |  | 76 | 38 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  | 2,023 |  | 84 |  | 132 | 66 | 66 |

## Appendix 5

## Sample Enrollment Package

Sample enrollment package is for the SDGE residential CPP-F rate.

April 10, 2003

XXXX
XXXX
XXXX

Dear XXXX:
You have been randomly chosen by San Diego Gas \& Electric Company to participate in the statewide Electricity Pricing Research Project. As a participant, you will be offered new information and capabilities designed to help you better manage your electricity costs.
For this project, you have been chosen to participate in our Shift \& Save Pricing Plan. Plan details and more information about your role in this project are included on the enclosed sheet titled Questions and Answers.
As a participant in this project, you will have an important role in influencing how electricity is priced for millions of California customers in the future. You will be contributing to a statewide research effort to help create a more secure energy future for California.
San Diego Gas \& Electric Company is working together with the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Energy Commission, the California Power Authority and other California utilities on this project.

To thank you for your help with this critically important research project we are offering you appreciation payments totaling \$175.
Please respond to the Research Support Center by April 25, 2003 as the first step to become eligible for the first installment of your appreciation payments. Either:

1. Fill out and mail the enclosed reply card in the pre-paid envelope today, or
2. Call us toll free at 1-800-289-2440.

Thank you in advance for your support. Participation is voluntary. At the end of this research project, you will return to your current rate (or you may choose another available pricing plan). If you have questions or concerns about this research project, call the Research Support Center at 1-800-289-2440.

If you would like information about SDG\&E programs or services, please call us at 1-800-411SDGE (7343). We are committed to providing exceptional customer service.
Sincerely,


Sandra Williams
Residential Information and Audit Programs Manager

| If we need to contact you, when would be the best time to reach you? | Shift \& Save Pricing Plan Information |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | After reading Questions and Answers, please fill out the information below and return in the pre-paid envelope. Ifyou have questions or concerns about this research project, please call our Research Support Center toll free at 1-800-289-2440. |
|  | Primary Notification Phone Number: Please provide your home phone number or another direct dial number - other than a cell phone - where you can be reached during the day. This primary phone number will be the main way we will notify you the day before a Super Peak Day. |
| Do you occupy this residence (referenced above)? <br> Yes, I occupy this residence <br> No, I do not occupy this residence | -( Aren $^{\text {- }}$ |
|  | Area Code Please note - Cell Phones cannot be Primary Notification Numbers |
|  | Secondary Notification: You may select one or more additional notification methods: <br> E-mail address: $\qquad$ |
| Do you have plans to move in the next 6 months? $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> Yes, I am moving in the next 6 months <br> No, I have no plans of moving in the next 6 months |  <br> Pager (must be alphanumeric): <br> Other phone (can be a cellular phone): $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |



# Questions and Answers Shift \& Save Pricing Plan 

## How does this project help California?

The Electricity Pricing Research Project will examine new rates that can help create a more secure energy future for California. The new rates in this experiment will allow prices to rise when the demand for electricity on hot afternoons is high and fall when demand is low. The state will use the results of this project to determine if these new rates encourage customers to lower their electric use during high demand periods. If these rates are found to be effective, they can reduce our need to use older and less efficient power plants to meet peak demands.
This research project is scheduled to run between 12 and 18 months, with review by the California Public Utilities Commission on an ongoing basis.

## How does this pricing plan work?

Your Shift \& Save Pricing Plan will provide you with the information and capabilities you need to better manage your electricity costs. On your new pricing plan, the price you pay for electricity will depend on the time of day, season, and day of the week. The charts on the right show the average rates for this pricing plan.
Your Shift \& Save Pricing Plan has the following features:

- $85 \%$ of the time you will be charged an "Off-Peak" rate that is lower than the average rate you pay now. The Off-Peak period includes: all day on Saturday, Sunday and on holidays, and all times except 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday.
- $14 \%$ of the time you will be charged at a "Peak" rate that is higher than your current average rate. The Peak period includes: 2 p.m. to 7 p.m Monday through Friday.
- Less than $1 \%$ of the time, 15 days or fewer per year, will be declared "Super Peak Days."
- On these days, you will be charged at the "Super Peak" rate from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. For all other hours on these days, you will be charged at the lower Off-Peak rate.
- You will be notified one day prior to a Super Peak Day, when the cost of electricity is expected to be high due to summer heat storms or local reliability problems.
- You will be notified by phone and additionally by e-mail, cell phone or pager if you choose.
Look for more information about your Shift \& Save Pricing Plan in your Welcome Package in June.


## Shift \& Save Pricing Plan

Your Shift \& Save Pricing Plan will have three rate periods. The chart below compares current average rates with new average rates for each period. Also, the chart shows how much of the time each rate will be charged under your new pricing plan.

| Rate <br> Period | Current Rate <br> cents/kWh | New Rate* <br> cents/kWh | \% of Annual Time <br>  <br> Save Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Off Peak | 16 cents | 13 cents | $85 \%$ <br> Peak <br> 16 cents |
| 25 cents | $14 \%$ |  |  |
| Super <br> Peak | 16 cents | 66 cents | $1 \%$ |

* These are illustrative rates based on statewide averages. Your actual rates during the experiment will be somewhat different.


## Example Rates: Monday - Friday

On weekdays, your rates will increase from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. The lower, Off-Peak rate will be charged during all other hours, including weekends and holidays. On Super Peak Days, you will be charged the Super Peak rate from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m.

** The price you pay for electricity from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday will vary depending on whether or not a Super Peak Day has been declared.

## Please contact our Research Support Center today!

Call toll free, at 1-800-289-2440 Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. or Saturday 9 a.m. to 12 noon OR return the enclosed enrollment card. We must hear from you by the enrollment date in the enclosed letter for you to be eligible for your appreciation payments.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

## What Happens When?

- Within a few weeks, your old electric meter will be replaced with a new advanced digital electric meter, free of charge. This new meter will measure your electricity use every 15 minutes.
- In May, we will send you a survey to assist with our research. You will receive your first appreciation payment of $\$ 25$ after you complete and return the survey.
- During the project, you may also be contacted by phone so we can get your feedback on how the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan is working for you.
- In June, look for a Welcome Package in the mail with tips on how to reduce your electricity costs and directions on how to use our website to review your electricity use. Information comparing your electric bill on your new Shift \& Save Pricing Plan with your current pricing plan will also be included in this package.
- Starting in July, you will be charged for electricity based on the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan.
- The day before a Super Peak Day is declared, you will get a notification phone call.
- At the end of October 2003, you will be eligible for a second appreciation payment of $\$ 75$ if you have remained on the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan.
- At the end of April 2004, you will be eligible for the final $\$ 75$ if you have remained on the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan.


## What is my role in the program?

Your role will be to provide feedback on your new rate, bills, and information you receive, as well as to adjust your electricity use if you choose. By participating in this experiment, you will be contributing to a statewide effort to create a more secure energy future for California.

## Terms and Conditions

Participation in this project is voluntary.
Customers can remove themselves from the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan at any time, but you must stay on the rate through the end of October 2003 to receive a $\$ 75$ appreciation payment. In order to qualify for the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan:

- The person whose name appears on the enclosed letter must occupy the home;
- You must not be planning to move within the next six months;
- You must provide us with a notification phone number, not a cell phone, to be used for Super Peak Day notification. Details on how notification works will be included in your Welcome Package in June;
- Your utility must successfully install the advanced mpetyet32 of 310 your home.


## When do I get \$175?

To thank you for participating in this research project, we are offering you $\$ 175$.

Your \$175 appreciation payments will come in three checks. The first $\$ 25$ will be sent to you after you return a completed survey that we will mail out in May. You will receive two additional $\$ 75$ checks: one in Fall of 2003 and the other in the Spring of 2004 (as long as you continue to participate).

## Will I save on my electric bill?

The savings you can achieve on your electric bill will depend on how much electricity you currently use during the Peak period and your ability to shift your electricity use to Off-Peak periods or lower your electricity use. Under the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan, if you take no steps to lower your electricity use from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday, your bill may go up or down compared to what it would have been at your current rate. If you do take steps to reduce your electricity use during the Peak period, your bill is more likely to go down.
An average customer uses about 18\% of their electricity during Peak and Super Peak periods. If you use less electricity during that time than the average customer, your bills will go down. If you do not reduce your usage during the Peak period and you use more electricity during that time than the average customer, your bills will go up.

A new digital meter will be installed at your home at no charge to you. This new meter will allow us to measure your electric use every 15 minutes. During this project, you will be given information on your electricity use and how much your bill has gone up or down relative to your old rates. This information will be available to you through a secured website or by contacting us. We will also provide helpful tips on how to shift or save during the Peak and Super Peak periods.

## Thanks for your help!

Pricing plans similar to the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan are being used or tested in many areas of California, as well as in other states. Your participation in this research project is a crucial element in our statewide effort to create a more secure energy future for California.
If you have questions or concerns about participating in the Electricity Pricing Research Project, please call our research staff toll free at

1-800-289-2440

## Research Project Sponsors:

- The California Public Utilities Commission
- The California Energy Commission
- The California Power Authority
- Pacific Gas \& Electric Company
- Southern California Edison
- San Diego Gas \& Electric


## Appendix 6

## Sample Welcome Package

Sample welcome package is for the SDGE residential CPP-F high Summer rate.

## Welcome Package Contents

Your Welcome Package gives you the information you need to better manage your home's electricity costs using your new Shift \& Save Pricing Plan.

| Quick and Important Facts <br> Just got a minute or two? Read this first. | Page 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Taking Advantage of Your New Pricing Plan <br> See three examples of customers' electricity use decisions and savings on the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan. | Page 3 |
| Shift \& Save Pricing Plan Details <br> Your new rates are lower during mornings, early afternoons, nights and weekends. | Page 9 |
| Tips for Saving Money <br> Check out no-cost and low-cost ways to save on your new rates. | Page 11 |
| Appliance Electricity Cost Tables <br> Find out which of your appliances use the most and the least electricity. | Page 13 |
| Electricity Savings Calculations <br> Learn how to calculate appliance electricity costs and savings. | Page 16 |
| New Billing Information <br> See an example of your new electric bill. | Page 18 |
| Calendar <br> Note when you will be eligible for appreciation payment checks and other key events. | Page 21 |
| More Information and Resources | Page 22 |



Keep this Welcome Package handy so you can check for tips and find out where to get more information as you get used to your new pricing plan. There's even a pocket in the back so you can easily keep track of your bills and other information about your electricity use.

Pacific Gas and
Electric Compaplage 34izio EDISON

## Quick and Important Facts



## T I P

Check out the examples on the following pages for suggestions about how you can better manage your electricity use and costs on your new pricing plan. Look on our Web site at www.sdge.com/eprp or call us at $1-800-411-$ SDGE (7343) to find out more!

Off-Peak Rates: Your new Off-Peak rate is lower than your current rate on weekdays before 2 p.m. and after 7 p.m., as well as all hours on weekends and holidays (about $85 \%$ of the time).

Peak Rates: From 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding holidays, you will be charged a Peak rate that is higher than your current rate (about 14\% of the time).

Winter and Summer Rates: Your rates will be different in the summer than in the winter.

Annual Bill: Depending on how you use electricity, your bills could go up or down even if you do not shift or reduce your electricity use.

Shift and Reduce Your Use to Save Money: If you shift your electricity use from Peak times to your new lower Off-Peak times, your bills will be lower than if you do not shift your use. Reducing your electricity use at any time can help your bills go down even more.

Super Peak Rates: When a Super Peak Day has been declared, you will be notified the day before. You will be charged a Super Peak rate for the electricity you use during this period (about 1\% of the year). This Super Peak rate is four to five times higher than your current rate.

Super Peak Days: There will be 15 or fewer Super Peak Days in a calendar year. You will only be charged Super Peak rates between 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. on weekdays when a Super Peak Day has been declared. A Super Peak Day will never be declared on a weekend or a holiday.

Will your electricity bill go up or down on your new Shift \& Save Pricing Plan? That depends on how much electricity you use during the Peak period, weekdays from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m., and your ability to reduce your electricity use or shift your use to Off-Peak periods.

## Peak Electricity Use Drives Your Bill

An average customer uses $18 \%$ of their electricity during the Peak period, Monday through Friday, 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Under the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan, the proportion of electricity you use during the Peak period is important.

Customers who take no action to reduce or shift their electricity use away from the Peak period should see their bills remain about the same as their current electric bills. Customers who use more than $18 \%$ of their electricity during the Peak period will see their bills go up. Customers who use less than $18 \%$ of their electricity during the Peak period will see their bills go down.

## Reducing Peak Electricity Use Saves Money

In general, reducing your use or shifting your electricity use away from the Peak period will lower your bill compared to taking no action. Your bill savings may be higher in the summer or the winter, so it is important to consider your total electricity costs over the course of a year.

Without knowing how you use electricity today, what kind of heating and cooling you have or what other appliances you use, it is hard to predict whether your bill will go up or down on your new pricing plan. To help you determine how your new pricing plan might help you better manage your electricity costs, three examples follow to show how some customers might make decisions to change their electricity choices based on their new pricing plan. None of these will be a perfect match for your home, but look for one that is a good fit. Which example is most like your home?

- Sheri and Mike have three kids and use electricity all day long.
- Dan and Maria are retired and use air conditioning in the afternoon.
- Patty and John both work and don't have air conditioning.


## More Information and Resources

Each example offers specific ideas about how you can reduce your annual electric bills using your new pricing plan. Also, you can see what kind of daily bill savings these customers could have if they chose to shift or reduce their electricity use during Peak periods. If your electricity use seems different than described in these examples, we can help you identify savings opportunities in your own home. Call us toll-free at 1-800-411-SDGE (7343) or visit our Web site at www.sdge.com/eprp for more information about ways you can save money on your electric bill.

## Example 1. All Day Electricity Use: Family with Young Children




## Weekday Electricity Use Habits

Sheri and Mike have three young children, one in school and two at home. They live inland and use their air conditioning in the summer during the afternoon and into the evening. They fix dinner for their family between 5 p.m. and 6 p.m. and run the dishwasher twice a day, once right after dinner. They also do one load of laundry each day, after 2 p.m.

This family uses more electricity than average during the Peak period, Monday through Friday, 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. If they take no action to shift or reduce their electricity use, their bills will go up on average compared to their current bills.

## Chart 1: Electricity use between 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. on Super Peak Days is about three times more expensive than on other weekdays.

## Reducing Peak Electricity Costs

Sheri and Mike have several options to lower their bills on their new Shift \& Save Pricing Plan. Their biggest Peak electricity use is air conditioning, followed by doing laundry and using their dishwasher. Their electric clothes dryer and dishwasher are more expensive to operate during Peak and Super Peak periods. Sheri and Mike can shift their use of these appliances to OffPeak times. Lighting, cooking and other electricity uses are all lower cost items.

Chart 1 and Chart 2 show the cost of Sheri and Mike's electricity use between 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. on different days. Even though they are using the same amount of electricity during the 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. period, Chart 1 shows that they will pay more to use their appliances during this time on Super Peak Days, compared to what they will pay for the same electricity use during the Peak period on a regular weekday.

On most weekdays in the summer or winter, the cost of Sheri and Mike's electricity use between 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. will be between $\$ 3$ and $\$ 5$ per day. However, because of their high electricity use during the Peak period, when a Super Peak Day is declared, their electricity use between 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. could cost as much as $\$ 12$ if they take no action to shift or reduce their use.

> Chart 2: If Sheri and Mike shift and reduce their electricity use from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. on Super Peak Days, their electricity costs for the Super Peak period could drop by about a third.

## Example 1. All Day Electricity Use: Family with Young Children

## Cost Saving Ideas: Shift \& Save

One way for Sheri and Mike to reduce their family's everyday electricity costs would be to move their laundry and dishwasher use away from the Peak period of 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Chart 3 shows Sheri and Mike's hourly electric use on a Super Peak Day, assuming they take no action to shift or reduce their use.

Chart 4 shows their hourly electricity use on the same day, assuming laundry is done in the morning, they pre-cool their home before 2 p.m. and run the dishwasher after 7 p.m.

Chart 3 and Chart 4: By shifting and reducing their electricity use during Peak hours, Sheri and Mike can save as much as $\$ 4$ each Super Peak Day.

On Super Peak Days, Sheri and Mike could pre-cool their home by lowering their thermostat setting by two or three degrees before 2 p.m. when their rates are lower. By pre-cooling their home, Sheri and Mike's air conditioner will run about two hours less while keeping their home comfortable during the more expensive Peak period from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Pre-cooling can reduce Sheri and Mike's cost for using electricity that day by a couple of dollars.

## More Cost Saving Ideas

If they wanted to reduce their Super Peak use and further lower their electricity costs on those days, they might consider keeping the blinds or curtains closed and preparing a microwave dinner. Using a gas oven or an electric stove in the summer heats up Sheri and Mike's home, increasing the electricity used by their refrigerator and air conditioner.

In areas where lights are usually on in the evening, compact fluorescent lamps will pay for themselves with electricity cost savings in about a year. Also, because of the amount of laundry and dishwashing Mike and Sheri typically do, they might consider, when it is time to replace these appliances, purchasing a new ENERGY STAR® model to increase their electricity cost savings.


CHART 4
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## Example 2. Afternoon Electricity Use: Customers At Home




## Weekday Electricity Use Habits

Dan and Maria are retired, active and live in the desert. They are often away from their home in the morning and early afternoon. They eat dinner at home at about 6 p.m. most evenings. They draw their curtains when they leave home since the sunlight increases air conditioning use.

Dan and Maria generally use electricity during the Peak period, Monday through Friday, 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. If they take no action under the Shift and Save Pricing Plan, their bills will go up compared to their current bills.

## Reducing Peak Electricity Costs

As Chart 5 and Chart 6 show, the biggest factor in Dan and Maria's electricity use is air conditioning.

Chart 5: Electricity use between 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. on Super Peak Days is about three times more expensive than on other weekdays.

Most weekdays in the summer or winter, the cost of their electricity use between 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. is about $\$ 3$ per day. However, because of their high electricity use during the Peak period, when a Super Peak Day is declared, their electricity use between 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. could be as much as $\$ 9$.

Chart 6: If Dan and Maria shift and reduce their electricity use from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. on Super Peak Days, their electricity costs for the Super Peak period could drop by about a third.

## Example 2. Afternoon Electricity Use: Customers At Home

## Cost Saving Ideas: Shift \& Save

One of the ways Dan and Maria could reduce their electricity use would be to raise their thermostat's temperature setting a couple of degrees during the Peak period. Also, they could keep their curtains closed on the south and west sides of their home in the afternoon. During Super Peak periods, if they do this and also pre-cool their home before 2 p.m., they could lower their cost for electricity that day by as much as $\$ 3$.

Chart 7 shows Dan and Maria's hourly electricity use on a Super Peak Day, assuming they take no action to shift or reduce their use.

Chart 8 shows their hourly electricity use on the same day, assuming they pre-cool their home before 2 p.m. and decide to prepare a no-cook dinner or eat out that evening.

Chart 7 and Chart 8: By shifting and reducing their electricity use during Peak hours, Dan and Maria can save as much as $\$ 3$ each Super Peak Day.

On Super Peak Days, they could pre-cool their home by lowering their thermostat setting by two or three degrees before 2 p.m. when their rates are lower. By pre-cooling their home, Dan and Maria's air conditioner will run about two hours less while keeping their home comfortable during the more expensive Peak period from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Pre-cooling can reduce their cost for using electricity that day by a couple of dollars.

## More Cost Saving Ideas

In areas where lights are usually on in the evening, compact fluorescent lamps will pay for themselves with electricity cost savings in about a year. If Dan and Maria are working on their landscaping, adding well-placed shade trees and bushes, particularly on the south and west sides of their home, can provide them with electricity savings while providing Dan and Maria the same level of cooling in their home.

CHART 7
Hot Summer Day Routine Electricity Use = \$11


CHART 8
Hot Summer Day Shift and Reduce Use = \$8




## Weekday Electricity Use Habits

Patty and John both work away from home and usually return to their apartment between 6:30 p.m. and 7:30 p.m. They live on the coast and do not have air conditioning. Because of their work schedule, they use their dishwasher after 7 p.m. and do laundry on the weekends.

Patty and John use less electricity during the Peak period, Monday through Friday, 2 p.m. to 7 p.m., than the average customer. Since most of their electricity will be charged at the new, lower Off-Peak rate, their bill is likely to go down without shifting or reducing their electricity use.

> Chart 9: Electricity use between 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. on Super Peak Days is about three times more expensive than on other weekdays. However, since Patty and John are not home in the afternoon, their Super Peak costs are under a dollar more than on other weekday afternoons.

On most days, the cost of their electricity use is between about $\$ 1$ and $\$ 2$. Because they are not home during most of the Peak period and don't have air conditioning, Patty and John's cost on a Super Peak Day is about $\$ 2$. As shown in Chart 9, they do not have many options to shift or reduce their use during a Super Peak period because most of their electricity use is for appliances that are always on, like their refrigerator.

## Cost Saving Ideas: Shift \& Save

However, even Patty and John can lower their monthly bills. In areas where lights are usually on in the evening, compact fluorescent lamps will pay for themselves with electricity cost savings in about a year. This is an investment that will be easy to take with them if Patty and John move in the future.

Chart 10 shows Patty and John's hourly electricity use on a Super Peak Day, assuming that they take no action to shift or reduce their use. Shifting what they can control after 6 p.m. will save them under $\$ 0.20$ that day.

## Shift \& Save Pricing Plan Rates

Your new rates are detailed on the next page. About $85 \%$ of the time, you will be charged your new Off-Peak rate, which is $10 \%$ to $20 \%$ lower than your current average rate today. Your new Peak rate is higher than your current average rate for five hours per day on weekdays, excluding holidays. No more than 15 days annually, or about $1 \%$ of the year, you will be charged a Super Peak rate that is significantly higher than your current average rate. You will receive a notification call the day before a Super Peak Day is declared, as well as notification by any other method you selected in your program enrollment.

## Rates vary by season:

- May through October, you will pay summer rates;
- November through April you will pay winter rates.


## Rates vary by time of day and day of the week:

- All weekends and holidays, you will be charged an Off-Peak rate;

■ Weekdays from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. you will be charged a Peak rate;

- Weekdays at all other times, you will be charged an Off-Peak rate;
- Up to 15 weekdays per year, after you have been notified that a Super Peak Day has been declared, you will be charged a Super Peak rate from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m.


## Super Peak Period Notification

You will be notified the day before a Super Peak Day. A Super Peak Day may be declared when the weather is especially hot, demand for electricity is high, or a technical problem creates high prices for electricity. We will call your Primary Notification phone number to notify you of a Super Peak Day, as well as attempt to contact you by any secondary notification method you have chosen. If you would like to change your notification methods or contact information, please give us a call at 1-800-411-SDGE (7343).

## Save Money on Your New Shift \& Save Pricing Plan

Will your electric bill go up or down on your new Shift \& Save Pricing Plan? That depends on how much electricity you use during the Peak period, weekdays from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m., and your ability to reduce your electricity use or shift your use to Off-Peak periods. For more information, check out Taking Advantage of the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan beginning on page 3. For cost-saving tips, see page 11.


You now have three rates on your new pricing plan:

- Your Off-Peak rate is lower than your current rate all weekend, and weekdays except from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m.
- Your Peak rate is higher than your current rate Monday through Friday from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m.
- Your Super Peak rate is the highest rate, but is only charged from 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. up to 15 days per year, about $1 \%$ of the time.

Check out the next page for rate details and charts.

## Shift \& Save Pricing Plan Details ${ }^{1}$

On your new Shift \& Save Pricing Plan, you will be charged lower rates on mornings, early afternoons, nights and weekends. The price you pay for electricity will depend on the season, time of day and day of the week.

| Chart 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate Period | Rate Time | \% of Annual Time on Each Shift \& Save Rate | New Winter Rate | New Summer Rate | Current Rate (SDG\&E Average) |
| Off-Peak | Weekends, Holidays and Monday-Friday before 2 p.m. and after 7 p.m. | $85 \%$ of the time | 12.9 cents/kWh | 10.8 cents/kWh | 15.5 cents/kWh |
| Peak | Monday-Friday 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. except holidays | $14 \%$ of the time | 35.9 cents/kWh | 27.6 cents/kWh | 15.5 cents/kWh |
| Super Peak | When declared: Monday-Friday 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. except holidays | $1 \%$ of the time | 57.9 cents/kWh | 76.8 cents/kWh | 15.5 cents/kWh |



[^3]
## Money Saving Information Sources

During this project, you will be offered detailed information on your home's electricity use as well as bill examples under your current rate and your new Shift \& Save Pricing Plan. This new information will be available to you online at www.sdge.com/eprp or by calling us at 1-800-411-SDGE (7343).

SDG\&E's Web site offers extensive information about no-cost and low-cost ways to save on your electric bill, including information about rebates offered today. This information is also available by calling SDG\&E and requesting it by mail. You can use this information to check and see if the actions you are taking to shift or reduce your electricity use are having an impact on your overall bill.

## Shift and Reduce Peak Use to Save Money

Reducing your electricity use anytime will help to lower your electric bill, but reducing use during the Peak rate times on Monday through Friday between 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. will have the most impact on your bill because your new rates will be higher then. Shifting your use away from this time to mornings, early afternoons, nights and weekends will also reduce your bill compared to taking no action. Reducing your electricity use or shifting your use away from Peak hours on Super Peak Days is especially important if you aim to lower your total bill.

## Maximum Impact Money Savers

## Air Conditioning, Electric Heating and Pool Pumps

- No-cost: If you have air conditioning, raise your thermostat setting a few degrees between 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. Monday through Friday.
■ No-cost: If you have electric heat, lower your thermostat setting a few degrees between 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. Monday through Friday.
■ No-cost: If you have a swimming pool or a spa, set your timer to filter Off-Peak and, if possible, consider reducing your filtering time. Pool maintenance companies recommend your filter be on a minimum of 4-6 hours a day in the summer and 2-4 hours a day in the winter. If you have a pool maintenance service, be sure to check with them before shifting or reducing the hours of filtration.
- Smart Investment: A whole house fan can help by pulling the cool outdoor air inside and pushing warm indoor air outside. It can be an efficient cooling alternative during all but the hottest times each day.



## T I P

Shifting your electricity use to your new, lower Off-Peak periods on mornings, evenings and weekends can save you money on your electric bills. Need more tip ideas? Call us toll free at 1-800-411-SDGE (7343) or visit our Web site at www.sdge.com/eprp

## Tips for Saving Money

## Easy Shift Tips

## Electric Dryers, Air Conditioning, Dishwashers, Pool Pumps

■ No-cost: Shift your electricity use to weekday mornings, early afternoons, evenings after 7 p.m. or weekends.
■ No-cost: Use your programmable thermostat to lower your thermostat setting to pre-cool your home at Off-Peak times when your rate is lower if you expect to use air conditioning during the Peak period. You'll use less electricity than cooling your home down at Peak times.

## Easy Reduce-Your-Use Tips

Save money, especially during Peak and Super Peak periods

- No-cost: Turn off your lights, television, computer and monitor when you're not using them.
■ Low-cost: Make your next light bulb a compact fluorescent lamp (CFL) for high use fixtures. A CFL uses up to $75 \%$ less electricity, lasts 10 times longer than a conventional incandescent light bulb and will pay for itself with electricity savings in about a year.


## Smart Investments

If you plan on making any of the following investments, consider the high efficiency option, it will save you money all day and all year

■ New appliance: Make sure it is an ENERGY STAR ${ }^{\circledR}$ model. After you've identified these models, check the EnergyGuide Labels for additional energy saving choices.

- Landscaping: Consider shading the southern and western sides of your home from the summer afternoon sun. It may lower the amount of electricity used by your air conditioner.
- New windows: Consider high efficiency windows, as well as shades, awnings or curtains to keep your home warmer in the winter and cooler in the summer. All of these investments can help lower the amount of electricity used by your air conditioner.
■ Outdoor lighting: Use photosensors or motion detectors so outdoor lights are only on when you need them to be. If you leave any lights on overnight, these devices can pay for themselves through electricity savings within a year.
Page 45 of 310


## Shifting Electricity Use Off-Peak Saves Money

The five tables on pages 14 and 15 are a handy reference so you can see what it costs at different times to use different appliances in your home. Look for the appliances you have in your home and compare the electricity cost to operate those appliances at different times on your new pricing plan. ${ }^{2}$

Table 1. Cost of Operating Common Major Appliances
You can shift the time of day when you use many of these appliances to take advantage of your new, lower Off-Peak rate.

Table 2. Cost of Operating Heating and Cooling Equipment
Pre-cooling your home in hot weather and using curtains and blinds are ways to lower some of your most expensive electricity use.

Table 3. Cost of Operating Other Large Appliances
If you have any of these appliances, consider shifting some of your use to weekends, mornings and evenings after 7 p.m.
Table 4. Cost of Operating Light bulbs and Fixtures
Using compact fluorescent lamps and fixtures will pay for themselves through electricity savings in about a year.

Table 5. Cost of Operating Other Small Appliances
These appliances use the least amount of electricity around your home.

These tables can help you find opportunities to shift or reduce your electricity use. Our goal is to give you the information you need so you can make wise choices about when and how much electricity you use.

Even though the costs may look small in the tables, they can add up. Check out Electricity Savings Calculations on page 16 to see examples of how you can save money by shifting or reducing your electricity use.

[^4]
## Appliance Electricity Cost Tables

Table 1. Cost of Operating Common Major Appliances

| Common Major Appliances | Use Time | Cost Per Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Summer Prices (May-October) |  |  | Winter Prices (November-April) |  |  |
|  |  | OffPeak | Peak | Super Peak | OffPeak | Peak | Super Peak |
| Dryer (Electric heat) | 1 load | \$0.30 | \$0.80 | \$2.28 | \$0.36 | \$1.05 | \$1.71 |
| Oven (electric) | 1 hour at 400 degrees | \$0.15 | \$0.40 | \$1.14 | \$0.18 | \$0.53 | \$0.86 |
| Dishwasher | 1 load | \$0.10 | \$0.27 | \$0.76 | \$0.12 | \$0.35 | \$0.57 |
| Range/stove (electric) | 15 min. per burner | \$0.03 | \$0.08 | \$0.23 | \$0.04 | \$0.11 | \$0.17 |
| Washing Machine | 1 load | \$0.03 | \$0.09 | \$0.24 | \$0.04 | \$0.11 | \$0.18 |
| Dryer (Gas heat-excludes gas charges) | 1 load | \$0.04 | \$0.11 | \$0.30 | \$0.05 | \$0.14 | \$0.23 |
| Desktop computer (monitor \& printer) | 1 hour | \$0.02 | \$0.06 | \$0.17 | \$0.03 | \$0.08 | \$0.13 |
| Television | 1 hour | \$0.02 | \$0.04 | \$0.12 | \$0.02 | \$0.06 | \$0.09 |

Table 2. Cost of Operating Heating and Cooling Equipment

| Common Major Appliances | Use Time | Cost Per Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Summer Prices (May-October) |  |  | Winter Prices (November-April) |  |  |
|  |  | Off- <br> Peak | Peak | Super Peak | Off- <br> Peak | Peak | Super Peak |
| Whole House Fan * | 1 hour | \$0.03 | \$0.09 | \$0.24 | \$0.04 | \$0.11 | \$0.18 |
| Portable Fan * | 1 hour | \$0.02 | \$0.04 | \$0.11 | \$0.02 | \$0.05 | \$0.09 |
| Ceiling Fan * | 1 hour | \$0.01 | \$0.03 | \$0.08 | \$0.01 | \$0.04 | \$0.06 |
| Portable Space Heater (1500 Watts) | 1 hour (50\% cycling factor)** | -- | -- | -- | \$0.09 | \$0.26 | \$0.43 |
| Electric Baseboard Heater (3000 Watts) | 1 hour (50\% cycling factor)** | -- | -- | -- | \$0.18 | \$0.53 | \$0.86 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central AC } \\ & (3 \text { ton) } \end{aligned}$ | 1 hour (50\% cycling factor)** | \$0.20 | \$0.54 | \$1.52 | -- | -- | -- |
| Room/Wall AC Unit (1 ton) | 1 hour (50\% cycling factor)** | \$0.08 | \$0.20 | \$0.57 | -- | -- | -- |

* Using a fan can result in lower air conditioning and heating usage.
** The cycling factor is the amount of time equipment is running during an hour of operation. The cycling time can vary greatly depending on the thermostat setting, outside temperature and the characteristics of your home.
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## Appliance Electricity Cost Tables

Table 3. Cost of Operating Other Large Appliances

| Common Major Appliances | Use Time | Cost Per Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Summer Prices (May-October) |  |  | Winter Prices (November-April) |  |  |
|  |  | Off- <br> Peak | Peak | Super Peak | OffPeak | Peak | Super Peak |
| Electric Spa Heater | 30 min . | \$0.25 | \$0.67 | \$1.90 | \$0.30 | \$0.88 | \$1.43 |
| Pool Filter | 1 hour | \$0.18 | \$0.48 | \$1.37 | \$0.22 | \$0.63 | \$1.03 |
| Electric Water Heater | 1 warm water wash load | \$0.15 | \$0.39 | \$1.12 | \$0.18 | \$0.52 | \$0.84 |
| Electric Water Heater | 6 min. shower | \$0.12 | \$0.32 | \$0.91 | \$0.15 | \$0.42 | \$0.69 |
| Spa Filter | 1 hour | \$0.06 | \$0.17 | \$0.48 | \$0.08 | \$0.22 | \$0.36 |
| Water Bed Heater | 5 hours | \$0.05 | \$0.12 | \$0.34 | \$0.05 | \$0.16 | \$0.26 |

Table 4. Cost of Operating Light Bulbs and Fixtures

| Common Major Appliances | Use Time | Cost Per Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Summer Prices (May-October) |  |  | Winter Prices (November-April) |  |  |
|  |  | OffPeak | Peak | Super Peak | OffPeak | Peak | Super Peak |
| Standard Incandescent Lamp Fixture (Four 60 Watt bulbs) | 1 hour | \$0.02 | \$0.06 | \$0.18 | \$0.03 | \$0.08 | \$0.14 |
| Two 34 Watt Fluorescent Tubes | 1 hour | \$0.01 | \$0.02 | \$0.05 | \$0.01 | \$0.03 | \$0.04 |
| Four 13 Watt Compact Fluorescent Lamps | 1 hour | \$0.01 | \$0.02 | \$0.05 | \$0.01 | \$0.02 | \$0.03 |

Table 5. Cost of Operating Other Smaller Appliances

| Common Major Appliances | Use Time | Cost Per Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Summer Prices (May-October) |  |  | Winter Prices (November-April) |  |  |
|  |  | OffPeak | Peak | Super <br> Peak | Off- <br> Peak | Peak | Super Peak |
| Hair Dryer | 10 min . | \$0.03 | \$0.07 | \$0.20 | \$0.03 | \$0.09 | \$0.15 |
| Coffee Maker | Brewing | \$0.03 | \$0.07 | \$0.19 | \$0.03 | \$0.09 | \$0.14 |
| Iron | 15 min . | \$0.01 | \$0.04 | \$0.11 | \$0.02 | \$0.05 | \$0.08 |
| Vacuum Cleaner | 15 min . | \$0.02 | \$0.05 | \$0.15 | \$0.02 | \$0.07 | \$0.11 |
| Microwave Oven | 5 min . | \$0.01 | \$0.02 | \$0.07 | \$0.01 | \$0.03 | \$0.05 |
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## Electricity Savings Calculations



T I P
Need some help figuring out how to shift and reduce your use to lower your bill? Call us toll free at 1-800-411-SDGE (7343) or visit our Web site: www.sdge.com/eprp

To understand where you can make the biggest impacts by reducing or shifting your electricity use, check out Tips for Saving Money on pages 11 and 12.

## Small Adjustments Lead to Real Savings

Using your pricing plan rates, we can show you a few examples of how even small adjustments can add up to savings for you. While these situations might not exactly fit the way you use electricity in your home, they show that you have many choices to shift or reduce your electricity use to take advantage of savings opportunities on your new pricing plan.

■ To save as much as $\$ 100$ a year, you could shift the time you do laundry. If you typically do three loads of laundry each week on weekday afternoons, just shifting the time you do laundry to OffPeak times can save you money. You may save as much as $\$ 2$ for every load of laundry you do not do on a Super Peak Day.

- You could save about $\$ 40$ a year by replacing six standard incandescent light bulbs in your home with energy efficient compact fluorescent lamps (CFLs). If these six lighting fixtures are on for between a half hour and up to three hours during the day, you can still save money even if you decide that you cannot reduce the time these lights are on (for example, if these lights are on timers or if you prefer to have your porch light on at night). While it is always a good idea to turn off unnecessary lights when you leave a room, you can save money by choosing more energy efficient light bulbs, even if you still need to use them for the same period of time.
■ You could save as much as $\$ 50$ per year, if you take steps to pre-cool your home and keep your air conditioning set at a constant moderate temperature. If you typically keep your thermostat set at about 76 degrees in the summer, lowering your thermostat setting to 74 degrees for only two hours-before the Peak period when your rate is lower-can help you save on your electric bill.
By shifting some of your air conditioning use to Off-Peak times when your rate is lower, you are also pre-cooling your home so your air conditioner does not have to work as hard to maintain your 76 degree setting when it gets hotter outside. You will also be reducing the amount of time your air conditioner will cycle on your higher Peak rate to reach the same set point cooling temperature you have chosen.

If you would like to learn more about how to calculate your electricity use and costs, we offer you an example below. For examples using other appliances or questions, call us toll-free at 1-800-411-SDGE (7343).

## Example: A Central Air Conditioner

How much can you save on your monthly electricity bill by raising the temperature setting a few degrees? Here's how to do the math.

Step 1: Find the Watts indicated on your air conditioner or in the owner's manual.

Air conditioning units typically use 1,250 Watts per ton. For a 3ton air conditioner, for example, the wattage would be about 3,750 Watts.

Step 2: Multiply the wattage by the number of hours used each day.
For example, between the Peak hours of 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. on weekdays, this air conditioner typically cycles on and off, running for about $21 / 2$ hours during that five hour Peak period each summer day.
3,750 Watts $\times 2.5$ hours $=9,375$ Watt hours.
Step 3: Usage Level: Divide the total by 1000 to convert to kilowatt-hours.
9,375 Watt hours / $1000=9.375 \mathrm{kWh}$.
Step 4: Cost Per Day: Multiply per day usage by your Peak rate.
$9.375 \mathrm{kWh} \times \$ 0.276 / \mathrm{kWh}=\$ 2.58$ per day
How much could you save if you still ran your air conditioner the same number of hours, but raised your temperature setting three degrees?

Step 5: Savings Per Day:
You get roughly $5 \%$ savings each time you raise your thermostat setting one degree. If you decided to raise your set point temperature in the afternoons by three degrees, here's what you would save:

3 degrees $=15 \%$ savings
$15 \%$ of your daily cost $(\$ 2.58)=\$ 0.39$
Step 6: Monthly Savings:
20 weekdays per month $\times \$ 0.39$ = You can save about $\$ 8$ per month by simply raising your thermostat temperature three degrees on weekday afternoons.


T I P
Your new electric bill will have your electricity use, measured in kWh, broken down so you can see how much is getting charged to each of your new rates. Shifting any of your electricity use from the Peak to the Off-Peak times can save you money on your bill.

## New Electricity Use Information On Your Bill

On the next two pages, you can see a picture of what your new SDG\&E bill will look like. Your new SDG\&E bill will look very similar to your current bill but will offer you better information about when you use electricity. You will now be able to see your monthly energy use, in kWh, used during Off-Peak periods, Peak periods, and Super Peak periods. Each period has its own rate. Also, you will be charged the same surcharges, receive the same discounts and pay the same taxes that you would pay on your current rate. The rates shown are for illustration only. Your actual rate will appear on your bill.

Soon we will send you a sample of what your average monthly electric bills might look like using an estimate of your home's electricity use. Although your actual electricity use will be different, this sample will help you understand what to expect on your new pricing plan.

After you have participated in the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan for one year, we will also send you a "shadow bill." This shadow bill will compare your monthly electric bills on the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan with what your bills would have been on your old rate. If you would like to request a summary of your electric bills on your new pricing plan compared with your old rate at any time, call us at 1-800-411-SDGE (7343) and we will prepare a customized analysis for you.

## New Billing Information

## Account Number Cycle <br> 7850 $123450 \quad 09$ EPPR CUSTOMER 8J06 CENTURY PARK OT Date Maled: Jul 17, 2003

 Plasse Cedil Por Feyor Ulume 1.500.41t-SDGE (7343)
Web Address: WWw. Sdge.com emsit infoßsidge com

TO 日E SAFE, KEEP ALL ELECTRNC CORDS AWAY FAOM HEAT SOURCES LNLE OVENS. FOR MORE SAFETY TIPS CALL 1-800-411-SDGE (7343).
ACCOUNT SUMMARY
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Previous Account Besance } & 89.98 \\ \text { Payments Receved } & -99.98 \\ \text { Cumment Charges } & 78.41 \\ \text { TOTAL AMOUNT DUE } & 75.41\end{array}$
Please Pay $\$ 76.41$ by Aug 05, 2003
BILL PERIOD

| Servioe | Meter |
| :--- | :---: |
| CA5 | क00123456 |
| ELECTHIC | \#09376543 |

Nowl Meler Mued Datec te-14-ates
Clircult: oass curreetif not subloct to cortalmem

## ENERGY USAGE HISTORY




Mahe Payment To

[^5]San Dioge Gan st Elecric
PO Bat 25111
Sontr A-a, CA g2ree will
7250000554324626400002095170000205017

## New Billing Information



## Event

New Meter. A new advanced meter is installed at your home.
Survey Mailed. A survey is sent to you to support this research project.
\$25 Appreciation Payment. The $\$ 25$ first appreciation payment will be sent to you when the July survey is returned to us.

System Test. We will call you one day in July to make sure we have the correct notification phone number. We will do this as a test of our system so

Beginning in July 2003 we can be sure that you will get a notification call one day before we declare a Super Peak Day.
Rates Change. The next time your meter is read, after your new digital meter is installed, you will be charged for electricity based on your new pricing plan.
Web site Available. You can check out your utility's new pricing plan Web site at:
www.sdge.com/eprp
Sign-in Code Mailed. We will mail your personal sign-in code so you can view your electricity use information anytime on our secure Web site.

Second Appreciation Payment. If you remain on
October 31, 2003 the pricing plan through October 31, 2003, you are eligible for the second appreciation payment of $\$ 75$.

| April 30, 2004 | Third Appreciation Payment. If you remain on the <br> pricing plan through April 30, 2004, you are eligible <br> for the final appreciation payment of $\$ 75$. |
| :---: | :--- |
| During this <br> research project | Your Feedback. You may be contacted by phone so <br> we can get your feedback on how your new pricing <br> plan is working for you. |
| At the end of <br> this research <br> project | Project Completion. You will return to your current <br> rate, or you may choose another available pricing <br> plan. |
| Winter 2004- | Research Results. The research results will be <br> made available to all participants. |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |



To thank you for your support of this important research project, we offer you $\$ 175$ if you stay on your new pricing plan through April 2004.


We will be sending you regular information about your electricity use along with your regular bill from us. Keep track of that information in the pocket at the back of this Welcome Package so you can see how your electricity use changes month to month, when you shift and reduce your use, or when you don't.

There are three ways to get more information about your specific electricity use, the percentage of your use during Peak periods, and what you can do to lower your bills:

## Your SDG\&E Bill

Your bill will show you how much electricity you used in total for the previous billing period during each Shift \& Save Pricing Plan rate period.

## SDG\&E's Web site

On SDG\&E's Web site www.sdge.com/eprp you will be able to find more detail about your electricity use. You will be able to view your weekly electricity use on this Web site and be able to see your electricity use since your new pricing plan began on July 1. Also, you will get tips about reducing your electricity use and shifting your use away from Peak and Super Peak periods.

## SDG\&E's Customer Service Center

Call 1-800-411-SDGE (7343) if you would prefer to get information about your electricity use over the phone or by mail. Any information you can view on SDG\&E's secure Web site will also be available to you if you call our toll free number. Please also call us at this number with general questions about the Shift \& Save Pricing Plan and this research project.

## Appendix 7

## Theory of Consumer Demand

## APPENDIX 7: THEORY OF CONSUMER DEMAND

This appendix discusses the theory of consumer demand, elasticities of demand and substitution and functional forms for estimating demand models.

### 7.1 OVERVIEW OF CONSUMER DEMAND THEORY

In the modern theory of consumer behavior, the individual is assumed to consume goods and services in order to maximize the "utility" he or she derives from the act of consumption, subject to a budget constraint that the sum of all expenses (including savings) cannot exceed the consumer's income. ${ }^{1}$ Conceptually, each consumer faces the following optimization problem:

Maximize utility, which is a function of the quantities consumed of the various goods
and services, subject to a budget constraint and services, subject to a budget constraint.

For reasons discussed below, the utility function is called the direct utility function, $\mathbf{U}$. If U is continuous and twice differentiable, a solution to the consumer's optimization problem can be obtained by using the well-known techniques of the calculus. Otherwise, a solution can be obtained by using the Kuhn-Tucker conditions of mathematical programming. In general, the "first order conditions" of optimization suggest that the consumer should "demand" quantities of each good and service until the ratio of the marginal utilities for goods $i$ and $j$ equal the corresponding price ratios. The "second order condition" of optimization suggests that the underlying $U$ function be concave to the origin, and that the consumer's marginal rate of substitution between goods i and j diminish with increasing j .

Solving this optimization problem yields demand functions, $\mathbf{D}$, that express the quantity a consumer will purchase of a particular good, such as electricity, as a function of the price of electricity, the prices of all other goods and services, and the consumer's income. A University of Cambridge economist, Alfred Marshall, first put forth a graphical way of summarizing the nature of demand functions. Called a demand curve, this shows how the quantity demanded varies with price. ${ }^{2}$ Along a Marshallian demand curve, the consumer's income is held constant, along with the prices of all other goods and services. The consumer's utility varies along the Marshallian demand curve. A few decades later, another English economist, Sir John Hicks of Oxford University, put forth a set of demand curves that hold the utility constant along the curve. ${ }^{3}$ They are called Hicksian (or compensated) demand curves.

The SPP has collected detailed data on electricity consumption by pricing period, but, like most electricity pricing experiments, it has collected minimal information on non-electricity goods and services. To operationalize the theory of demand summarized above, it is necessary to separate the $U$ function into electricity and non-electricity goods and services. This is a fairly common procedure in empirical work.

[^6]The U function is assumed to be separable into two subfunctions, one dealing with electricity (call it $U_{1}$ ) and the other dealing with non-electricity $\left(U_{2}\right) . U_{1}$ can be thought of as being an index of aggregate electricity consumption. Optimization of $U_{1}$ yields a set of electricity-related demand functions, $D_{1}$, that relate electricity consumed in the various pricing periods to electricity prices in each of the periods and total expenditures on electricity (rather than consumer income). In addition, recognizing that consumers who differ in socio-demographic characteristics and appliance holdings are likely to use electricity differently, it is common practice to include explanatory variables on the right hand side that reflect customer characteristics and other determining variables. Finally, since weather conditions have a major impact on electricity consumption, it is useful to include weather variables as explanatory variables.

In empirical work, it is often more convenient to work with the indirect utility function, $\mathbf{V}$, rather than with the direct utility function, U . The V function is obtained by inserting the demand functions, $\mathbf{D}$, back into the direct utility function, $\mathbf{U}$. The indirect utility function, $\mathbf{V}$, expresses consumer well being as a function of prices and income. It is possible to derive the Marshallian demand functions from $V$ by using Roy's identity, which says that the demand functions are equal to the ratio of the differential of $\vee$ with respect to a good's price to the differential of $\vee$ with respect to income. Just as the $U$ function was separated into electricity $\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)$ and non-electricity $\left(\mathrm{U}_{2}\right)$ sub-functions, V can also be separated into electricity $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}\right)$ and non-electricity $\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}\right)$ subfunctions. $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ can be thought as an aggregate price index for electricity.

Finally, it is appropriate to mention the expenditure (or cost) function, E. This function is often used to examine changes in consumer welfare, and it plays a key role in cost-benefit analysis. E is obtained by solving the "dual" problem of minimizing the budget, subject to a given direct utility function. Solving this problem yields a set of Hicksian demand functions that express the quantity consumed as a function of prices and utility. Substituting these demand functions into the budget constraint yields the expenditure function, which expresses demand as a function of prices and utility. According to Shepard's lemma, the Hicksian demand functions can be obtained by differentiating the expenditure function with respect to prices.

### 7.2 ELASTICITIES OF DEMAND AND SUBSTITUTION

Elasticities relate changes in consumer demand to changes in explanatory variables such as prices and income. In the case of electricity, the most frequently used elasticities are the own price and cross-price elasticities of demand. A related concept is the elasticity of substitution (ES). Another concept is the income elasticity of demand.

The own-price elasticity of demand expresses the percent change in demand that occurs in response to a one percent change in a commodity's price, while the cross-price elasticity of demand relates the change in demand in response to a one-percent change in the price of a related commodity. ${ }^{4}$ This definition yields a point elasticitiy of demand, since it deals with small changes at a single point along a demand curve. When the price changes being considered are large, say on the order of 100 percent or higher, it is best to not rely on a point elasticity and instead to compute an "arc elasticity" through model simulation. (See Section 4.1.4 of the main report for a definition and derivation of arc elasticities.)

[^7]Own-price elasticities are always negative, while cross-price elasticities can be positive if two goods are substitutes in consumption or negative if the goods are complements in consumption.

Price elasticities are partial concepts that are calculated with all other variables in the demand function being held constant. They can be calculated for either Marshallian or Hicksian demand functions. In the former case, they are called uncompensated elasticities and in the latter case they are called compensated elasticities.

The elasticity of substitution pertains to the shape of the indifference curves that underlie the $U$ function. Closely related to the own-price and cross-price elasticities of demand, the elasticity of substitution was first put forth by R. G. D. Allen, a British economist who taught at the London School of Economics.

The income elasticity of demand expresses the change in demand that occurs in response to a one-percent change in income.

When the price of a commodity increases, a consumer uses less of that commodity if nothing else changes. There are two reasons for this. First, since the commodity has become more expensive relative to its substitutes, a consumer will use less of it. This is a "pure" price effect, and is measured as a movement along the Hicksian demand curve. The reduction of consumption is called the substitution effect, and it can be estimated by using the Hicksian ownprice elasticity of demand. The second reason a consumer will reduce consumption of a commodity is that his or her income has diminished in purchasing power. This reduction in consumption is called the income effect, and it can be estimated by the income elasticity of demand, weighted with the share of this commodity in a consumer's budget.

A Russian economist, E. E. Slutsky, derived a relationship between these effects in an equation named after him. The equation states that the own-price elasticity of demand equals the compensated own-price elasticity of demand plus the product of the income elasticity of demand and the budget share of the commodity in question.

### 7.3 FUNCTIONAL FORMS AND DEMAND SYSTEMS

Having reviewed key theoretical concepts, we now lay out a series of steps for estimating demand functions for electricity consumption by time period. The ultimate objective is to determine consumer preferences (or utility) associated with consuming electricity by TOU period. However, since preferences cannot be measured directly, we must estimate demand functions in order to infer preferences. In the earlier discussion, we showed that demand functions were derived by differentiating either the direct utility function (U), the indirect utility function $(\mathrm{V})$ or the expenditure function (E). If the demand functions satisfy what Paul Samuelson has called the integrability conditions, we can infer preferences from them. ${ }^{5}$

So far the discussion has addressed general concepts. For a system of demand equations to be estimated with real data, a mathematical functional form must be specified. There is no universally accepted functional form in the economics literature that dominates all others, since

[^8]various functional forms have specific strengths and weaknesses and all are approximations to an underlying but unknown functional form.

Four functional forms are commonly used in the literature dealing with TOU pricing:

- Double-Logarithmic (DL)
- Quadratic
- Constant-elasticity-of-substitution (CES)
- Generalized Leontief (GL)


### 7.4.1 Double-Logarithmic (DL) Functional Form

Th DL model specification has been used to estimate demand systems for all types of consumer goods and services, largely because of its simplicity of interpretation and ease of estimation. The coefficients on the price terms are the (point) elasticities, and can be directly read off the estimation printouts. In addition, the equations can often be estimated using ordinary least squares (OLS). ${ }^{6}$

Purists regard the double-logarithmic functional form as an ad hoc specification, since its demand equations are not strictly consistent with the economic theory outlined earlier in this appendix. That is, they cannot be obtained from the process of utility maximization. A DL functional form can accommodate the homogeneity restrictions due to Euler (demands should be unchanged if all prices rise by the same amount as income) but not the Engel or Cournot aggregation restrictions discussed earlier.

With the DL model, the natural logarithm of electricity use is a function of the natural logarithm of peak and off-peak prices and other variables such as socio-demographic and economic characteristics and weather. This functional form has the advantage of instantly yielding (point) price elasticities of demand. For example, the coefficient of the peak-period price in the equation for peak-period energy use is the (point) own-price elasticity of demand for peak energy use, and the coefficient of the off-peak price in the same equation is the (point) crossprice elasticity of peak-period energy use given a change in the off-peak price.

With the DL specification, all own-price and cross-price elasticities are constant across various price levels. Some analysts find this fact disconcerting, citing anecdotal evidence that price elasticities vary with the level of price. At very low prices, customers do not respond to price changes. At very high levels, they have exhausted their ability to respond. Most of the "average" response occurs at moderate price levels. The DL functional form can be modified to capture such non-linearities in customer response to price changes. The easiest way to accomplish this is to introduce cross-product variables on the right hand side, consisting of the product of the various price terms and the socio-demographic, economic and weather terms.

[^9]
### 7.4.2 Quadratic Functional Form

Like the DL functional form, the quadratic functional form is not derived from the theory of utility maximization. However, it is widely used in the empirical literature, since it overcomes one of the weaknesses of the DL functional form, namely constant price elasticities. Peak-period usage is expressed as a linear combination of peak and off-peak prices, of the squares of these prices, and of all non-price terms mentioned above. The price elasticities are not constant in this functional form, but vary with price. If the coefficients on the squared terms are zero, or statistically indistinguishable from zero, this functional form reduces to a linear demand system.

### 7.4.3 Constant-Elasticity-of-Substitution (CES) Functional Form

The CES functional form was developed jointly in 1961 by four economists, Kenneth Arrow, Hollis Chenery, Bagicha Minhas, and Robert Solow. Arrow and Solow were subsequently awarded the Nobel Prize, partly for their research on the CES functional form. The CES has been widely used in the empirical literature, on both the producer and consumer fronts.

For a two-part TOU rate, he CES functional form expresses the ratio of peak and off-peak energy use as a function of an intercept term, the ratio of peak and off-peak prices and the nonprice terms mentioned above. The coefficient on the price ratio is the elasticity of substitution, which is related to the own-price and cross-price elasticities of demand, as shown in Appendix 9. The intercept term is the ratio of peak and off-peak energy use.

The CES functional form has been widely used in the analysis of TOU experiments. ${ }^{7}$ The CES function has the advantage of being fully consistent with the neoclassical theory of utility maximization discussed earlier. It is valid for any non-negative value of the elasticity of substitution (ES), and it satisfies globally the second-order (concavity) conditions associated with utility maximization.

The CES function includes as a special case two popular functional forms, the Cobb-Douglas functional form, which features a constant ES of one, and the Leontief functional form, which features an ES of zero. The Leontief functional form, due to Nobel laureate Wassily Leontief, is also called the fixed-coefficients functional form, since it asserts that consumers use products in a fixed proportion to each other and there is therefore no potential for substituting one for the other when their relative prices change.

Researchers have used both functional forms on a stand-alone basis for estimating consumer demand systems for a variety of products such as food, clothing and housing. However, since prior electricity pricing experiments have shown that consumers do respond to TOU pricing in a statistically significant but small fashion, the Cobb-Douglas form has not been used for estimating response to TOU pricing.

[^10]
### 7.4.4 Generalized Leontief (GL) Functional Form

The GL functional form, due to Erwin Diewert, is a generalization of Leontief's fixed-coefficient functional form discussed above. The direct utility function expresses customer satisfaction (utility) as a function of the square root of the quantities consumed. The associated demand functions express the logarithms of the quantity ratios as functions of the logarithms of the ratios of the square root of prices.

Like the CES function, the GL function is consistent with the neoclassical theory of utility maximization. It does not constrain the ES to be constant, and is therefore called a "flexible" functional form. However, this flexibility comes at a price. Unlike the CES, the GL is not valid for all possible values of the true ES. It is well suited to modeling demand systems with "small" price elasticities, such as those found in most TOU studies. ${ }^{8}$

[^11]
## Appendix 8

## Derivation of Equations for Predicting Rate Impacts

## APPENDIX 8: DERIVATION OF EQUATIONS FOR PREDICTING RATE IMPACTS

One of the primary objectives of the SPP is to develop demand models that can be used to predict the impact not only of the rates tested in the SPP but also of alternative rate levels. In doing so, it is not appropriate to use point elasticities that are estimated for each model, since they are only accurate for measuring the impact of small price changes. It is essential to use the full demand models when making impact predictions.

The following three sections show the derivation of the equations for predicting energy use given a change in prices using the CES demand models derived as part of the SPP analysis. Section 8.1 shows the derivation for the basic CES model. Section 8.2 shows the derivation for a model that includes the combined impact of a change in energy use based on technology dispatch and the impact due to price-induced behavioral changes. Section 8.3 shows how to isolate the effect of price change for customers whose usage decreases in response to prices and smart thermostat technology.

### 8.1 PREDICTING RATE IMPACTS USING THE BASIC CES MODEL SPECIFICATION

This section presents an example of the derivation of the equations that can be used to predict changes in electricity use by time period given time-varying rates. The derivation is done for a three-period tariff (such as the CPP-V rate on CPP days when the control period is less than the full peak period). A three-period rate would include a peak period, an off-peak period and a shoulder period. ${ }^{1}$ The derivation presented here describes how a customer responds to a price signal given starting values for energy use by rate period, weather, and CAC saturations.

In the baseline case with constant prices (which apply to the control group in either the pretreatment period or the treatment period and the treatment group in the pre-treatment period), the following relationships hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right)=a_{12}+b_{12} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{i}$ is electricity use in period $i$ in kilowatt hours per hour (number of kilowatts used in period $i$ divided by the number of hours in period 1 ), $a_{12}$ is the intercept and all the variables in the regression model that do not change with price, and $b_{12}$ is the elasticity of substitution between rate periods 1 and 2 .

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right)=a_{23}+b_{23} \ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^12]Daily energy use equals the sum of the quantity used in each rate period. Expressed in terms of $\mathrm{kWh} /$ hour, daily energy use is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}=\frac{h_{1} K_{1}+h_{2} K_{2}+h_{3} K_{3}}{24} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{i}=$ the number of hours in period $i$.
The same equations hold for treatment quantities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{12}+b_{12} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K^{\prime} i$ is the predicted usage in period one at the treatment price, and $P_{i}^{\prime}$ is the treatment price.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{23}+b_{23} \ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}^{\prime}=\frac{h_{1} K_{1}^{\prime}+h_{2} K_{2}^{\prime}+h_{3} K_{3}^{\prime}}{24} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Start by subtracting equation (0.1) from (0.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{12}+b_{12} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)\right)-\left(\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right)=a_{12}+b_{12} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 1.7 reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right)+b_{12}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the right hand side of equation 1.8 equal $\mathrm{A}_{12}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{12}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right)+b_{12}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=A_{12}  \tag{1.10}\\
& \ln \left(K_{1}^{\prime}\right)=A_{12}+\ln \left(K_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Exponentiating equation 1.10, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \ln \left(K_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(A_{12}+\ln \left(K_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)  \tag{1.11}\\
\quad \Rightarrow K_{1}^{\prime}=e^{A_{12}} K_{2}^{\prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

Through a similar process, we can derive an expression for $K_{3}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=A_{23} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{23}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right)+b_{23}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exponentiating, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln K_{3}^{\prime}=\ln K_{2}^{\prime}-A_{23}  \tag{1.14}\\
& \exp \ln \left(K_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(\ln K_{2}^{\prime}-A_{23}\right) \\
& \quad \Rightarrow K_{3}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} / e^{A_{23}}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting equations 1.11 and 1.14 into equation 1.6, we get the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{K}^{\prime}=\frac{h_{1} K_{2}^{\prime} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2} K_{2}^{\prime}+h_{3} K_{2}^{\prime} e^{-A_{23}}}{24}  \tag{1.15}\\
\bar{K}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime}\left(\frac{h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+h_{3} e^{-A_{23}}}{24}\right)  \tag{1.16}\\
K_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{24 \overline{K^{\prime}}}{e^{A_{12}} h_{1}+h_{2}+e^{-A_{23}} h_{3}}
\end{gather*}
$$

In sum, the predicted values for electricity use per hour given prices $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$, ,: $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }_{3}$ are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}^{\prime}=e^{A_{12}} K_{2}^{\prime} \\
& K_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{24 \overline{K^{\prime}}}{e^{A_{12}} h_{1}+h_{2}+e^{-A_{23}} h_{3}}  \tag{1.17}\\
& K_{3}^{\prime}=e^{-A_{23}} K_{2}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

The two-period rate is a special case of this set of relationships where $A_{23}=0$.
The formula for daily electricity use per hour is calculated below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}=a+d \ln (\bar{P}) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the treatment group, average daily electricity use per hour is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}^{\prime}=a+d \ln \left(\overline{P^{\prime}}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (1.18) from (1.19), we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\ln \bar{K}^{\prime}=a+d \ln \left(\overline{P^{\prime}}\right)\right)-(\ln \bar{K}=a+d \ln (\bar{P})) \\
& \ln \overline{K^{\prime}}=\ln \bar{K}+d \ln \left(\frac{\overline{P^{\prime}}}{\bar{P}}\right) \\
& \bar{K}^{\prime}=e^{\ln \bar{K}^{\prime}} e^{d \ln \left(\frac{\bar{P}}{\bar{P}}\right)}  \tag{1.20}\\
& \bar{K}^{\prime}=\overline{K^{\prime}} *\left(\frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{P}}\right)^{d}
\end{align*}
$$

### 8.2 PREDICTING RATE IMPACTS IN THE SPECIAL CASE INVOLVING THE TECHNOLOGY RESPONSE COEFFICIENT

As described in the main report, all Track C customers had smart thermostats automated peakperiod load reductions on CPP days. The cumulative impacts due to smart thermostats and price change are explained below. The impact of technology alone and price change alone is also discussed.

For the Track $C$ analysis, an equation similar to (1.1) is modified by adding a technology response variable (dispatch). In the exercise of the pilot for Track C customers, there were two control groups. One CPP days, the enabling technology was dispatched for one group and not for the other. For each CPP day, for control customers whose technology was dispatched, the value of the dispatch variable was equal to 1 where as for control customers whose technology was not dispatched, the value of the technology coefficient equals 0 . The following equations represent these two instances:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}}\right)=a_{13}+b_{13} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{3}}\right)+f_{13} * \text { dispatch } \\
& \text { or }  \tag{2.1}\\
& \ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}}\right)=a_{13}+b_{13} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{3}}\right)+f_{13} * 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{i}=$ energy use per hour in period $i$, and $P_{i}=$ price per unit of energy in period $i$. Also,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right)=a_{23}+b_{23} \ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
\bar{K}=\frac{K_{1} h_{1}+K_{2} h_{2}+K_{3} h_{3}}{24} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h_{i}$ is the number of hours in period $i$. The same equations hold for the treatment customers, except that dispatch is now equal to one on all CPP days. Note that dispatch only affects the formula containing period 1 (peak-period):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \left(\frac{K_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{13}+b_{13} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)+f_{13} * \text { dispatch } \\
& \text { or }  \tag{2.4}\\
& \ln \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{13}+b_{13} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)+1^{*} f_{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}{ }^{\prime}}{K_{3}{ }^{\prime}}\right)=a_{23}+b_{23} \ln \left(\frac{P_{2}{ }^{\prime}}{P_{3}{ }^{\prime}}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}^{\prime}=\frac{K_{1}{ }^{\prime} h_{1}+K_{2}{ }^{\prime} h_{2}+K_{3}{ }^{\prime} h_{3}}{24} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, start by subtracting (2.1) from (2.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{13}+b_{13} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{P_{3}{ }^{\prime}}\right)+1 * f_{13}\right)-\left(\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}}\right)=a_{13}+b_{13} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{3}}\right)+0 * f_{13}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving us:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}}\right)+b_{13}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{3}}\right)\right)+f_{13} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, set the following quantity to A :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{13}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}}\right)+b_{13}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{3}}\right)\right)+f_{13} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

leaving us with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=A_{13} \quad \text { or } \quad \ln \left(K_{1}^{\prime}\right)=A_{13}+\ln \left(K_{3}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exponentiating, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{\ln \left(K_{1}^{\prime}\right)}=e^{\left(A_{13}+\ln \left(K_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \quad \Rightarrow K_{1}^{\prime}=e^{A_{13}} K_{3}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

Through a similar process, we can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=A_{23} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{23}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right)+b_{23}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exponentiating, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow K_{2}^{\prime}=e^{A_{23}} K_{3}^{\prime} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leaves us with:

$$
K_{1}^{\prime}=e^{A_{13}} K_{3}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
K_{2}^{\prime}=e^{A_{23}} K_{3}^{\prime}
$$

Inserting both of these into eq. (2.3):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{K}^{\prime}=\frac{h_{1} e^{A_{13}} K_{3}^{\prime}+h_{2} K_{3}^{\prime} e^{A_{23}}+h_{3} K_{3}^{\prime}}{24}  \tag{2.15}\\
\bar{K}^{\prime}=\frac{K_{3}^{\prime}\left(h_{1} e^{A_{13}}+h_{2} e^{A_{23}}+h_{3}\right)}{24}  \tag{2.16}\\
K_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{24 \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{13}}+h_{2} e^{A_{23}}+h_{3}\right)} \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finishing up, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{1}^{\prime} & =e^{A_{13}} K_{3}^{\prime} \\
K_{2}^{\prime} & =e^{A_{23}} K_{3}^{\prime}  \tag{2.18}\\
K_{3}^{\prime} & =\frac{24 \bar{K}}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{13}}+h_{2} e^{A_{23}}+h_{3}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

In the impact simulators, these equations appear in a different, but equivalent form. In the impact simulator, the term $A_{12}$ is defined instead of $A_{13}$. Let $\mathrm{A}_{12}$ be defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{12}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right)+f_{13}+b_{12}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following is true:

$$
A_{13}-A_{23}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}}\right)+b_{13}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{3}}\right)\right)+f_{13}-\left(\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right)+b_{23}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right)\right)
$$

note : $b_{13}=b_{23}=b_{12}$
$=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1} K_{3}}{K_{3} K_{2}}\right)+f_{13}+b_{12}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{3}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right)$
$=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right)+f_{13}+b_{12}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime} P_{3}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1} P_{3}}{P_{3} P_{2}}\right)\right)$
$=A_{12}$

Redefine $\mathrm{K}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ in terms of $\mathrm{A}_{12}$. This is the formula that appears in the impact simulator:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{24 \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{13}-A_{23}}+h_{2}+\frac{h_{3}}{e^{A_{23}}}\right)}  \tag{2.21}\\
& K_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{24 \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+\frac{h_{3}}{e^{A_{23}}}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Redefine $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ in terms of $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{12}$. These are the formulas that appear in the impact simulator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}^{\prime}=e^{A_{13}} K_{3}^{\prime} \\
& K_{3}^{\prime}=K_{1}^{\prime} / e^{A_{13}} \\
& K_{2}^{\prime}=e^{A_{23}} K_{3}^{\prime} \\
& K_{2}^{\prime}=e^{A_{23}} K_{1}^{\prime} / e^{A_{13}} \\
& K_{1}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} e^{A_{13}-A_{23}} \\
& K_{1}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} e^{A_{12}} \\
& \text { then } \\
& K_{3}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} e^{A_{12}} / e^{A_{13}} \\
& K_{3}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} e^{A_{12}-A_{13}} \\
& \text { note }: A_{13}-A_{23}=A_{12} \\
& -A_{23}=A_{12}-A_{13} \\
& K_{3}{ }^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} e^{-A_{23}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula for the new daily usage resulting from price change is calculated below. For the control group:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{K}=a+d \ln (\bar{P})+u^{*} \text { dispatch, } \\
& \text { or }  \tag{2.23}\\
& \bar{K}=a+d \ln (\bar{P})+u * 0
\end{align*}
$$

For the treatment average daily usage:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{K}^{\prime}=a+d \ln \left(\overline{P^{\prime}}\right)+u^{*} \text { dispatch, } \\
& \text { or }  \tag{2.24}\\
& \bar{K}^{\prime}=a+d \ln \left(\overline{P^{\prime}}\right)+u^{*} 1
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (2.23) from (2.24):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\ln \bar{K}^{\prime}=a+d \ln \left(\overline{P^{\prime}}\right)+u\right)-(\ln \bar{K}=a+d \ln (\bar{P})) \\
& \ln \overline{K^{\prime}}=\ln \bar{K}+d \ln \left(\frac{\overline{P^{\prime}}}{\bar{P}}\right)+u \\
& \bar{K}^{\prime}=e^{\ln \bar{K}^{\prime}} e^{d \ln \left(\overline{P^{\prime}}\right)} e^{u}  \tag{2.25}\\
& \bar{K}^{\prime}=\overline{K^{\prime}} * e^{u *\left(\frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{P}}\right)^{d}}
\end{align*}
$$

To determine the effect of technology only, dispatch=1 and $P^{\prime}$, is equal to the control group prices in period i . The effect of the CES is zeroed, as zero price change results in $\ln \frac{P_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{P_{2}{ }^{\prime}}$ and $\ln \frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{P}}$ equal to 0 (as appears in (2.19) and (2.25), respectively). To determine the effect of price only, dispatch $=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is equal to the treatment group prices in period i .

### 8.3 PREDICTING BEGINNING USAGE F IN THE SPECIAL CASE INVOLVING the technology response coerficient

For Residential CPPV Track C customers, there were three customer groups: no smart thermostat dispatch and no price change; smart thermostat dispatch and no price change; and smart thermostat dispatch and price change. The aggregate impact of price change and technology was calculated using the beginning usage of the first group. For Commercial and Industrial Track C customers, control customer thermostats were always dispatched along with treatment customers (that is, no customers fell into the first group above). Thus, the beginning usage without smart thermostats is unobserved. However, using the econometric model and algebra, we can predict the beginning usage without smart thermostats. This memo details how we solve for the energy use on CPP days in the absence of the technology effect.

Let $K_{1}$ be the quantity used by a track C C\&I customer on a CPP-day if there was no smartmeter technology dispatched.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}}\right)=a_{13}+b_{13} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{3}}\right)+0 * f \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right)=a_{23}+b_{23} \ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}$ is usage in $\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{hr}$ in period 1 . Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}=\frac{K_{1} h_{1}+K_{2} h_{2}+K_{3} h_{3}}{24} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K^{\prime}$ be the quantity used with smart meter technology. These equations are the same as (3.1) and (3.2), except now $f$ is multiplied by 1 :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{13}+b_{13} \ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)+1^{*} f  \tag{3.4}\\
\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{23}+b_{23} \ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}^{\prime}=\frac{K_{1}^{\prime} h_{1}+K_{2}^{\prime} h_{2}+K_{3}^{\prime} h_{3}}{24} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, note that our treatment group experiences the effect of smart thermostats but not any price change. Thus, $\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=\ln (1)=0$. The price ratios drop out of equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.4), and (3.5). Subtract equation (3.1) from (3.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{13}+f\right)-\left(\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}}\right)=a_{13}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exponentiating, we arrive at:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=e^{f *}\left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{3}}\right) \\
& \text { or }  \tag{3.8}\\
& K_{1}=\frac{K_{1}{ }^{\prime *} K_{3}}{e^{f} * K_{3}^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (3.2) from(3.5):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=a_{23}\right)-\left(\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right)=a_{23}\right) \\
& \ln \left(\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}\right)=\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right) \\
& \text { or } \\
& K_{2}=\frac{K_{3} K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the daily equation, we have the simple equation with no daily price elasticity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln (\bar{K})=a \\
& \text { and }  \tag{3.10}\\
& \ln \left(\bar{K}^{\prime}\right)=a+u
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$ is the effect of smart technology on average daily usage.
Subtracting these two equations and exponentiating, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \bar{K}=\ln \left(\bar{K}^{\prime}\right)-u \\
& \bar{K}=e^{\ln \left(\bar{K}^{\prime}\right)-u}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \bar{K}=\frac{\bar{K}^{\prime}}{e^{u}}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11) into (3.3), we can solve for $K_{3}$ in terms of observed values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{K}=\frac{K_{1} h_{1}+K_{2} h_{2}+K_{3} h_{3}}{24} \\
& K_{1}=\frac{K_{1}{ }^{\prime *} K_{3}}{e^{f} * K_{3}^{\prime}} \\
& K_{2}=\frac{K_{3} K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{3}^{\prime}} \\
& \bar{K}=\frac{\bar{K}^{\prime}}{e^{u}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{\bar{K}}{}{ }^{\prime} e^{u}=\frac{\frac{K_{1}{ }^{\prime} * K_{3}}{e^{f} * K_{3}{ }^{\prime} h_{1}+\frac{K_{3} K_{2}{ }^{\prime}}{K_{3}{ }^{\prime}} h_{2}+K_{3} h_{3}}}{24}$
$\frac{24 * \bar{K}^{\prime}}{e^{u}}=K_{3}\left(\frac{K_{1}{ }^{\prime} h_{1} e^{-f}}{K_{3}{ }^{\prime}}+\frac{h_{2} K_{2}{ }^{\prime}}{K_{3}{ }^{\prime}}+h_{3}\right)$
$\frac{24 * \bar{K}^{\prime}}{e^{u}}=K_{3}\left(\frac{K_{1}{ }^{\prime} h_{1} e^{-f}+h_{2} K_{2}{ }^{\prime}+K_{3}{ }^{\prime} h_{3}}{K_{3}{ }^{\prime}}\right)$
$K_{3}=\frac{24 * \bar{K}^{\prime} * K_{3}{ }^{\prime}}{\left(K_{1}{ }^{\prime} h_{1} e^{-f}+h_{2} K_{2}{ }^{\prime}+K_{3}{ }^{\prime} h_{3}\right) * e^{u}}$

## Appendix 9

## Derivation of Own and Cross-Price Elasticities from the CES Model

## APPENDIX 9: DERIVATION OF OWN AND CROSS-PRICE ELASTICITIES FROM THE CES MODEL

The CES functional form includes the elasticity of substitution and the daily price elasticity. Corresponding to these two elasticities are the conventional (Marshallian) own and cross-price elasticities discussed in Appendix 7. Point estimates of the own-price and cross-price elasticities of demand for the CES demand model can be derived analytically. ${ }^{1}$ For large price changes, it is best to derive them through model simulation.

To recap, the CES demand model comprises two equations. The first equation expresses the ratio of energy use in each rate period as a function of the ratio of prices in each period, is specified by the following equation (where the weather term, fixed effects and the other interaction terms have been dropped for simplicity):

$$
\ln \left(\frac{Q_{p}}{Q_{o p}}\right)=a+b \ln \left(\frac{P_{p}}{P_{o p}}\right)
$$

where
$Q_{p}=$ the quantity of energy used in the peak period
$Q_{o p}=$ the quantity of energy used in the off-peak period
$P_{p}=$ the price of energy in the peak period
$P_{o p}=$ the price of energy the off-peak period.

When there are only two usage periods, the following identity holds:

$$
Q_{d}=Q_{p}+Q_{o p}
$$

where $Q_{d}=$ daily energy use.
The second CES equation pertains to daily electricity consumption and has the following specification:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(Q_{d}\right)=c+d \ln \left(P_{d}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^13]where $P_{d}=$ average daily price (e.g., a usage weighted average of the peak and off-peak prices for the day),
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}=w_{p} P_{p}+w_{o p} P_{o p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $w_{p}=$ total peak period electricity use and $w_{o p}$ is similarly defined.
To further simplify, we define the following budget shares:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{p}=\left(\frac{w_{p} P_{p}}{w_{p} P_{p}+w_{o p} P_{o p}}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& z_{o p}=\left(\frac{w_{o p} P_{o p}}{w_{p} P_{p}+w_{o p} P_{o p}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining relevant equations and terms, and applying the chain rule, we get the following expressions for the own- and cross-price elasticities of demand:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \ln Q_{p}}{\partial \ln P_{p}}=\eta_{p}=w_{o p} b+d z_{p}  \tag{5}\\
& \frac{\partial \ln Q_{p}}{\partial \ln P_{o p}}=\eta_{p, o p}=-w_{o p} b+d z_{o p}  \tag{6}\\
& \frac{\partial \ln Q_{o p}}{\partial \ln P_{p}}=\eta_{o p, p}=-w_{p} b+d z_{p} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \ln Q_{o p}}{\partial \ln P_{o p}}=\eta_{o p}=w_{p} b+d z_{o p} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\eta_{p}=$ own-price elasticity in the peak period

$$
\eta_{p, o p}=\text { cross-price elasticity in the peak period }
$$

$\eta_{o p, p}=$ cross-price elasticity in the off-peak period
$\eta_{o p}=$ own-price elasticity in the peak period.

## Appendix 10

## Calculation of Standard Errors for Elasticities and Demand Impacts

## APPENDIX 10: CALCULATION OF STANDARD ERRORS FOR IMPACTS AND ELASTICITIES

### 10.1 Standard Errors of Elasticities

This appendix describes how the standard errors are derived for the elasticity of substitution and the daily price elasticity which, for most models, are functions of multiple variables such as weather and CAC ownership. To estimate these standard errors, we first calculate the covariance matrix of the coefficients in the substitution equation and those in the daily equation. Below is an example of the covariance matrix: ${ }^{1}$


In the process of calculating the standard errors of the elasticities, we create a matrix similar in structure to the formulas used to calculate effective elasticities, which appear below:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{b}=B+D * \overline{C A C}+E * \overline{\left(D H_{p}-D H_{o p}\right)}  \tag{1.1}\\
\bar{d}=Q+S * \overline{C A C}+T * \overline{D H}_{\text {daily }} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We create the following matrix:

[^14]\[

W=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{c|cccccccccc} 
& A & B & C & D & E & P & Q & R & S & T \\
\hline \bar{b} & 0 & 1 & 0 & \overline{C A C} & \overline{\left(D H_{p}-D H_{o p}\right)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bar{d} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \overline{C A C} & \overline{D H}_{\text {daily }}
\end{array}
$$\right\}
\]

To calculate zonal specific impacts, we add more rows to this matrix, analogous to those above, but with zonal specific CAC saturations and zonal specific weather.

We then perform the following matrix multiplication:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{COVAR}_{\bar{b}_{-} \bar{d}}=[\mathrm{W}] *[\operatorname{COVAR}] *[W]^{T} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W^{T}$ is the transpose of the $W$ matrix. This results in a two-by-two variance-covariance matrix between the elasticity of substitution (b) and the daily elasticity (d):

$$
\operatorname{COVAR}_{\bar{b}_{-} \bar{d}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c|cc} 
& \bar{b} & \bar{d}  \tag{1.4}\\
\hline \bar{b} & \operatorname{Var}_{\bar{b}_{\bar{b}}} & \operatorname{Covar}_{\bar{b}_{-} \bar{d}} \\
\bar{d} & \operatorname{Covar}_{\bar{b}_{-} \bar{d}} & \operatorname{Var}_{\bar{d}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where the standard error of the elasticity is simply the square root of the variance.
We estimate the T-statistic:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{\bar{b}}=\frac{\bar{b}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{\bar{b}}}}  \tag{1.5}\\
& T_{\bar{d}}=\frac{\bar{d}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}_{\bar{d}}}} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

### 10.2 Standard Errors for Demand Impacts

We use the "Delta Method" to estimate the standard errors for the estimated energy use by rate period under alternative price regimes. ${ }^{2}$ This method is also used to estimate standard errors for the \% Change in energy use between the pre- and post-treatment periods under the alternative price regimes. The estimated usage can be written as a non-linear function of the estimated elasticities $\bar{b}$ and $\bar{d}$ and the peak and off-peak prices. Uncertainty in this estimate arises from the uncertainty in the estimation of the elasticities.

Appendix 8 showed how the CES model is used to estimate energy use by rate period given a price change. We now calculate the variance of these estimates.

The vector of derivatives of energy use with respect to the elasticity of substitution and the daily elasticity is calculated below. This vector is also referred to as the coefficients of linearization:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overrightarrow{D K_{1}} \\
& \prime  \tag{1.7}\\
& \overrightarrow{D K_{2}} \\
& \\
& \frac{\partial K_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{b}}
\end{align*} \frac{\frac{\partial K_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{d}}}{}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{b}} & \frac{\partial K_{2}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{d}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

These vectors differ but are manipulated in the same way using matrix algebra. We approximate the distribution of period $i$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{i}^{\prime} \sim \operatorname{normal}\left(K_{i}^{\prime},\left[\overrightarrow{D K}_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right] *[\operatorname{COVAR}] *\left[\overrightarrow{D K}_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right]^{T}\right)  \tag{1.8}\\
S E_{K_{i}^{\prime}}=\sqrt{\left[\overrightarrow{D K}_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right] *[\operatorname{COVAR}] *\left[\overrightarrow{D K}_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right]^{T}} \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Below, we derive the coefficients of linearization in (1.7) for the three-period model. In the twoperiod model, $K_{3}^{\prime}$ is equal to 0 , but all the calculations are equivalent.

[^15]We assume that all cross-period elasticities are equal. In other words:

$$
b_{12}=b_{23}=b
$$

In the three period model, we have the following usage formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}^{\prime}=e^{A_{12}} K_{2}^{\prime} \\
& K_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}\right) \overline{K^{\prime}}}{e^{A_{12}} h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3} e^{-A_{23}}}  \tag{1.10}\\
& K_{3}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} e^{-A_{23}}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiate the following with respect to the substitution and daily price elasticities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{24 \overline{K^{\prime}}}{h_{2}+e^{A_{12}} h_{1}+e^{-A_{23}} h_{3}} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use the chain rule to simplify, by defining the following :

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=24 \bar{K}^{\prime} * z^{-1} \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}=-24 \bar{K}^{\prime} * z^{-2} \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{align*}
& z=\left(h_{2}+e^{A_{12}} h_{1}+e^{-A_{23}} h_{3}\right) \\
& A_{12}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right)+b_{12}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& A_{23}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right)+b_{23}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right)  \tag{1.13}\\
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial b}=h_{1} e^{A_{12} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right)-h_{3} e^{A_{23}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, noting that $\bar{K}^{\prime}$ does not depend on $b$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b_{12}}=\frac{\partial y}{\partial z} * \frac{\partial z}{\partial b_{12}} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b_{12}}=\left(-24 \bar{K}^{\prime} * z^{-2}\right) *\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right)-h_{3} e^{A_{23}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b_{12}}=\left(-24 \bar{K}^{\prime} *\left(h_{2}+h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{3} e^{-A_{23}}\right)^{-2}\right) *\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right)-h_{3} e^{A_{23}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the impact simulator, this is programmed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b_{12}}=-K_{2}^{\prime} *\left(h_{2}+h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{3} e^{A_{32}}\right)^{-1} *\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right)+h_{3} e^{A_{23}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{3}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{3}}{P_{2}}\right)\right)\right)( \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To differentiate the usage formulas for the first and third periods, we will utilize the product rule:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial b}=\frac{\partial e^{A_{12}}}{\partial b} K_{2}^{\prime}+e^{A_{12}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial b}=\left[e^{A_{12}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) * K_{2}^{\prime}\right]+e^{A_{12}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b} \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

In the impact simulator this is programmed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial b}=\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) * K_{1}^{\prime}+e^{A_{12}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the third period:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial b}=\frac{\partial e^{-A_{23}}}{\partial b} K_{2}^{\prime}+e^{-A_{23}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial b}=\frac{\partial e^{-A_{23}}}{\partial b} K_{2}^{\prime}+e^{-A_{23}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b}  \tag{1.18}\\
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial b}=\left[-e^{-A_{23}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right) * K_{2}^{\prime}\right]+e^{-A_{23}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b}
\end{align*}
$$

In the impact simulator this is programmed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial b}=e^{-A_{23}} *\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{3}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{3}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) * K_{2}^{\prime}+e^{-A_{23}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lastly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\partial b}=0 \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiate usage with respect to the daily price elasticity for daily, peak, shoulder and off-peak periods:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{K}^{\prime}=\overline{K^{\prime}} *\left(\frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{P}}\right)^{d} \\
& \frac{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=\bar{K}^{*} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{P}}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{P}}\right)^{d}  \tag{1.21}\\
& \frac{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=\bar{K}^{\prime} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{P}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{24 \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+\frac{h_{3}}{e^{A_{23}}}\right)} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=\frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\partial d} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=\frac{24 \frac{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\partial d}}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+\frac{h_{3}}{e^{A_{23}}}\right)} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=\frac{24 \bar{K}^{\prime} \ln \left(\frac{\overline{P^{\prime}}}{\bar{P}}\right)}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+\frac{h_{3}}{e^{A_{23}}}\right)} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=K_{2} \ln \left(\frac{\overline{P^{\prime}}}{\bar{P}}\right) \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}^{\prime}=K_{2}{ }^{\prime} e^{A_{12}} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=\frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial K_{2}{ }^{\prime}} \frac{\partial K_{2}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial d} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=e^{A_{12}} * \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial d}  \tag{1.23}\\
& K_{3}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} / e^{A_{23}} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=\frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial K_{2}{ }^{\prime}} \frac{\partial K_{2}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial d} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial d}=e^{-A_{23}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial d}
\end{align*}
$$

### 10.3 Standard Errors Of Energy Use With Technology Response Variables

This section derives the formulas for the coefficients of linearizations in the three-period model with a technology-response coefficient. Adding the technology response coefficient is analogous to adding another elasticity, and is treated in the manner in which we combined the variances of the elasticity of substitution and the daily elasticity. The variance-covariance matrix now contains the covariance between the technology response coefficient in the elasticity of substitution model, $f$, and all other variables; and the covariance between the technology response coefficient in the daily elasticity, $u$, and all other variables:

COVAR $=\left\{\begin{array}{l|llllllllllll} & A & B & C & D & E & F & P & Q & R & S & T & U \\ \hline A & \ldots & & & & & & & & & & & \\ B & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ C & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ D & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ E & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ F & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ P & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Q & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ R & & & & & & & & & & \\ S & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ T & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ U & & & & & & & & \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$

The "weights" matrix is expanded to include the weights associated with technology response coefficients:

$$
X=\left\{\begin{array}{c|cccccccccccc} 
& A & B & C & D & E & F & P & Q & R & S & T & U  \tag{3.2}\\
\hline b & 0 & 1 & 0 & \overline{C A C} & \overline{D H_{p}-D H_{o p}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \overline{C A C} & \overline{D H}_{\text {daily }} & 0 \\
f & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
u & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We then perform the matrix multiplication as in 1.3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{COVAR}_{b_{-} d_{-} f_{-} u}=[X] *[\operatorname{COVAR}] *[X]^{T} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W^{T}$ is the transpose of the $W$ matrix. This results in a four-by-four variance-covariance matrix between the elasticity of substitution (b) and the daily elasticity (d):

$$
\operatorname{COVAR}_{b_{-} d_{-} f-u}=\left\{\begin{array}{c|cccc} 
& b & d & f & u  \tag{3.4}\\
\hline b & \operatorname{var}_{b} & \operatorname{cov}_{b d} & \operatorname{cov}_{b f} & \operatorname{cov}_{b u} \\
d & \operatorname{cov}_{b d} & \operatorname{var}_{d} & \operatorname{cov}_{d f} & \operatorname{cov}_{d u} \\
f & \operatorname{cov}_{b f} & \operatorname{cov}_{d f} & \operatorname{var}_{f} & \operatorname{cov}_{f u} \\
u & \operatorname{cov}_{b u} & \operatorname{cov}_{d u} & \operatorname{cov}_{f u} & \operatorname{var}_{u}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We need to create the vector of partial derivatives:

$$
\overrightarrow{D K_{i}{ }^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{\partial K_{i}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{b}} & \frac{\partial K_{i}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{d}} & \frac{\partial K_{i}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{f}} & \frac{\partial K_{i}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{u}} \tag{3.5}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the standard error is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S E_{K_{i}^{\prime}}=\sqrt{\left[\overrightarrow{D K}_{i}^{\prime}\right] *[\operatorname{COVAR}] *\left[\overrightarrow{D K}_{i}^{\prime}\right]^{T}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $\overrightarrow{D K}_{i}$, the formulas for the partial derivatives with respect to $b$ are the same as (1.15) (1.16), (1.18), (1.19), and (1.20), except that $A_{12}$ contains $f$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial b}=\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) * K_{1}^{\prime}+e^{A_{12}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{12}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right)+f_{13}+b_{12}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right)
$$

Now we differentiate with respect to the technology-response coefficient:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{12}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right)+f_{13}+b_{12}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}^{\prime}}{P_{2}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& A_{23}=\ln \left(\frac{K_{2}}{K_{3}}\right)+b_{23}\left(\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}^{\prime}}{P_{3}^{\prime}}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{3}}\right)\right) \\
& K_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{24 \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+h_{3} e^{-A_{23}}\right)} \\
& v=h_{1} e^{A_{12}} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial v}=-24 \bar{K}^{\prime}\left(v+h_{2}+h_{3} e^{-A_{23}}\right)^{-2} \\
& n o t e: \frac{\partial A_{12}}{\partial f}=1 \\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial f}=h_{1} e^{A_{12}} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial f}=-h_{1} e^{A_{12}} 24 \bar{K}^{\prime}\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+h_{3} e^{-A_{23}}\right)^{-2} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial f}=\frac{-h_{1} e^{A_{12}} K_{2}^{\prime}}{h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+h_{3} e^{-A_{23}}} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}^{\prime}=e^{A_{12}} K_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial f}=\frac{\partial e^{A_{12}}}{\partial f} K_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial f} e^{A_{12}} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial f}=e^{A_{12}} K_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial f} e^{A_{12}} \\
& K_{3}^{\prime}=e^{-A_{23}} K_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial f}=\frac{\partial e^{-A_{23}}}{\partial f} K_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial f} e^{-A_{23}} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial f}=\frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial f} e^{-A_{23}}
\end{align*}
$$

Note also:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{K}^{\prime}=\overline{K^{\prime}} * e^{u *\left(\frac{\bar{P}}{\bar{P}}\right)^{d}}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \frac{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\partial f}=0
\end{align*}
$$

The partial derivatives of energy use with respect to the daily price elasticity are the same as in (1.21), (1.22) and (1.23).

The derivative of daily usage with respect to the daily technology response coefficient is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{K}^{\prime}=\overline{K^{\prime}} * e^{u *\left(\frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{P}}\right)^{d}} \\
& \frac{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=\bar{K}^{d} * e^{u}\left(\frac{\bar{P}^{\prime}}{\bar{P}}\right)^{d}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \frac{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=\bar{K}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivative of peak, shoulder, and off-peak period usage with respect to the daily technology response coefficient is calculated below. Note that $\mathrm{A}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{23}$ do not contain $u$, and are thus treated as constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{24 \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+\frac{h_{3}}{e^{A_{23}}}\right)} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=\frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial \bar{K}^{\prime}}{\partial u}  \tag{3.12}\\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=\frac{24}{\left(h_{1} e^{A_{12}}+h_{2}+\frac{h_{3}}{e^{A_{23}}}\right)} * \bar{K}^{\prime} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=K_{2}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

And finally,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}^{\prime}=e^{A_{12}} K_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=\frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial u} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=e^{A_{12}} K_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=K_{1}^{\prime} \\
& K_{3}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} / e^{A_{23}} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=\frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial K_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial u} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=K_{2}^{\prime} / e^{A_{23}} \\
& \frac{\partial K_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial u}=K_{3}^{\prime} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

## Appendix 11

Econometric Issues in Model Estimation

## APPENDIX 11: ECONOMETRIC ISSUES IN MODEL ESTIMATION

As discussed in Section 3.1 of the main report, the experimental design, data issues such as the unbalanced nature of the panel data, and the complexities of the demand behavior being modeled created numerous analytical challenges that had to be examined and addressed if relevant. These included serial correlation, heteroscedasticity, data gaps (both systematic gaps, such as weekends in a weekday only analysis, as well as sporadic gaps due to metering problems, for example), sample weights, the interrelationship between the two demand equations (e.g., the substitution and daily equations) and the influence of customer characteristics on demand response. Addressing all of these issues simultaneously is beyond the capabilities of any readily available estimation software with which we are familiar. Consequently, when exploring these issues, we relied on a variety of different software packages, including SAS, STATA and GAUSS, each of which could address some but not all issues simultaneously.

In addition, it was necessary to explore some issues using unweighted data, as including weights along with some of the other corrections was not possible in any of the software packages. Whether or not the data are weighted has no impact on determining the best course of action for addressing any of the issues at hand. Once that course of action is determined, the weights can be applied as a last step in order to estimate parameters and elasticities that represent the population as a whole.

The remainder of this appendix summarizes the exploration of key issues that was done leading up to selection of the empirical approach that underlies the analysis contained in the main body of the report.

Leading up to the approach underlying the results contained in the Summer 2003 report, the initial estimation of impacts and demand models relied on daily observations from the summer of 2003. This analysis was presented in the January and March 2003 drafts of the Summer 2003 report. The use of daily observations created problems of autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity that were difficult to resolve with available software packages such as SAS, given the unbalanced panel nature of the SPP data set. To overcome this problem, we constructed average values for three types of observations: one covering all days in the pretreatment period; one covering non-CPP days in the treatment period; and one covering CPP days in the treatment period. We also introduced fixed effects in the estimation process to improve and stabilize the model specification.

Subsequently, a question arose concerning whether price responsiveness was affected by weather conditions (i.e., on a really hot day, would one still get the load impact that occurs on an average day). To address this question, the 3 -observation database was modified to increase weather variation in the estimating sample by expanding each day-type average into quintiles based on statewide system load conditions as recorded by the California ISO. For example, we disaggregated the non-CPP day average into five non-CPP average values by rank ordering all non-CPP days based on system load conditions and then taking averages of the top 20 percent of the observations, the next 20 percent, etc. This resulted in a 15-observation database.

While this approach yielded satisfactory estimates of the influence of price, weather, central air conditioning and other parameters on energy demand, an examination of the residuals suggested that the problems of serial correlation and heteroscedasticity had not been completely eliminated. The standard errors of the parameters still exhibited some downward bias, resulting in t-statistics that had some upward bias, as described on page 74 of the Summer 2003 report.

We explored a number of solutions to reducing the remaining bias and have accomplished a reduction by returning to the use of daily observations and implementing a standard data transformation known as "first differences." The first difference transformation creates observations by subtracting the previous day's observation from the current day's observation for each of the variables in the regression equation. It is a commonly used technique for dealing with serial correlation.

Using weekday observations from the CPP-F sample, we tested this approach and found that it effectively reduced the problem of serial correlation. The resulting parameter estimates are very similar to those reported in the Summer 2003 report. However, the new standard errors and tstatistics of the parameter estimates using the difference equation are less biased due to serial correlation.

Table 11-1 summarizes the exploratory analysis that was done for the peak/off-peak substitution equation. The estimates in this table are all based on unweighted data. The figures in parentheses below the parameter estimates are the t-statistics. The table contains estimates using five different methodologies. The first row contains estimates based on the 15observation database with the fixed-effects model specification that was used to estimate the models presented in the Summer 2003 report. These parameter estimates differ from those presented in the Summer 2003 report because they are based on unweighted data. ${ }^{1}$ Row 2 uses daily data with fixed effects. Row 3 presents results based on the application of a standard, first-order autoregressive correction (known as $\operatorname{AR}(1))^{2}$ to the error terms while row 4 shows results using the first difference data transformation. Finally, row 5 applies the $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ correction to the model based on the first difference transformation.

[^16]| Table 11-1 <br> Estimates for the Peak/Off-Peak Substitution Equation (Based on Unweighted Data) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression Approach | Price Ratio | Price Ratio times Weather | Price Ratio times CAC Saturation | Other Comments |
| 1. 15 observations | $\begin{gathered} -0.0371 \\ (-4.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0046 \\ (-6.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0407 \\ (4.37) \end{gathered}$ | Estimated using ordinary least squares |
| 2. Daily Observations | $\begin{gathered} -0.0321 \\ (-4.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0043 \\ (-8.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0359 \\ (-4.32) \end{gathered}$ | No AC or HS corrections applied; F test for fixed effects significant |
| 3. Daily Observations AR (1) correction | $\begin{gathered} -0.0298 \\ (-4.05) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0049 \\ (-9.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0208 \\ (-2.33) \end{gathered}$ | AR (1) Rho = . 42 Fixed effects |
| 4. Daily Observations First Difference | $\begin{gathered} -0.0327 \\ (-3.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0030 \\ (-5.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0632 \\ (-6.17) \end{gathered}$ | F test for fixed effects not significant |
| 5. Daily Observations First Differences AR-1 correction | $\begin{gathered} -0.0317 \\ (-3.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0027 \\ (-4.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0679 \\ (-6.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \text { test for fixed } \\ & \text { effects not } \\ & \text { significant } \\ & A R \text { (1) Rho }=-0.11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Comparing the coefficients in rows 1 and 2, we see that the estimates using the 15-observation database and daily data are similar, validating once again that the 15-observation database does a good job of estimating average response. However, the remaining residual correlation (described on page 74 of the Summer 2003 report) probably means that the t-statistics are biased upwards. The null hypothesis that all the fixed effects are zero was rejected based on an F-test, indicating that they should be included in this specification. It should also be noted that the t-statistics using the 15 -observation database and the daily database are quite similar except for the weather/price interaction terms, where the t-statistic using the daily data is higher. This is to be expected, as there is significantly more variation in weather in the daily database than when the 15 -observation database is used, which contributes to the improved precision of the parameter estimates for the weather-related variables.

Row 3 in Table 11-1 contains the daily observation model with a first-order autoregressive process applied. All three parameter estimates continue to be statistically significant although the coefficients and t-statistics are somewhat lower on the price-only and price/CAC interaction terms compared to the results without the AR correction. The value of the autoregressive parameter, rho, is .42 (the ideal value for this parameter is zero). The zero fixed effects null hypothesis continues to be rejected, even though the value of the F-test is less than in the previous model specification.

Row 4 contains results based on the "first differences" model using daily data. As noted earlier, "first differences" simply equal the difference between adjacent observations in a time series database. The parameter values and $t$-statistics increase for the price and price/CAC variables
and fall for the price/weather interaction term. The null hypothesis of zero fixed effects cannot be rejected. This makes intuitive sense, since the fixed effects cancel out when the first differences are taken. Fixed effects in such a formulation would indicate the presence of a customer-specific time trend and this does not appear to be indicated by the SPP data.

Row 5 contains the results when the AR (1) correction is applied to the first differences data set. There is hardly any movement in the parameter estimates or the $t$-statistics and the value of rho is negative but small. ${ }^{3}$ The null hypothesis of zero fixed effects cannot be rejected. Between the fourth and fifth rows, we recommended using the simpler formulation of the fourth row.

Table 11-2 contains parameter estimates based on weighted data. Row 1 reproduces the results presented in the Summer 2003 report, which were based on the 15-observation database. Row 2 contains estimates using weighted least squares on the first differences daily database. As expected (and similar to the case using the 15 observation database), the parameter estimates (and the implied elasticities) fall when applying the population weights, as the unweighted sample is biased toward larger users. The t-statistics change very little when going from unweighted to weighted regressions.

| Table 11-2 <br> Estimates for the Peak/Off-Peak Substitution Equation (Based on Weighted Data) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression Approach | Price Ratio | Price Ratio times Weather | Price Ratio times CAC Saturation | Other Comments |
| 1. Summer 2003 report 15 observations | $\begin{gathered} -0.0205 \\ (-2.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0054 \\ (-7.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0320 \\ (-3.32) \end{gathered}$ | Estimated using weighted least squares |
| 2. Daily Observations First Differences | $\begin{gathered} -0.0283 \\ (-3.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0029 \\ (-4.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0577 \\ (-5.26) \end{gathered}$ | Weighted least squares |
| 3. Daily Observations First Differences Estimated jointly with daily use equation | $\begin{gathered} -0.0305 \\ (-3.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0024 \\ (-4.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0600 \\ (-5.37) \end{gathered}$ | Joint estimation carried out using the Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) |

Row 3 in Table 11-2 shows results based on an approach that jointly estimates the substitution and the daily use equations using Zellner's seemingly unrelated regression (SUR) procedure, ${ }^{4}$ which improves the efficiency of the parameter estimates. Another key benefit of joint

[^17]estimation is that it yields the covariance matrix of the residuals across both equations, which is used in determining the standard errors for the estimated demand response impacts.

In sum, comparing the parameter estimates between rows 1 and 3 in Table 11-2, we note that the coefficient on the price ratio term has changed from -0.0205 to -0.0305 and it's $t$-statistic has changed from -2.66 to -3.72 . The parameter on the weather interaction term has changed from -0.0054 to -0.0024 and it's t-statistic has fallen from -7.25 to -4.11 . The parameter on the CAC interaction term has changed from -0.0320 to -0.0600 and it's $t$-statistic has gone up from -3.32 to -5.37 . In other words, the coefficient on the price ratio term has gone up by $50 \%$, the coefficient on the weather interaction term has halved and the coefficient on the CAC interaction term has doubled. However, the net result of these changes is minimal. The elasticity of substitution reported in the Summer 2003 report equaled -0.069 for the state as a whole. The new value using first differences and SUR equals -0.071 .

The estimates in Tables 11-3 and 11-4 are for the daily use equation, with the results in Table $11-3$ based on unweighted data and Table 5 based on weighted data. The methodology underlying the estimates in each row is the same as for the corresponding row in Tables 11-1 and 11-2.

Row 1 in Table 11-3 contains results based on the 15-observation database and row 2 contains results based on daily observations. There is some change in the values of the parameter estimates and in their t-statistics. Specifically, the value of the price coefficient doubles, the value of the weather interaction term is halved and the value of the CAC interaction term is reduced to a sixth. The t-statistics are probably biased due to serial correlation. The zero fixed effects null hypothesis is rejected.

| Table 11-3 <br> Estimates for the Daily Use Equation (Based on unweighted data) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression Approach | Price | Price times Weather | Price times CAC Saturation | Other Comments |
| 1. 15 observations | $\begin{gathered} -0.0431 \\ (-2.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0045 \\ (-2.81) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0647 \\ & (3.54) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Estimated using ordinary least squares |
| 2. Daily Observations | $\begin{gathered} -0.0787 \\ (-7.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0014 \\ (-1.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0105 \\ & (0.76) \end{aligned}$ | No AC or HS corrections applied; F test for fixed effects significant |
| 3. Daily Observations AR (1) correction | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1559 \\ & (26.68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.0107 \\ & (-15.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1190 \\ & (-15.30) \end{aligned}$ | AR (1) Rho = . 68 |
| 4. Daily <br> Observations <br> First Differences | $\begin{gathered} -0.0260 \\ (-3.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0020 \\ (-2.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0107 \\ & (1.13) \end{aligned}$ | $F$ test $=0.06$ fails to reject the null hypothesis of zero fixed effects |
| 5. Daily Observations First Differences AR-1 correction | $\begin{gathered} -0.0258 \\ (-3.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0021 \\ (-2.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0113 \\ (1.19) \end{gathered}$ | Rho $=0$ <br> F test = .05 fails to reject the null hypothesis of zero fixed effects |

Row 3 contains the results when the AR (1) process described earlier is applied to the daily observations. The value of rho is 0.68 , much higher than the value for the peak/off-peak substitution equation. The parameters and t-statistics change considerably for reasons that are not clear.

Row 4 contains parameter estimates and t-statistics for a model that uses daily observations and first differences. The F-test is unable to reject the null hypothesis of zero fixed effects, indicating that there is no time-trend in customer-specific fixed effects. This finding is the same as that for the substitution equation. The results in row 5 , which introduces a first-order autoregressive correction of the error term, are very similar to row 4. In this case, rho has a value of zero, suggesting that the process of taking first differences has completely eliminated the problem of serial correlation.

Row 1 in Table 11-4 reproduces the Summer 2003 results. Row 2 contains estimates using weighted least squares on daily observations with first differences. Two of the three coefficients are very similar to those in the two previous rows.

| Table 11-4 <br> Estimates for the Daily Use Equation <br> (Based on weighted data) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression Approach | Price | Price times Weather | Price times CAC Saturation | Other Comments |
| 1. Summer 2003 report 15 observations Weighted | $\begin{gathered} -0.0397 \\ (-2.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0031 \\ (-1.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0637 \\ & (3.12) \end{aligned}$ | Estimated using weighted least squares |
| 2. Daily Observations First Differences Weighted | $\begin{gathered} -0.0186 \\ (-2.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0017 \\ (-1.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0040 \\ (0.39) \end{gathered}$ | Estimated with weighted least squares |
| 3. Daily Observations First Differences Estimated jointly with daily use equation Weighted | $\begin{gathered} -0.0220 \\ (-3.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0007 \\ (-0.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0015 \\ (0.15) \end{gathered}$ | Joint estimation carried out using the Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) Option |

Finally, row 3 contains results based on the simultaneous estimation of the daily and substitution equations. The only statistically significant parameter estimate is the price term. Its value is about half the size of the value obtained through the quintile estimate in the first row. The two interaction terms involving weather and CAC saturation are not significant.

The parameter estimates in Tables 11-2 and 11-4 may still contain some bias due to heteroscedasticity. A standard approach for correcting this problem is to use the "robust" estimator for computing standard errors. Unfortunately, we have not been able to determine how to compute robust standard errors in conjunction with the seemingly unrelated regression estimator using either SAS or STATA. Through other means, we computed robust standard errors for the regressions of both the daily and substitution equations (separately and unweighted) using differenced data. The standard errors are virtually unchanged, with the largest change equaling roughly a 7 percent decrease. For the weighted regressions, robust standard errors are typically much smaller than the OLS standard errors and at most are 20 percent higher. This strongly suggests that heteroscedasticity is not a significant issue in the SPP sample after all the data transformations have been performed.

As a side note, since this new approach is based on differences between consecutive time series observations, any customer-specific effects of either a fixed or random nature cancel out from the equation. This obviates the need to choose between using fixed or random effects in the SPP regression models. As discussed above, in one instance, we did include the fixed effects in the first differences specification and found that they were collectively insignificant, using the F-test. We also implemented the Hausman test to see if the random effects approach would be warranted and obtained a negative result, indicating that random effects was not the proper specification. When the Hausman test was implemented for the daily use model, it yielded a chi-squared statistic of 127.05 , indicating that the differences in coefficients were systematic in nature. In other words, they required the use of fixed effects in the model rather than random effects.

## Appendix 12

## Residential Customer Characteristics Survey Questionnaire



## HOME

## ENERGY SURVEY

Thank you for your help! Your appreciation payment of \$25 will be sent to you when we receive your completed survey. Please note, the service address label must still be attached. The information you provide in this survey will help us plan for the electricity needs for you and all Californians.

## Instructions

## YOUR PARTICIPATION IS VERY IMPORTANT.

Please fill out this survey by filling in the oval completely. Information in (italics) is provided for clarification or to direct you to skip to another question based on your response.

Do your best to answer all of the questions. If you do not know the answer to one of the questions, please move on to the next one. If you would like help in completing the survey, you can call our toll free survey line at 1-800-331-8786 from 8:30 a.m. to 7 p.m., Monday through Friday.

When you are done, please return the survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope to the address below:

Home Energy Survey Processing Center
492 Ninth Street, Suite 220
Oakland, CA 94607-4048
Thank you for participating!

Las respuestas de la comunidad hispana son muy importantes para las compañias provedoras de energia en California. Si usted gusta su formulario en español, por favor llame al 1-800-331-8786.

## Sponsored by:

Pacific Gas and Electric
San Diego Gas and Electric
Southern California Edison

## Your Home \& Lifestyle

A1 What type of building is your home, listed on the service address label on the front cover of this survey?
$\subset \supset$ Single-family detached house
$\subset$ Townhouse, duplex, or row house
$\subset \supset$ Apartment or condominium (2-4 units)
$\subset$ Apartment or condominium (5 or more units)
$\subset \supset$ Mobile home
$\subset \supset$ Other (Describe): $\qquad$
A2 Do you own or rent your home?
$\subset \supset$ Own / buying $\subset \supset$ Rent/lease
A3 In approximately what year was your home built?
c Before 1960
C) 1960-1979
сっ1980-1999
C 2000 or later

A4 How many bedrooms are in your home?
$\subset \supset$ No bedrooms (studio apartment)
$\subset \supset$ bedroom $\subset \supset 3 \subset \supset 5$
$\subset \supset 2$ bedrooms $\subset \supset 4 \subset \supset 6$ or more
A5 How many square feet of living space are there in your home, including bathrooms, foyers and hallways?
(Exclude garages, basements and unheated porches.)
$\subset \supset$ Less than 750 ¢ $1251-1500 \quad \subset \supset$ 2501-3000
$\subset \supset 751-1000 \quad \subset$ 1501-2000 C〕 3001-4000
¢ 1001-1250 ¢ $\quad$ 2001-2500 C $\quad$ Greater than 4000
$\subset \supset$ Or actual sq. ft. $\qquad$
A6 For each of the following age groups, how many people, including yourself, usually live in your home?

|  | Number of People Usually Living in this Home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | None | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Over 7 |
| 5 and under | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | C | C | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 6-18 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\subset \bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 19-64 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 65 and over | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

## Space Cooling

## CENTRAL AIR CONDITIONING/COOLING

C1 Do you pay for central air conditioning for your home?
$\subset \supset$ Yes $\quad \succ$ No, it is part of my rent/condo fee (Go to C5.) $\subset$ No, do not have central air conditioning (Go to C5.)

C2 What type and how many central air conditioning/cooling system(s) do you have in your home?

| Number of Central Cooling Systems |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Central air conditioning | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Central evaporative cooler (swamp cooler) | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ | ¢ |
| Heat pump (heats and cools) | c | c) | $\bigcirc$ |

C3 What type of thermostat does your main cooling system(s) use?
$\subset \supset$ Programmable thermostat
(Digital units usually have a digital readout and buttons. Mechanical units usually have a clock or rotary timer and tabs, pins or levers.)
$\subset \supset$ Standard thermostat
(Allows you to set the temperature and turn the air conditioner on or off. You cannot set on/off times.)
$\subset \supset$ No thermostat
(Simple on/off control)
C4 Which of the following statements best describes how you usually operate your central air conditioning system?
$\subset \supset$ Maintain the thermostat setting at constant temperature
$\subset$ Raise the thermostat setting when no one is home
$\subset \supset$ Thermostat setting automatically changes at different times
$\subset$ Manually turn on/off air conditioner as needed
$\subset$ Rarely use the central air conditioning system

## ROOM AIR CONDITIONING/COOLING (Window / Wall Units)

C5 Please tell us the characteristics of each room air conditioning/cooling unit below.
$\subset \supset$ No room air conditioning/cooling units (Go to H1.)
Type of Room AC/Cooling Unit Unit 1 Unit 2 Unit 3
Window/wall air conditioner
Window/wall heat pump
Window/wall evaporative cooler ¢๑ ¢ゝ ¢ゝ (swamp cooler)

C6 Please indicate how often your room air conditioning unit(s) is/are turned on during the summer.
(Choose one answer for each time period.)

| Time Period | Never | Rarely <br> (1 day per week) | Sometimes (2-3 days per week) | Often <br> (4 or more days per week) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Afternoons (2 p.m. -5 p.m.) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ |
| Weekday Evenings (5 p.m.-7 p.m.) | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\subset \bigcirc$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| All other times | $\bigcirc$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

## Space Heating

H1 Do you pay to heat your home?
$\subset \supset$ Yes $\quad \frown$ No, it is part of my rent/condo fee (Go to L1.)
$\subset$ No, do not have a heating system (Go to L1.)
H2 What type of heating system do you use to heat your home?
(If you use more than one heating system, mark the system that you use most as "Main Heating" and mark all other systems as "Additional Heating.")

(Describe): $\qquad$
H3 Which of the following statements best describes how you usually operate your main heating system?Maintain the thermostat setting at constant temperatureLower the thermostat setting at night or when no one is home
$\subset \supset$ Thermostat setting automatically changes at different times
$\subset \supset$ Manually turn on/off heater(s) as needed
$\subset$ Only heat those rooms that are occupied
$\subset \supset$ Rarely use any heating system

## Major Appliances

L1 Do you pay for heating water at your home?
$\subset \supset$ Yes $\subset \supset$ No, it is part of my rent/condo fee (Go to M3.) $\subset \quad$ No hot water heater (Go to M3.)

L2 What type of water heating systems do you use in your home?
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Main } \\ \text { Water Heater } \\ \text { (Mark ONE BOX } \\ \text { in this column) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Additional } \\ \text { Water Heater(s) } \\ \text { (Mark ALL BOXES } \\ \text { that apply) }\end{array} \\ \text { Natural Gas } & \subset \supset & \subset \supset\end{array}\right]$

L3 What type of clothes dryer do you use in your home and pay for the energy to run?
(Do not include coin-operated machines in laundromats or machines in common areas of multifamily complexes.)
$\subset \supset$ Id not have a clothes dryer $\subset \supset$ Electric dryer
$\subset$ Natural gas dryer $\quad \subset$ Bottled Gas (e.g., Propane)
L4 What types of cooking appliances are used in your home?
Type of Fuel

|  | Natural Gas | Electric | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooktop, stovetop or range | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Oven(s) | $\subset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |

L5 How many of the following appliances are used in your home?

|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ or more |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refrigerator | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Stand-alone freezer | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Dishwasher | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |

## Miscellaneous

M1 How many of each of the following appliances or equipment do you use in your home?

|  | None | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ or more |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Television | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Computer | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Printer, scanner, copier | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Humidifier | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Dehumidifier | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Pond or water garden pump | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Heated waterbed | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Aquarium | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Fans: portable or ceiling mount | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Electric attic fan | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Whole-house fan | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |

M2 If you have at least one computer in your home, how often does anyone in your home perform any of the following activities on your computer?

|  | Never | Occasionally <br> (about once a <br> week) | Often <br> (several times <br> a week) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Send or receive e-mail | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Browse the Internet for information | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Pay bills on-line | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |

M3 Do you (or someone else in your home) operate a business and/or work from your home?
$\subset$ No
$\subset \supset \mathrm{Yes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M 4}$ How many hours a week is someone working at home?
Cכ 0-10 hours per week
Cכ 11-30 hours per week
c More than 30 hours per week
M5 Do you use an electric well water pump to provide water for your home?
$\subset$ No
$\subset$ Yes $\longrightarrow$ M6 How do you use your well water?
¢ Only for gardening and landscaping
© Only for household use
$\subset \supset$ Both household and gardening/landscape use

M7 Do you have a spa or hot tub at your home?
(Do not include whirlpool tubs in your bathroom.)
$\subset \supset$ Yes, and I pay for its energy use
$\subset \supset$ Yes, but it is in a common area and I do not pay for its energy use (Go to M9.)
$\subset$ No spa or hot tub (Go to M9.)
M8 What fuel do you use to heat the spa or hot tub?
$\hookrightarrow$ Electricity $\quad \subset$ Natural Gas $\quad \frown$ Other
M9 Do you have a swimming pool?
(Do not include a swimming pool that is in a central common area that is used by more than one home.)
$\subset \supset$ Yes, and I pay for its energy use
$\subset \supset$ Yes, but it is in a common area and I do not pay for its energy use (Go to M11.)
$\subset \supset$ No pool (Go to M11.)
M10 How many hours per day do you operate your swimming pool filter?

| Season | $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 - 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - \mathbf { 2 4 }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer (May-Oct.) | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Winter (Nov.-April) | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\frown \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |

M11 Currently, how often are the following appliances used on weekdays, between 2 p.m. - 7 p.m.?

|  | Never | Rarely <br> (less than <br> once a <br> week) | Occasionally <br> (several times a <br> week) | Daily |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Television | $\subset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Computer | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Oven or range | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Dishwasher | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Laundry equipment | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Air conditioning | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Pool filter | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |
| Spa filter | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ | $\subset \supset$ |

## Household Information

N1 What was the highest level of education completed by the head of household in your home?
$\subset$ Elementary (grades 1-8)
$\subset$ Some high school (grades $9-12$ )
$\subset$ Some college/trade/
$\subset \supset$ High school graduate vocational school
$\subset \supset$ College graduate
$\subset$ Postgraduate degree
N2 What is the primary language spoken in your home?
$\subset$ English
$\subset \supset$ Asian (e.g., Chinese, Tagalog, Japanese) (describe) $\qquad$
$\subset$ Spanish
$\subset$ Other
(describe)
$\qquad$

N3 Please check the range that best describes your household's total annual income.
$\subset \supset$ Less than \$25,000 ¢ $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999 \subset \supset \$ 100,000-\$ 149,999$
$\subset \supset \$ 25,000-\$ 49,999 \subset \supset \$ 75,000-\$ 99,999 \subset \supset \$ 150,000$ or more
N4 How would you rate the overall performance of your local electric utility?
$\subsetneq$ Poor $\quad \frown$ Fair $\quad \subset$ Good $\quad \frown$ Excellent
N5 Please tell us whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

I believe everyone should pay a little bit more to ensure a cleaner environment.
$\subset \supset$ Strongly Agee $\subset \supset$ Agree $\subset \supset$ Disagree $\subset \supset$ Strongly Disagree
The cost of a cleaner environment will mean fewer jobs and hurt the economy.
$\lessdot$ Strongly Agee $\subset \supset$ Agree $\quad \subset$ Disagree $\subset \supset$ Strongly Disagree
Global warming is a threat I am seriously concerned about.
$\subset \supset$ Strongly Agee $\subset \supset$ Agree $\subset \supset$ Disagree $\subset \supset$ Strongly Disagree

## Thank you very much for your cooperation and assistance!

## Appendix 13

## Residential Survey Recoding Instructions

This survey questionnaire recoding instructions contain information on CRA's recoding of the Xenergy Home Energy Survey.
APPENDIX 13: RESIDENTIAL SURVEY RECODING INSTRUCTIONS
CRA Variable Redefinitions of Xenergy's Home Energy Survey
The following table defines changes CRA made to the database variables.

| Questi on | Xenergy <br> Variables | Values | CRA <br> Variables | CRA Label | Values | Missing Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | A1_1-Al_6, Comment 1 | 1,0 | SFU | Single <br> Family Unit | 1 if A1 $1=1$ or A1 $5=1,0$ if A1_2 or A1_3 or Ā1_4 or A1 6=1 | Missing if SFU is not assigned a value. |
|  |  |  | MFU | Multi Family Unit | 1 if A1 2 or A1 3 or A1 4 or A1_6 = 1,0 if A1_1 or A1 5=1 | Missing if MFU is not assigned a value |
| A2 | A2_1-A2_2 | 1,0 | OWN | Own Home | 1 if A2_1 $=1,0$ if A2_2 $=1$ | Missing if OWN is not assigned a value. |
| A3 | A3_1-A3_4 | 1,0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEWHOM } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | Home built after 1979 | 1 if A3_3 or A3_4=1, 0 otherwise | Missing if NEWHOME is not assigned a value |
| A4 | A4_1-A4_7 | 1,0 | BED | Bedrooms | 0 if A4_1=1, 1 if A4_2=1, 2 if A4_3 $=1,3$ if A4_4 $=1,4$ if A4_5=1,5 if A4_6=1, 6 if A4 $7=1$ | Missing if BED is not assigned a value. |
| A5 | A5 1 A5_10, ca5_num | 1,0 | SQFT | Square Feet | 700 if A5 $1=1,875$ if A5 2=1, 1125 if A5 3 $=1$, 1375 if A5_4=1, 1750 if A5 5=1, 2250 if A5_6=1, 2750 if A5_7=1,3500 if A5_8=1, 4500 if A5_9 $=1$, ca5_num if A5_10=1 | Missing if SQFT is not assigned a value. |
| A6 | A6a_1- <br> A6a_9, <br> A6b_1- <br> A6b_9, | 1,0 | PPHH | Total \# People in Household | 0-32 | Missing if sum of (A6a_1-A6a_9 through A6d_1- A6d_9)=0 |


| Questi on | Xenergy <br> Variables | Values | CRA <br> Variables | CRA Label | Values | Missing Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A6c_1- } \\ & \text { A6c_9, } \\ & \text { A6d_1- } \\ & \text { A6d_9 } \end{aligned}$ |  | CHILDREN | Total \# People Under 19 | 0-16 | Missing if sum of (A6a_1-A6a_9,A6b_1-A6b_9)=0 |
| C1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C1_1- } \\ & \text { C1_3 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | CAC | Central Air Conditioning | 1 if C1_1=1 and sum(C2a_1-C2a_3) >=1 or sum(C2c_1-C2c_3)>=1; 1 if C1_1=1 and sum(C2b_1 to C2b_3) $=0$; 0 if C1_2=1 or C1_3=1 or (C1_1=1 and sum(C2b_1 to C2b_3)) | Missing if CAC is not assigned a value |
| C2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C2a_1- } \\ & \text { C2a_3 } \\ & \text { C2b_1- } \\ & \text { C2b_3 } \\ & \text { C2c_1- } \\ & \text { C2c_3 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | EVAP | Central Evaporative Cooler | 1 if C1_1=1 and (C2b_1=1 or C2b_2=1 or C2b_3=1), otherwise 0 | Missing if $\mathrm{CAC}=$ missing . |
| C3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C3_1- } \\ & \text { C3_3 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | THERM | Thermostat | 0 if CAC = 0, 1 if C3_1=1 or C3_2=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if C1_1=1 and sum(C3_1-C3_3)=0. |
|  |  |  | PTHERM | Programma ble <br> Thermostat | 0 if $\mathrm{CAC}=0,1$ if C3_1=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if C1_1=1 and sum(C3_1-C3_3)=0. |
| C4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C4_1- } \\ & \text { C4_5 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | CAC_OP | Central Air Conditioning Operation | 0 if $\mathrm{CAC}=0,1$ if $\mathrm{C} 4 \_1=1,2$ if C4_2=1, 3 if C4_3=1, 4 if C4_4=1, 5 if C4_5=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if C1_1=1 and sum(C4_1-C4_5)=0 |
| C5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C5a_1, } \\ & \text { C5b_1- } \\ & \text { C5b_3, } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | NRMAC | Number of room air conditioners | Sum of C5b_1-C5b_3 and C5c_1-C5c_3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Missing if } \\ & \text { sum(C5a_1,C5b_1,C5b_2,C5b_3,C5c_1,C5c_2,C5 } \\ & \text { c_3)=0 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C5c_1- } \\ & \text { C5c_3 } \end{aligned}$ |  | ROOMAC | Room air conditioner | 1 if NRMAC $>=1$, otherwise 0 | ```Missing if sum(C5a_1,C5b_1,C5b_2,C5b_3,C5c_1,C5c_2,C5 c_3)=0``` |


| Questi on | Xenergy <br> Variables | Values | CRA <br> Variables | CRA Label | Values | Missing Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NREVAP | Number of room evaporative coolers | Sum of C5d_1-C5d_3 | Missing if <br> sum(C5a_1,C5b_1,C5b_2,C5b_3,C5c_1,C5c_2,C5 <br> c_3)=0 |
|  |  |  | ROOMEVA P | Evaporative coolers | 1 if NREVAP >= 1 , otherwise 0 | ```Missing if sum(C5a_1,C5b_1,C5b_2,C5b_3,C5c_1,C5c_2,C5 c_3)=0``` |
| C6 | C6a_1- <br> C6a 4, <br> C6b_1- <br> C6b_4, <br> C6c_1- <br> C6c 4 , | 1,0 | AC_USE_ PEĀK | Days per Week of AC Use in Weekdays 2-7 PM | 0 if ROOMAC $=0$, otherwise maximum of days turned on for Weekday Afternoons and Weekday Evenings. | Missing if ROOMAC = missing or <br> sum(C5a_1,C6a_1-C6a_4,C6b_1-C6b_4)=0 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AC_USE_ } \\ & \text { OFF } \end{aligned}$ | Days per Week of AC Use During Other Times | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { if } \mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{c} \_1=1,1 \text { if } \mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{c} \_2=1, \\ & 3 \text { if } \mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{c} \_3=1,4 \text { if } \mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{c} \_4=1 \end{aligned}$ | Missing if sum(C5a_1,C6c_1-C6c_4)=0 |
| H1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 1 \_1-1 \\ & \mathrm{H} 1 \_3 \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | EMHT | Payment for Heating | 0 if $\mathrm{H} 1 \_2=1$ or $\mathrm{H} 1 \_3=1$ or (H1_1=1 and sum(H2a_1, H2b_1, H2i_1)>0); 1 if $\mathrm{H} 1 \_1=1$ and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~h}=1$ (for any single one). | Missing if sum( $\left.\mathrm{H} 1 \_1-\mathrm{H} 1 \_3\right)=0$ or sum(H1_1$\left.\mathrm{H} 1 \_3\right)>1$ or if EMHT is not assigned a value |
| H2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H2a_1- } \\ & \text { H2a_2 } \\ & \text { H2i_1- } \\ & \text { H2i_2 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | EMHT | See above |  |  |
| H3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 3 \_1-1 \\ & \mathrm{H} 3 \_6 \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | HEAT | Heating System Operation | 0 if $\mathrm{EMHT}=0$ otherwise 1 if H3_1=1, 2 if $\mathrm{H} 3 \_2=1,3$ if H3_3=1, 4 if H3_4=1, 5 if H3_5 $=1,6$ if H3_6 $6=1$, otherwise 0 | Missing if EMHT = Missing or H1_1=1 and sum(H3_1-H3_6)=0 or if HEAT is not assigned a value |


| Questi on | Xenergy <br> Variables | Values | CRA <br> Variables | CRA Label | Values | Missing Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | L1_1-L1_3 | 1,0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EWH_MAI } \\ & \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | Electric Water Heating (Main) | 0 if (L1_1=1 and (L2a_1 or L2c_1=1)) or L1_2=1 or L1_3=1; 1 if L1_1=1 and L2 $\bar{b} \_1=1$ | Missing if EWH_MAIN is not assigned a value or sum(L1_1-L1_3)=0 or sum(L1_1-L1_3)>1 |
| L2 |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| L3 | L3_1-L3_4 | 1,0 | EDRY | Electric Clothes Dryer | 1 if L3_3=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if sum(L3_1-3_4)=0 |
| L4 | L4a_1- <br> L4a_3, <br> L4b_1- <br> L4b_3 | 1,0 | ECOOK | Electric Range | 1 if L4a_2=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if sum(L4a_1-L4a_3)=0 |
|  |  |  | EOVEN | Electric Oven | 1 if L4b_2=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if sum(L4b_1-L4b_3)=0 |
| L5 | L5a_1- <br> L5a_4, <br> L5b_1- <br> L5b_4, <br> L5c_1- <br> L5c_4 | 1,0 | NFRIG | Number of Refrigerator s | 0 if L5a_1=1, otherwise 1 if L5a_2=1, 2 if L5a_3=1, 3 if L5a 4=1 | Missing if NFRIG is not assigned a value. |
|  |  |  | NFRZ | Number of Stand-Alone Freezers | 0 if L5b_1=1, otherwise 1 if L5b_2=1, 2 if L5b_3=1, 3 if L5b_4=1 | Missing if NFRZ is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NDW | Number of dishwashers | 0 if L5c_1=1, otherwise 1 if L5c_2=1, 2 if L5c_3=1, 3 if L5c_4=1 | Missing if NDW is not assigned a value |
| M1 | M1a_1M1a_4, M1b_1M1b_4, ... <br> M1k_1M1k_4 | 1,0 | NTV | Number of televisions | 0 if M1a_1=1, else is sum (M1a_2-M1a_4) | Missing if NTV is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NCOMP | Number of computers | 0 if $\mathrm{M} 1 \mathrm{~b} \_1=1$, else is sum (M1b_2-M1b_4) | Missing if NCOMP is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NPRINT | Number of printers/copi ers/scanner s | 0 if M1c_1=1, else is sum (M1c_2-M1c_4) | Missing if NPRINT is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NHUM | Number of humidifiers | 0 if M1d_1=1, else is sum (M1d_2-M1d_4) | Missing if NHUM is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NDHUM | Number of dehumidifier s | 0 if M1e_1=1, else is sum (M1e_2-M1e_4) | Missing if NDHUM is not assigned a value |


| Questi on | Xenergy <br> Variables | Values | CRA <br> Variables | CRA Label | Values | Missing Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NPMP | Number of water pumps | 0 if M1f $1=1$, else is sum (M1f_2-M1f_4) | Missing if NPMP is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NWBED | Number of waterbeds | 0 if M1g_1=1, else is sum (M1g_2-M1g_4) | Missing if NWBED is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NAQ | Number of aquariums | 0 if M1h_1=1, else is sum (M1h_2-M1h_4) | Missing if NAQ is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NPFAN | Number of ceiling/porta ble fans | 0 if M1i_1=1, else is sum (M1i_2-M1i_4) | Missing if NPFAN is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NAFAN | Number of electric attic fans | 0 if $\mathrm{M} 1 \mathrm{j} 1=1$, else is sum (M1j_2-M1j_4) | Missing if NAFAN is not assigned a value |
|  |  |  | NHFAN | Number of wholehouse fans | 0 if M1k $1=1$, else is sum (M1k_2-M1k_4) | Missing if NHFAN is not assigned a value |
| M2 | M2a_1M2a_3, M2b_1M2b_3, M2c_1M2c_3 | 1,0 | HCUSE | Household Computer Use | 1 if (M2a_3=1 or M2b_3=1 or M2c_3=1), otherwise 0 | Missing if sum(M2a_1-M2a_3,M2b_1- <br> M2b_3,M2c_1-M2c_3)=0 and (M1b_2=1 or M1b_3=1 or M1b_4=1). |
| M3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M3_1, } \\ & \text { M3 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | None |  |  |  |
| M4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M4_1-1- } \\ & \text { M4_3 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | HBUS | Home business | 1 if $\mathrm{M} 4 \_3=1$, otherwise 0 | Missing if sum(M3_1,M3_2,M4_1-M4_3)=0 |
| M5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M5_1- } \\ & \text { M5_2 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | WELL | Electric Well Water Pump | 1 if M5_2=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if sum(M5_1,M5_2)=0 |
| M6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M6_1-1- } \\ & \text { M6 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | None |  |  |  |
| M7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M7_1- } \\ & \text { M7_3 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | SPA | Spa or Hot tub | 1 if M7_1=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if sum(M7_1-M7_3)=0 |


| Questi on | Xenergy <br> Variables | Values | CRA <br> Variables | CRA Label | Values | Missing Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M8_1-1- } \\ & \text { M8_3 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | ESPA | Electric Spa or Hot tub | 1 if M7_1=1 and M8_1=1;0 if M7 $\overline{2}=1$ or M7 $3=1$; 0 if sum(M8_1-M8 $\overline{3})>=1$ and sum(M7-2, M7_3)>=1 and M7 1=0 | Missing if sum(M8_1-M8_3)=0 and M7_1=1 |
| M9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M9_1-1- } \\ & \text { M9_3 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | POOL | Pool | 1 if M9_1=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if sum(M9_1-M9_3)=0 |
| M10 | M10a_1- <br> M10a_6, <br> M10b_1- <br> M10b_4 | 1,0 | SPHRS | Summer Pool Filter Hours/Day | 0 if $\mathrm{POOL}=0$, <br> 1.5 if M10a_1=1, <br> 3.5 if $\mathrm{M} 10 \mathrm{a}-2=1$, <br> 7 if M10a 3=1, <br> 10.5 if M 10 a _4=1, <br> 15.5 if M 10 a _ $5=1$, <br> 21.5 if M10a $6=1$ | Missing if pool= missing or sum(M10a_1M10a_6)=0 and M9_1=1 or SPHRS is not assigned a value. |
|  |  |  | WPHRS | Winter Pool Filter Hours/Day | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { if } \mathrm{POOL=0}, \\ & 1.5 \text { if } \mathrm{M} 10 \mathrm{~b} 1=1, \\ & 3.5 \text { if } \mathrm{M} 10 \mathrm{~b}-2=1, \\ & 7 \text { if } \mathrm{M} 10 \mathrm{~b} \_3=1, \\ & 10.5 \text { if } \mathrm{M} 10 \mathrm{~b}-4=1, \\ & 15.5 \text { if } \mathrm{M} 10 \mathrm{~b} \_5=1, \\ & 21.5 \text { if } \mathrm{M} 10 \mathrm{~b} \_6=1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Missing if pool=missing or sum(M10b_1-M10b_6)=0 and M9_1=1 or WPHRS is not assigned a value |
| M11 | M11a_1M11a_4, <br> M11h 1- <br> M11h_4, | 1,0 | HIGHPEA K | High electricity user | 1 if M11a_4=1 orM11b_4=1or ...M11h_4=1, else 0 . | Missing if sum(M11a_1-M11a_4,...M11h_1M11h_4)=0 |
| N1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N1_1-1 } \\ & \text { N1_6 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | COLLEGE | College graduate | 1 if N1_5 or N1_6=1, otherwise 0 | Missing if sum(N1_1-N1_6)=1 |
| N2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} 1 \_1-1 \\ & \mathrm{~N} 1 \_4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | NENG | No English | 1 if $\mathrm{N} 2 \_1=0$, else 0 | Missing if sum(N2_1-N2_4)=0 |
| N3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N3_1-1- } \\ & \text { N3_6 } \end{aligned}$ | 1,0 | INCOME | Annual income | 15,000 if N3 $1=1,37,500$ if N3_2=1, 62,500 if N3_3=1, 87,500 if N3 $4=1,125,000$ if N3 $5=1,200,000$ if N3 $6=1$ | Missing if sum(N3_1-N3_6)=0 |


| Questi <br> on | Xenergy <br> Variables | Values | CRA <br> Variables | CRA Label | Values | Missing Observations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N4 | N4_1- <br> N4_4 | 1,0 | SATISFAC <br> TION | Utility <br> performanc <br> e rating | 1 if N4_1=1,2 if N4_2=1,3 <br> if N4_3=1, 4 if N4_4=1,0 <br> otherwise | Missing if sum(N4_1-n4_4)=0 |
| N5 | N5a_1- <br> N5a_4, <br> N5b_1- <br> N5b_4, <br> N5c_1- <br> N5c_4, | 1,0 | GREEN | Environmen <br> tally <br> conscious <br> energy <br> consumer | 1 if N5a_1=1,0 otherwise | Missing if sum(N5a_1-N5a_4)=0 |

## Appendix 14

## Small Business Survey

This survey questionnaire is for the C\&I pilot participants.

## SCE Small Business Energy Use Survey

Thank you for your help! Your appreciation payment will be sent to you when we receive your completed survey. The information you provide will help us plan for the electricity needs for you and all Californians. Please complete the survey for the service address listed below:

Please fill out the survey for the service address listed
to the left.


Please fill out this survey by answering the questions as completely as possible. If you do not know the answer to one of the questions, please move on to the next one. If you would like help in completing the survey, you can call our toll free survey line at 1-866-IDEAS-2-U (1-866-4332728) from 9:00 am to 6:00pm Monday through Friday.

When you are done, please return the survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope to the address below:

Geltz Communications
133 N. Electric Drive, Suite 201
Pasadena, CA 91103

## Thank you for participating!

Las respuestas de la comunidad hispana son muy importantes para las compañias provedoras de energia en California. Si usted gusta su formulario en español, por favor llame al 1-877-823-8716

Q1. Please confirm the following information:
A. Company contact: $\qquad$
B. Contact title: $\qquad$
C. Business name:
D. Address 1: $\qquad$
E. Address 2:
F. City: $\qquad$ State: $\qquad$ Zip: $\qquad$
G. Telephone 1: (__ ) $\qquad$ - $\qquad$ Telephone 2: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
H. E-mail address: $\qquad$

Q2. What is the square footage of your business? $\qquad$
Q3. What percentage of your square footage is air conditioned? $\qquad$

Q4. Do you own or lease/rent your building? $\square$ Own $\square$ Lease/rent
Q5. Do you pay your electricity bill directly or are the electricity costs included in your rent?
$\square$ Pay our electricity bill directly. $\square$ Electricity costs are included in the rent.
Q6. Do you pay for the air conditioning in the space that your business occupies or is it provided as part of the building services and paid for though the rent?
$\square$ Pay for the air conditioning directly.Air conditioning is provided as a service and we pay for it through the rent.
Q7. What are your hours of normal business operation during the week?

|  | Mon | Tues | Wed | Thurs | Fri | Sat | Sun |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Open |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Closed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Q7a. About how many total days in the year are you closed for national holidays and/or inventory? $\qquad$
Q8. Do cleaning people come in after you are closed? $\qquad$ How often do they come in? (indicate total hours per week)

Q9. At what temperature do you set your thermostat during the following periods?

|  | Summer | Winter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal operating hours |  |  |
| Hours when business is closed |  |  |

Q10. Is this building controlled with an Energy Management System or time clock? $\qquad$
Are the lights turned on and off automatically or manually during the day? $\qquad$
Q11. How many people work at this location? $\qquad$
Q12. How would you describe your business?

Q13. How old is this building? $\qquad$
Q14. How many chillers and/or central air conditioning units do you have? $\qquad$
Q15. What kind of space does your business occupy?
$\square$ Standalone structure $\quad \square$ Part of a larger building, office complex or mall

Q16. How would you rate the overall performance of Southern California Edison?
$\square$ ExcellentGood
Fair
Poor
Q.17. Please tell us if whether you agree or disagree with the following statements:

I believe everyone should pay a little more to ensure a cleaner environment.Strongly agreeAgreeDisagree
Strongly disagree
The cost of a cleaner environment will mean fewer jobs and hurt the economy.Strongly agreeAgreeDisagree
$\square$ Strongly disagree

Global warming is a threat I am seriously concerned about.Strongly agreeAgreeDisagree
$\square$ Strongly disagree

## Thank you very much for your cooperation and assistance! Sponsored by Southern California Edison

## Appendix 15

## Summary of Evaluation of Price Variables for Use in Regression Analysis

## APPENDIX 15: SUMMARY OF EVALUATION OF PRICE VARIABLES FOR USE IN REGRESSION ANALYSIS

The estimation of demand models requires development of price data. Given the complexity of electricity tariffs in California, a key issue in model estimation concerns how best to represent the price of electricity in demand equations. There is an extensive literature on this subject dating back to the mid-1970s and many different price terms have been used by various analysts, including current and lagged marginal price with and without infra-marginal price terms, price indices, current and lagged average price and total bills. ${ }^{1}$ Before discussing the different methods for measuring the price of electricity, it is useful to discuss three criteria by which the methods should be evaluated.

The first criterion for evaluating price variables is that the method be econometrically sound. That is, it should not create estimation problems that would lead to biased, inconsistent or inefficient estimates of the regression coefficients and ultimately impair estimation of the price elasticities of demand. A problem commonly encountered in demand modeling is simultaneity between price and usage. This occurs if the underlying rate design is either declining block or inverted block. In the SPP case, the rate design is inverted block. The more electricity a customer uses in a time period, the higher the price the customer pays. Thus, if a simple average price, derived by dividing monthly bills by monthly usage, was used to represent price in the demand models, not only would usage depend on price, but the magnitude of price would depend on customer usage. This simultaneous determination of both price and quantity can cause biased estimates of the coefficient on the price term.

A variety of methods can be used to address this problem, including two-stage least squares (2SLS) estimation procedures or indirect least squares (ILS) requiring the use of instrumental variables. A second option is to use lagged price terms (e.g., average price from the previous billing period), but this can lead to loss of data. ${ }^{2}$ A third option for reducing, although not completely eliminating, the simultaneity problem is to use the marginal price corresponding to the final tier that the customer is in. ${ }^{3}$

Another criterion for evaluating price variables is that the price term should bear some relationship to what most customers actually perceive to be the price of electricity. Focus group research conducted as part of the SPP indicated that, while California customers have a general idea of what they are paying for electricity and understand the concept of time-varying rates, they are not aware of the actual prices (expressed in cents/kWh) they pay. It is important to strike a reasonable balance between accuracy in the price calculation and the likely perceptions

[^18]that customers have about the prices they are charged. That is, it may be a mistake to use precisely accurate prices if they have little to do with what customers actually perceive.

A third criterion is that the method be computationally parsimonious. Computationally intensive methods can be error prone, time consuming, opaque and expensive without yielding any obvious payoffs in improved parameter estimates.

Within the context of the SPP, there were a variety of methods that could be used to measure price, including the following:

- One approach is to use the prices that were communicated to customers in the Welcome Package they received after enrolling in the SPP. Prices using this approach would vary by rate type (e.g., CPP-F), rate level (high or low) and utility. These prices appear on Chart 11 of the Welcome Package (see Appendix 6 for an example) and generally correspond to the average price faced by the average customer. For example, for the CPP-F rate in the SDG\&E territory, the current average rate was stated to be 15.5 cents/kWh. The SPP treatment rate was stated to be 10.8 cents $/ \mathrm{kWh}$ off-peak for $85 \%$ of the hours in the year, 27.6 cents/kWh on-peak for $14 \%$ of the hours in the year and 76.8 cents/kwh super peak for $1 \%$ of the hours of the year. The chart also indicated the specific times for the peak and off-peak periods. This approach is by far the easiest to implement.
- A second approach would begin with development of a composite tariff schedule by climate zone equal to a population-weighted average of the tariffs that exist within each climate zone and service territory. Next, each customer's average daily usage (ADUs) from the previous summer would be used to assign customers to specific tiers within each zone. Finally, average or marginal prices would be computed for the super-peak, peak, and off-peak periods based on the midpoint of each tier by utility, rate type, rate level and climate zone. This assignment of prices would hold prices constant for an entire season (unless a rate change occurred). With this method, there is some variation in average prices across customers within a season due to the assignment of customers to different tiers based on their historical usage but the simultaneity should be less than with other options because the energy consumption used to calculate prices is fixed, based on historical (e.g., year-old) values.
- A third method is similar to the second except that it allows prices to vary with changes in energy consumption by calendar month. With this approach, average or marginal prices would be determined by assigning each customer to a tier based on usage in the current calendar month. The price for all customers assigned to a tier would be the same and equal to the average price based on usage equal to the mid-point of the assigned tier. For example, if a tier ran from 400 kWh to 700 kWh , and a customer's usage in July equaled 600 kWh , the average price for this customer, and for all customers whose usage fell in that tier, would be based on an assumed usage of 550 kWh (e.g., the midpoint of the tier).
- A fourth method would take each customer's usage by calendar month and compute their actual, customer-specific prices rather than using the mid-point of the tier (i.e., each
customer's usage would be run through the bill calculator that was developed at the beginning of the project to establish the SPP rate designs). If marginal prices were used in the two methods rather than average prices, this method and the previous one would result in the same values. However, with average prices, the result would be different. The advantage of this approach over the following one is that it avoids the need to grapple with billing cycle issues. Dealing with billing cycles as opposed to calendar months is much more complex computationally and also introduces additional econometric issues.
- A final option would use the average price paid by customers based on their actual billing cycle energy consumption, lagged one period. It should be noted that this option would result in the exclusion of the July data from the regression analysis, as the approach only makes sense under the assumption that customers base their usage decisions in a billing cycle on the price information received in the previous bill.

After evaluating the options described above, an initial decision was made to pursue option 3. This option appeared to strike a reasonable balance between accuracy, computational ease and minimization of econometric problems. Unfortunately, option 3 did not fare well in practice. It yielded positive and statistically significant estimates of the price elasticities of demand across all rate types and day types. On further examination, it became clear that the regression results were being dominated by the simultaneity problem described above. The coefficients on the price terms did not represent the negative slope of the demand curve but reflected instead the upward slope of the inverted five-tier rate schedule.

This was confirmed when the data were subdivided into five tiers and separate regression models were estimated for each tier. This "Option 6" yielded reasonable estimates of price elasticities within each tier for most rate types. However, since the sample was not designed to produce meaningful results at the tier level, an alternative approach was pursued.

First, 2SLS was used to estimate the demand models. This involved estimating an "instrumental variable" model in which price is regressed on factors other than usage. Variables used in the first stage included appliance holdings, household socio-demographic characteristics, weather and binary variables representing climate zone, utility and CARE/nonCARE pricing. ${ }^{4}$ The predicted value of price obtained from the instrumental variable regression was then used as the price term in the demand function. Unfortunately, the results from this approach were largely unsatisfactory (e.g., statistically insignificant, wrong signs, etc.), confirming that the problem of simultaneity was sufficiently strong that even the 2SLS procedure failed to remove it.

Second, a variant of Option 1 was explored, where prices for all customers were set equal to the average price for a customer with consumption at the midpoint of tier 3 . This approach approximates Option 1 except that prices were allowed to vary as general rate adjustments occurred for each utility over the treatment period. The prices also reflect whether or not a customer receives the CARE discount. With this approach, prices primarily reflect the

[^19]experimental design and do not vary with customer usage, essentially making them ideal instruments for the demand models.

Reasonable results were obtained using the average price for a customer at the midpoint of tier 3. To test the sensitivity of the results, models were also estimated using the average price for customers at the midpoint of tier 1 and tier 2 . The results were quite robust across the three price sets. ${ }^{5}$ This is not surprising since the TOU and CPP rates implicitly impose a constant surcharge on the underlying rates during the peak and critical peak period and give a credit during the off-peak period. The amount of the surcharge and credit does not vary by tier. Since customers are spread across all five tiers, and since the average customer in all three utilities is usually a tier 3 customer, a decision was made to use the average price for a tier- 3 customer.

Demand models were also estimated using both average and marginal prices. On average, the difference in the estimated elasticities was only 2 percent. A decision was made to use average prices because they correspond more closely to the prices in the Welcome Package. They also are conceptually the same as the prices that customers see in the supplementary billing sheet they receive each month.

In order to calculate average prices for customers in Tier 3, a composite tariff was constructed for each climate zone based on a population-weighted average of the baseline quantities associated with each of the baseline regions within each utility and climate zone. The resulting baseline quantities that were used to calculate average and marginal prices for each utility, climate zone and season are contained in Table 15-1.

| Table 15-1 <br> Average Baseline Quantities (kWh) <br> Used to Calculate Average and Marginal Prices |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility | Season | Zone 1 | Zone 2 | Zone 3 | Zone 4 |
| PG\&E | Summer | 264 | 384 | 485 | 548 |
| PG\&E | Winter | 312 | 392 | 386 | 375 |
| SCE | Summer | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 313 | 472 | 754 |
| SCE | Winter | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 305 | 353 | 343 |
| SDG\&E | Summer | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 315 | 313 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| SDG\&E | Winter | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 327 | 347 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

[^20]
## Appendix 16

## Regression Models Underlying All Residential Analysis

| Regression Variable Dictionary |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Definition |
| A | Intercept |
| A_04 | 2004 Year Dummy |
| A_04_IN | 2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| A_CPP_DISP | CPP Day Dummy * Dispatch Dummy |
| A_IW_W | Inner Winter Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| A_OUT_X_W | Outer Summer Dummy * Weekend Dummy |
| A_W | Weekend Dummy |
| A_W_04 | 2004 Year Dummy* Weekend Dummy |
| A_W_04_IN | 2004 Year Dummy* Weekend Dummy*Inner Summer Dummy |
| B | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price) |
| B_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*2004 Year Dummy |
| B_04_IN | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| B_ADU | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Average Daily Use |
| B_BED | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Number of Bedrooms |
| B_COLLEGE | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*College Dummy |
| B_CPP | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*CPP Day Dummy |
| B_CPP_INFO | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*CPP Day Dummy*Information Dummy |
| B_CPP1 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*1st CPP Day Dummy |
| B_CPP1_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*1st CPP Day Dummy * 2004 Year Dummy |
| B_CPP2 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*2nd CPP Day Dummy |
| B_CPP3 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*3rd CPP Day Dummy |
| B_ECK | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Electric Cooking Device Dummy |
| B_INCOME | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Income |
| B_IW | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Inner Winter Dummy |
| B_MFU | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Multi-Family Unit Dummy |
| B_OUT_X | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Outer Summer Dummy |
| B_OUT_X_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Outer Summer Dummy *2004 Year Dummy |
| B_POOL | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Pool Dummy |
| B_PPHH | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Number of Persons per Household |
| B_SPA | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Spa Dummy |
| B_SQFT | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Square Footage |
| B_W_INFO | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Weekend Dummy*Information Dummy |
| C* | Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour |
| C_04 | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*2004 Year Dummy |
| C_04_IN | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| C_CPP | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*CPP Day Dummy |
| C_CPP1 | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*1st CPP Day Dummy |
| C_CPP1_04 | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*1st CPP Day Dummy * 2004 Year Dummy |
| C_CPP2 | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*2nd CPP Day Dummy |
| C_CPP3 | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*3rd CPP Day Dummy |
| C_IW | (Peak Heating Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Heating Degree Hour per Hour)*Inner Winter Dummy |
| C_IW_W | (Peak Heating Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Heating Degree Hour per Hour)*Inner Winter Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| C_OUT_X | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*Outer Summer Dummy |
| C_OUT_X_04 | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*Outer Summer Dummy *2004 Year Dummy |


| C_OUT_X_W | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*Outer Summer Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| :---: | :---: |
| C_W | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour) * Weekend Dummy |
| C_W_04 | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*Weekend Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| C_W_04_IN | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*Weekend Dummy*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| D | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy |
| D_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| D_04_IN | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| D_CPP | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy* CPP Day Dummy |
| D_CPP1 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy*1st CPP Day Dummy |
| D_CPP1_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy*1st CPP Day Dummy * 2004 Year Dummy |
| D_CPP2 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy*2nd CPP Day Dummy |
| D_CPP3 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy*3rd CPP Day Dummy |
| D_OUT_X | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy*Outer Summer Dummy |
| D_OUT_X_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*CAC Dummy*Outer Summer Dummy *2004 Year Dummy |
| E* | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price ) |
| E_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*2004 Year Dummy |
| E_04_IN | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*2004 <br> Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| E_CPP | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*CPP Day Dummy |
| E_CPP1 | $\operatorname{Ln}$ (Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*1st CPP Day Dummy |
| E_CPP1_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*1st CPP Day Dummy * 2004 Year Dummy |
| E_CPP2 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*2nd CPP Day Dummy |
| E_CPP3 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*3rd CPP Day Dummy |
| E_IW | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Heating Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Heating Degree Hour per Hour)*Inner Winter Dummy |
| E_OUT_X | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*Outer Summer Dummy |
| E_OUT_X_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price )*(Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*Outer Summer Dummy *2004 Year Dummy |
| P | Intercept |
| P_04 | 2004 Year Dummy |
| P_04_IN | 2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| P_CPP_DISP | CPP Day Dummy * Dispatch Dummy |
| P_CPP_INFO | CPP Day Dummy*Information Dummy |
| P_IW_W | Inner Winter Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| P_OUT_X_W | Outer Summer Dummy * Weekend Dummy |
| P_W_04 | 2004 Year Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| P_W_04_IN | 2004 Year Dummy*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| Q* | Ln(Daily Average Price) |
| Q_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*2004 Year Dummy |
| Q_04_IN | Ln(Daily Average Price)*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| Q_ADU | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Average Daily Use |


| Q_BED | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Number of Bedrooms |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q_COLLEGE | Ln(Daily Average Price)*College Dummy |
| Q_CPP | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CPP Day Dummy |
| Q_CPP1 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*1st CPP Day Dummy |
| Q_CPP1_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price**1st CPP Day Dummy* 2004 Year Dummy |
| Q_CPP2 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*2nd CPP Day Dummy |
| Q_CPP3 | Ln(Daily Average Price**3rd CPP Day Dummy |
| Q_ECK | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Electric Cooking Device Dummy |
| Q_INCOME | Ln(Daily Average Price**Income |
| Q_IW | Ln(Daily Average Price**Inner Winter Dummy |
| Q_IW_W | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Inner Winter Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_MFU | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Multi-Family Unit Dummy |
| Q_OUT_X | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Outer Summer Dummy |
| Q_OUT_X_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Outer Summer Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| Q_OUT_X_W | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Outer Summer Dummy*Weekend |
| Q_POOL | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Pool Dummy |
| Q_PPHH | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Number of Persons per Household |
| Q_SPA | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Spa Dummy |
| Q_W | Ln(Daily Average Price) * Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Weekend Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| Q_W_04_IN | Ln(Daily Average Price**2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_ADU | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Average Daily Use*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_BED | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Number of Bedrooms*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_COLLEGE | Ln(Daily Average Price)*College Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_ECK | Ln(Daily Average Price**Electric Cooking Device Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_ESPA | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Electric Spa Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_INCOME | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Income*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_INFO | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Weekend Dummy*Information Dummy |
| Q_W_MFU | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Multi-Family Unit Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_POOL | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Pool Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_PPHH | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Number of Persons per Household*Weekend Dummy |
| Q_W_SPA | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Spa Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| R* | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour |
| R_04 | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*2004 Year Dummy |
| R_04_IN | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| R_CPP | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*CPP Day Dummy |
| R_CPP1 | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*1st CPP Day Dummy |
| R_CPP1_04 | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*1st CPP Day Dummy* 2004 Year Dummy |
| R_CPP2 | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*2nd CPP Day Dummy |
| R_CPP3 | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*3rd CPP Day Dummy |
| R_IW | Daily Average Heating Degree Hour per Hour*Inner Winter Dummy |
| R_IW_W | Daily Average Heating Degree Hour per Hour*Inner Winter Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| R_OUT_X | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*Outer Summer Dummy |
| R_OUT_X_04 | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*Outer Summer Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| R_OUT_X_W | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*Outer Summer Dummy*Weekend |
| R_W | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*Weekend Dummy |
| R_W_04 | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*Weekend Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| R_W_04_IN | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| S | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy |
| S_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |


| S_04_IN | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| :--- | :--- |
| S_CPP | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*CPP Day Dummy |
| S_CPP1 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*1st CPP Day Dummy |
| S_CPP1_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*1st CPP Day Dummy* 2004 Year Dummy |
| S_CPP2 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*2nd CPP Day Dummy |
| S_CPP3 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*3rd CPP Day Dummy |
| S_OUT_X | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*Outer Summer Dummy |
| S_OUT_X_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*Outer Summer Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| S_OUT_X_W | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*Outer Summer Dummy*Weekend |
| S_W | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| S_W_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*Weekend Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| S_W_04_IN | Ln(Daily Average Price)*CAC Dummy*2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| T* | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * Ln(Daily Average Price) |
| T_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * 2004 Year Dummy |
| T_04_IN | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour *2004 Year Dummy* Inner Summer Dummy |
| T_CPP | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * CPP Day Dummy |
| T_CPP1 | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * 1st CPP Day Dummy |
| T_CPP1_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * 1st CPP Day Dummy* 2004 Year Dummy |
| T_CPP2 | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * 2nd CPP Day Dummy |
| T_CPP3 | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * 3rd CPP Day Dummy |
| T_IW | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * Ln(Daily Average Price)*Inner Winter Dummy |
| T_IW_W | Daily Average Heating Degree Hour per Hour * Ln(Daily Average Price)*Inner Winter Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| T_OUT_X | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * Outer Summer Dummy |
| T_OUT_X_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * Outer Summer Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| T_OUT_X_W | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour *Outer Summer Dummy* Weekend |
| T_W | Ln(Daily Average Price) * Daily Average Heating Degree Hour per Hour *Weekend Dummy |
| T_W_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price) *Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour * Weekend Dummy* 2004 Year Dummy <br> Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| T_W_04_IN | Para |

*Note: In summer regressions, Degree Hours refers to Cooling Degree Hours, Base 72. In winter regressions, Degree Hours refers to Heating Degree Hours, Base 65.

## Appendix 16.a:

Regression Model Underlying Tables 4-2, 4-3

The MODEL Procedure

|  | Model Summary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Model Variables | 2 |
|  | Parameters | 55 |
|  | Equations | 2 |
|  | Number of Statements | 2 |
| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br> D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), B_OUT_X(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_OUT_X), C_OUT_X(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X), D_OUT_X(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_OUT_X), E_OUT_X(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_OUT_X), A_OUT_X_W(DIF_OUT_X_WKD), C_OUT_X_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X_WKD), B_04(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_04), C_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_04), D_04(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC_04), E_04(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_04), A_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), C_W_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD_04), B_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_OUT_X_04), C_OUT_X_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X_04), D_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_OUT_X_04), E_OUT_X_04(DIF_CES_DH__PRICE_OUT_X_04) ) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | ```F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_OUT_X \(\bar{X}\) (DIF_LN_DAILY_- \({ }^{-}\)_AVE_S_OUT_X), R_OUT_X(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X), S_OUT_X(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X), T_OUT_X(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X), P_OUT_X_W(DIF_OUT_X_WKD), Q_OUT_X_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_X_WKD), R_OUT-X_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X_WKD), S_OUT_X_W(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X_WKD), T_OUT_X_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X_WKD), Q_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_04), R_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_04), S_04(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_04), T_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_04), P_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), Q_W_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD_04), R_W_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD_04), S_W_04(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_WKD_04), T_W_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD_04), Q_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_X_04), R_OUT_X_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X_04), S_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X_04), T_OUT_X_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X_04))``` |  |

## The MODEL Procedure

> | Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_COMCUST |
| OUT $\boldsymbol{=}$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $\boldsymbol{~}$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 55 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | 0 |
| PPC | $1.098 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| RPC(C_OUT_X_W) | 3.705904 |
| Object | 0.000051 |
| Trace(S) | 7311.009 |
| Objective Value | 1.999663 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 256452 |
| Solved | 256452 |
| Used | 234389 |
| Missing | 22063 |

# Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, Common Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer Summer/Year Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 23 | 234 E 3 | 1.3037 E 9 | 5562.8 | 74.5841 | 0.0115 | 0.0114 | 2.8845 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 32 | 234 E 3 | 4.0971 E 8 | 1748.2 | 41.8118 | 0.0303 | 0.0302 | 2.6382 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00014 | 0.00115 | -0.12 | 0.9008 |
| B | -0.03652 | 0.00664 | -5.50 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.011031 | 0.000583 | 18.92 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.0926 | 0.00798 | -11.60 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00162 | 0.000571 | -2.84 | 0.0045 |
| A_W | 0.013617 | 0.00494 | 2.76 | 0.0058 |
| C_W | 0.000551 | 0.000529 | 1.04 | 0.2980 |
| B_OUT_X | 0.003769 | 0.0116 | 0.32 | 0.7459 |
| C_OUT_X | -0.00422 | 0.00125 | -3.38 | 0.0007 |
| D_OUT_X | 0.060828 | 0.0152 | 4.00 | $<.0001$ |
| E_OUT_X | 0.001078 | 0.00120 | 0.90 | 0.3700 |
| A_OUT_X_W | 0.017598 | 0.00780 | 2.26 | 0.0241 |
| C_OUT_X_W | -0.0004 | 0.000976 | -0.41 | 0.6814 |
| B_04 | 0.013009 | 0.0101 | 1.29 | 0.1978 |
| C_04 | 0.000887 | 0.000951 | 0.93 | 0.3510 |
| D_04 | 0.003643 | 0.0122 | 0.30 | 0.7662 |
| E_04 | -0.0012 | 0.000899 | -1.33 | 0.1833 |
| A_W_04 | -0.00452 | 0.00741 | -0.61 | 0.5424 |
| C_W_04 | 0.000095 | 0.000801 | 0.12 | 0.9058 |
| B_OUT_X_04 | -0.00148 | 0.0158 | -0.09 | 0.9256 |
| C_OUT_X_04 | 0.005902 | 0.00160 | 3.68 | 0.0002 |
| D_OUT_X_04 | 0.025124 | 0.0222 | 1.13 | 0.2578 |
| E_OUT_X_04 | 0.000722 | 0.00173 | 0.42 | 0.6763 |
| P | 0.000381 | 0.000644 | 0.59 | 0.5546 |
| Q | -0.03144 | 0.00722 | -4.35 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.031437 | 0.00195 | 16.14 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01946 | 0.00883 | -2.20 | 0.0276 |
| T | 0.00095 | 0.000916 | 1.04 | 0.2998 |
|  | $009 e-1390 f 310$ |  |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approx } \\ \operatorname{Pr}>\|t\| \end{gathered}$ |
| P_W | 0.06626 | 0.0194 | 3.41 | 0.0007 |
| Q_W | 0.023205 | 0.00899 | 2.58 | 0.0099 |
| R_W | -0.00108 | 0.00306 | -0.35 | 0.7242 |
| S_W | -0.01561 | 0.00181 | -8.63 | <. 0001 |
| T_W | -0.00007 | 0.00138 | -0.05 | 0.9611 |
| Q_OUT_X | -0.00884 | 0.00593 | -1.49 | 0.1357 |
| R_OUT_X | -0.01825 | 0.00511 | -3.57 | 0.0004 |
| S_OUT_X | 0.008503 | 0.00886 | 0.96 | 0.3372 |
| T_OUT_X | -0.00196 | 0.00240 | -0.82 | 0.4146 |
| P_OUT_X_W | -0.01126 | 0.0284 | -0.40 | 0.6921 |
| Q_OUT_X_W | -0.00569 | 0.0126 | -0.45 | 0.6523 |
| R_OUT_X_W | 0.006922 | 0.00717 | 0.96 | 0.3347 |
| S_OUT_X_W | 0.005479 | 0.00255 | 2.15 | 0.0315 |
| T_OUT_X_W | 0.003108 | 0.00328 | 0.95 | 0.3435 |
| Q_04 | -0.03257 | 0.0122 | -2.66 | 0.0077 |
| R_04 | 0.000496 | 0.00330 | 0.15 | 0.8804 |
| S_04 | 0.013294 | 0.0145 | 0.92 | 0.3597 |
| T_04 | 0.00143 | 0.00158 | 0.91 | 0.3640 |
| P_W_04 | 0.081749 | 0.0305 | 2.68 | 0.0073 |
| Q_W_04 | 0.035776 | 0.0140 | 2.55 | 0.0108 |
| R_W_04 | -0.00436 | 0.00521 | -0.84 | 0.4024 |
| S_W_04 | 0.002835 | 0.00263 | 1.08 | 0.2813 |
| T_W_04 | -0.00217 | 0.00236 | -0.92 | 0.3585 |
| Q_OUT_X_04 | 0.012166 | 0.00748 | 1.63 | 0.1039 |
| R_OUT_X_04 | 0.019465 | 0.00752 | 2.59 | 0.0096 |
| S_OUT_X_04 | -0.02175 | 0.0112 | -1.94 | 0.0524 |
| T_OUT_X_04 | 0.001299 | 0.00343 | 0.38 | 0.7051 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 234389 | Objective | 1.9997 |
| Missing | 22063 | Objective*N | 468699 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.22379 E 9 |  |  |

## Appendix 16.b: <br> Regression Model Underlying Tables 4-4, 4-5

## Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer Summer/Year Dummies

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 55 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br> D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_OUT_X $\left(D I F \_L N \_P E A K P \_O P E A K P-A V E \_O U T \_X\right), ~$ <br> C_OUT_X(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X), <br> D_OUT_X (DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_OUT_X), <br> E_OUT_X(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_OUT_X), <br> A_OUT_X_W(DIF_OUT_X_WKD), <br> C_OUT_X_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X_WKD), <br> B_04(DIF_LN_PEĀKP_OPEAKP_AVE_04), <br> C_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_04), <br> D_04(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC_04), <br> E_04(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_04), A_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), <br> C_W_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD_04), <br> B_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_OUT_X_04), <br> C_OUT_X_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X_04), <br> D_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_OUT_X_04), <br> E_OUT_X_04(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_OUT_X_04)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), <br> T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), <br> Q_OUT_X $\left(D I F \_L N \_D A I L Y\right.$ Y_P_AVE_S_OUT_X), <br> R_OUT_X(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X), <br> S_OUT_X(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X), <br> T_OUT_X(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X), <br> P_OUT_X_W(DIF_OUT_X_WKD), <br> Q_OUT_X_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_X_WKD), <br> R_OUT_X_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X_WKD), <br> S_OUT_X_W(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X_WKD), <br> T_OUT_X_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X_WKD), <br> Q_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_04), <br> R_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_04), <br> S_04(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_04), <br> T_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_04), P_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), <br> Q_W_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD_04), <br> R_W_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD_04), <br> S_W_04(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_WKD_04), <br> T_W_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD_04), <br> Q_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_X_04), <br> R_OUT_X_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X_04), <br> S_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X_04), <br> T_OUT_X_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X_04)) |

## The MODEL Procedure

| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 55 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $6.201 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| RPC(C_OUT_X_W) | 3.360524 |
| Object | 0.000047 |
| Trace(S) | 7366.378 |
| Objective Value | 1.999684 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 247729 |
| Missing | 29622 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Eror | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 23 | 248 E 3 | 1.388 E 9 | 5603.5 | 74.8564 | 0.0109 | 0.0108 | 2.8864 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 32 | 248 E 3 | 4.3667 E 8 | 1762.9 | 41.9869 | 0.0293 | 0.0292 | 2.6388 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00022 | 0.00112 | -0.20 | 0.8451 |
| B | -0.03517 | 0.00646 | -5.45 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.010974 | 0.000571 | 19.21 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.09045 | 0.00775 | -11.67 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00144 | 0.000557 | -2.59 | 0.0097 |
| A_W | 0.01397 | 0.00479 | 2.91 | 0.0036 |
| C_W | 0.000579 | 0.000515 | 1.12 | 0.2612 |
| B_OUT_X | 0.008157 | 0.0114 | 0.72 | 0.4733 |
| C_OUT_X | -0.00414 | 0.00122 | -3.39 | 0.0007 |
| D_OUT_X | 0.057024 | 0.0149 | 3.83 | 0.0001 |
| E_OUT_X | 0.00084 | 0.00117 | 0.72 | 0.4744 |
| A_OUT_X_W | 0.017928 | 0.00757 | 2.37 | 0.0179 |
| C_OUT_X_W | -0.0002 | 0.000953 | -0.21 | 0.8328 |
| B_04 | 0.008433 | 0.00984 | 0.86 | 0.3915 |
| C_04 | 0.00032 | 0.000933 | 0.34 | 0.7320 |
| D_04 | -0.00183 | 0.0120 | -0.15 | 0.8783 |
| E_04 | -0.00095 | 0.000879 | -1.08 | 0.2783 |
| A_W_04 | -0.01059 | 0.00717 | -1.48 | 0.1395 |
| C_W_04 | 0.000513 | 0.000780 | 0.66 | 0.5109 |
| B_OUT_X_04 | -0.00267 | 0.0155 | -0.17 | 0.8637 |
| C_OUT_X_04 | 0.006032 | 0.00157 | 3.83 | 0.0001 |
| D_OUT_X_04 | 0.029631 | 0.0219 | 1.35 | 0.1755 |
| E_OUT_X_04 | 0.000873 | 0.00170 | 0.51 | 0.6084 |
| P | 0.000428 | 0.000627 | 0.68 | 0.4954 |
| Q | -0.02813 | 0.00707 | -3.98 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.031306 | 0.00191 | 16.36 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.02179 | 0.00863 | -2.52 | 0.0116 |
| T | 0.00097 | 0.000900 | 1.08 | 0.2813 |
|  | 0950 | 145 |  |  |

## The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter |  |  |  | Estimates |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $\boldsymbol{\| t \|} \mid$ |
| P_W | 0.045576 | 0.0190 | 2.40 | 0.0162 |
| Q_W | 0.013826 | 0.00878 | 1.57 | 0.1155 |
| R_W | 0.000014 | 0.00296 | 0.00 | 0.9961 |
| S_W | -0.01491 | 0.00175 | -8.51 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | 0.0004 | 0.00133 | 0.30 | 0.7644 |
| Q_OUT_X | -0.01023 | 0.00581 | -1.76 | 0.0782 |
| R_OUT_X | -0.0161 | 0.00504 | -3.19 | 0.0014 |
| S_OUT_X | 0.010422 | 0.00867 | 1.20 | 0.2291 |
| T_OUT_X | -0.00108 | 0.00237 | -0.46 | 0.6484 |
| P_OUT_X_W | 0.010876 | 0.0277 | 0.39 | 0.6949 |
| Q_OUT_X_W | 0.004995 | 0.0123 | 0.40 | 0.6857 |
| R_OUT_X_W | 0.003949 | 0.00704 | 0.56 | 0.5748 |
| S_OUT_X_W | 0.004495 | 0.00249 | 1.80 | 0.0712 |
| T_OUT_X_W | 0.001633 | 0.00322 | 0.51 | 0.6123 |
| Q_04 | -0.0339 | 0.0120 | -2.83 | 0.0047 |
| R_04 | 0.000514 | 0.00325 | 0.16 | 0.8741 |
| S_04 | 0.008655 | 0.0143 | 0.61 | 0.5436 |
| T_04 | 0.001599 | 0.00155 | 1.03 | 0.3030 |
| P_W_04 | 0.086773 | 0.0296 | 2.94 | 0.0033 |
| Q_W_04 | 0.037943 | 0.0136 | 2.78 | 0.0054 |
| R_W_04 | -0.00377 | 0.00508 | -0.74 | 0.4581 |
| S_W_04 | 0.003726 | 0.00256 | 1.46 | 0.1456 |
| T_W_04 | -0.00194 | 0.00230 | -0.84 | 0.3998 |
| Q_OUT_X_04 | 0.011945 | 0.00734 | 1.63 | 0.1036 |
| R_OUT_X_04 | 0.018978 | 0.00742 | 2.56 | 0.0106 |
| S_OUT_X_04 | -0.02258 | 0.0110 | -2.05 | 0.0400 |
| T_OUT_X_04 | 0.001084 | 0.00339 | 0.32 | 0.7492 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 247729 | Objective | 1.9997 |
| Missing | 29622 | Objective*N | 495380 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.48926 E 9 |  |  |

## Appendix 16.c: <br> Regression Model Underlying Tables 4-6 through 4-9

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 32 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_C AC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE $)$, <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_OUT_X (DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_OUT_X), <br> C_OUT_X(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X), <br> D_OUT_X(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_OUT_X), <br> E_OUT_X(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_OUT_X), <br> A_OUT_X_W(DIF_OUT_X_WKD), <br> C_OUT_X_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X_WKD)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), <br> T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), <br> Q_OUT_X $($ DIF_LN_DAILY__P_AVE_S_OUT_X), <br> R_OUT_X(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X), <br> S_OUT_X(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X), <br> T_OUT_X(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X), <br> P_OUT_X_W(DIF_OUT_X_WKD), <br> Q_OUT_X_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_X_WKD), <br> R_OUT_X_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X_WKD), <br> S_OUT_X_W(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X_WKD), <br> T_OUT_X_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X_WKD)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE $=0.001$ Criteria Met. Dummy

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 32 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $6.293 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| RPC(S_OUT_X) | 4.005235 |
| Object | 0.000045 |
| Trace(S) | 7367.728 |
| Objective Value | 1.99978 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 247729 |
| Missing | 29622 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 13 | 248 E 3 | 1.3882 E 9 | 5604.0 | 74.8602 | 0.0108 | 0.0107 | 2.8864 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 19 | 248 E 3 | 4.3688 E 8 | 1763.7 | 41.9962 | 0.0288 | 0.0288 | 2.6385 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00023 | 0.00112 | -0.21 | 0.8353 |
| B | -0.03073 | 0.00495 | -6.21 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.011118 | 0.000456 | 24.38 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.09107 | 0.00591 | -15.41 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00187 | 0.000436 | -4.28 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.009764 | 0.00401 | 2.43 | 0.0150 |
| C_W | 0.000804 | 0.000423 | 1.90 | 0.0572 |
| B_OUT_X | 0.006597 | 0.00884 | 0.75 | 0.4556 |
| C_OUT_X | -0.00055 | 0.000880 | -0.62 | 0.5331 |
| D_OUT_X | 0.071471 | 0.0110 | 6.49 | $<.0001$ |
| E_OUT_X | 0.000838 | 0.000957 | 0.88 | 0.3810 |
| A_OUT_X_W | 0.014361 | 0.00713 | 2.01 | 0.0439 |
| C_OUT_X_W | 0.000019 | 0.000909 | 0.02 | 0.9835 |
| P | 0.000426 | 0.000627 | 0.68 | 0.4971 |
| Q | -0.03966 | 0.00565 | -7.02 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.030807 | 0.00154 | 20.04 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01573 | 0.00681 | -2.31 | 0.0209 |
| T | 0.001206 | 0.000730 | 1.65 | 0.0984 |
| P_W | 0.071206 | 0.0163 | 4.37 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.024745 | 0.00751 | 3.30 | 0.0010 |
| R_W | -0.00079 | 0.00253 | -0.31 | 0.7537 |
| S_W | -0.01364 | 0.00143 | -9.54 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | $-2.91 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 0.00114 | -0.00 | 0.9980 |
| Q_OUT_X | -0.00425 | 0.00372 | -1.14 | 0.2533 |
| R_OUT_X | -0.00322 | 0.00461 | -0.70 | 0.4846 |
| S_OUT_X | -0.00358 | 0.00560 | -0.64 | 0.5223 |
| T_OUT_X | 0.000342 | 0.00221 | 0.15 | 0.8771 |
| P_OUT_X_W | 0.030185 | 0.0263 | 1.15 | 0.2511 |
|  | 0.150 | 0 | 310 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |  |
| Q_OUT_X_W | 0.012548 | 0.0117 | 1.07 | 0.2852 |  |
| R_OUT_X_W | 0.001021 | 0.00639 | 0.16 | 0.8729 |  |
| S_OUT_X_W | 0.004758 | 0.00242 | 1.96 | 0.0498 |  |
| T_OUT_X_W | 0.000393 | 0.00289 | 0.14 | 0.8920 |  |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 247729 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 29622 | Objective*N | 495403 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.48926 E 9 |  |  |

# Appendix 16.d: <br> Regression Model Underlying Tables 4-10, 4-11 

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 17 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


|  | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | A B C D E A_W C_W P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W |
|  | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_H | OUUR F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br> $=$ <br> D(DIF_LN_PEAK__OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD) $)$  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_H | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \hline \mathbf{H R}= & \begin{array}{l} \text { F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), } \\ \text { S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), } \\ \text { P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), } \\ \text { R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), } \\ \text { T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD)) } \end{array} \end{array}$ |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 17 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $7.46 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 1.610012 |
| Object | 0.000043 |
| Trace(S) | 7369.726 |
| Objective Value | 1.999845 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 247729 |
| Missing | 29622 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 7 | 248 E 3 | 1.3887 E 9 | 5606.0 | 74.8729 | 0.0104 | 0.0104 | 2.8861 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 10 | 248 E 3 | 4.3692 E 8 | 1763.8 | 41.9973 | 0.0288 | 0.0287 | 2.6387 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value |  | | Approx |
| ---: |
| Pr > \|t| |$|$| A | -0.00019 | 0.00112 | -0.17 | 0.8669 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B | -0.02726 | 0.00432 | -6.31 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.0112 | 0.000403 | 27.79 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.07096 | 0.00515 | -13.79 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.0022 | 0.000389 | -5.64 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.013305 | 0.00338 | 3.94 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.000691 | 0.000373 | 1.85 | 0.0637 |
| P | 0.000458 | 0.000627 | 0.73 | 0.4654 |
| Q | -0.04195 | 0.00555 | -7.56 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.030628 | 0.00152 | 20.14 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01637 | 0.00669 | -2.45 | 0.0144 |
| T | 0.001606 | 0.000724 | 2.22 | 0.0264 |
| $\mathbf{P \_ W ~}$ | 0.081169 | 0.0137 | 5.92 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.029121 | 0.00633 | 4.60 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00129 | 0.00230 | -0.56 | 0.5756 |
| S_W | -0.01228 | 0.00123 | -10.01 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00028 | 0.00104 | -0.27 | 0.7896 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 247729 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 29622 | Objective*N | 495420 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.48926 E 9 |  |  |

Appendix 16.e:
Regression Model Underlying Tables 4-12 through 4-14

# Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer/Year/2nd CPP Day/3rd CPP Day Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 71 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), <br> C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br> D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), <br> E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), A_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_OUT_X (DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_OUT <br> X), C_OUT_X(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Mr_OUT_X), <br> D_OUT_X(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_OUT_X), <br> E_OUT_X(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_OUT_X), <br> A_OUT_X_W(DIF_OUT_X_WKD), <br> C_OUT_X_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X <br> WKD), B_04(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_04), <br> C_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_04), <br> D_04(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC_04), <br> E_04(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_04), <br> A_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), <br> C_W_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD_04), <br> B_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_OUT_X_04), <br> C_OUT_X_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X_04), <br> D_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_OUT_X_04), <br> E_OUT_X_04(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_OUT_X_04), <br> B_CPP2(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CPP2), <br> C_CPP2(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_CPP2), <br> D_CPP2(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC_ <br> CPP2), E_CPP2(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_CPP2), <br> B_CPP3(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CPP3), <br> C_CPP3(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_CPP3), <br> D_CPP3(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC_ <br> CPP3), E_CPP3(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_CPP3)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = <br> Page | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), <br> R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), <br> S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), <br> T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), <br> T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), <br> Q_OUT_X(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_X), <br> R_OUT_X(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X), <br> S_OUT_X(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X), <br> T_OUT_X (DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X), <br> P_OUT_X_W(DIF_OUT_X_WKD), <br> Q_OUT_X_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_X_ WKD), <br> R_OUT_X_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X_ WKD), <br> S_OUT_X_W(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X_ WKD), <br> T_OUT_X_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X_ WKD) O 04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_04), <br>  |

The MODEL Procedure

| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | S_04(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_04), <br> T_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_04), <br> P_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), <br> Q_W_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD_04), <br> R_W_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD_04), <br> S_W_04(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_WKD_04), <br> T_W_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD_04), <br> Q_OŪT_X_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_ <br> X_04), <br> R_OUT_X_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X_04), <br> S_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_ <br> X_04), <br> T_OUT_X_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X_04), Q_CPP2(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_CPP2), <br> R_CPP2(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_CPP2), <br> S_CPP2(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_CPP2), <br> T_CPP2(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_CPP2), <br> Q_CPP3(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_CPP3), <br> R_CPP3(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_CPP3), <br> S_CPP3(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_CPP3), <br> T_CPP3(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_CPP3)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE $=0.001$ Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 71 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $4.72 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| RPC(T) | 5.283684 |
| Object | 0.000049 |
| Trace(S) | 7366.134 |
| Objective Value | 1.999616 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 247729 |
| Missing | 29622 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 31 | 248 E 3 | 1.3879 E 9 | 5603.3 | 74.8551 | 0.0110 | 0.0109 | 2.8864 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 40 | 248 E 3 | 4.3664 E 8 | 1762.8 | 41.9862 | 0.0294 | 0.0292 | 2.6386 |

# Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer/Year/2nd CPP Day/3rd CPP Day Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx Std Err | t Value | Approx $\hat{\operatorname{Pr}}>\|t\|$ |
| A | -0.00022 | 0.00112 | -0.20 | 0.8441 |
| B | -0.03321 | 0.00651 | -5.10 | <. 0001 |
| C | 0.01097 | 0.000572 | 19.17 | <. 0001 |
| D | -0.09119 | 0.00788 | -11.57 | <. 0001 |
| E | -0.00141 | 0.000568 | -2.48 | 0.0131 |
| A_W | 0.014311 | 0.00480 | 2.98 | 0.0028 |
| C_W | 0.000569 | 0.000517 | 1.10 | 0.2710 |
| B_OUT_X | 0.005988 | 0.0114 | 0.52 | 0.5997 |
| C_OUT_X | -0.00416 | 0.00122 | -3.41 | 0.0007 |
| D_OUT_X | 0.058165 | 0.0149 | 3.89 | <. 0001 |
| E_OUT_X | 0.000829 | 0.00118 | 0.70 | 0.4822 |
| A_OUT_X_W | 0.017073 | 0.00758 | 2.25 | 0.0243 |
| C_OUT_X_W | -0.0001 | 0.000955 | -0.11 | 0.9147 |
| B_04 | 0.009726 | 0.00996 | 0.98 | 0.3287 |
| C_04 | 0.000541 | 0.000937 | 0.58 | 0.5639 |
| D_04 | -0.00009 | 0.0121 | -0.01 | 0.9943 |
| E_04 | -0.00104 | 0.000895 | -1.17 | 0.2430 |
| A_W_04 | -0.00943 | 0.00718 | -1.31 | 0.1892 |
| C_W_04 | 0.000357 | 0.000783 | 0.46 | 0.6490 |
| B_OUT_X_04 | -0.00275 | 0.0156 | -0.18 | 0.8600 |
| C_OUT_X_04 | 0.005916 | 0.00157 | 3.76 | 0.0002 |
| D_OUT_X_04 | 0.027296 | 0.0219 | 1.24 | 0.2136 |
| E_OUT_X_04 | 0.000873 | 0.00171 | 0.51 | 0.6096 |
| B_CPP2 | -0.02175 | 0.00945 | -2.30 | 0.0214 |
| C_CPP2 | -0.00055 | 0.000929 | -0.59 | 0.5554 |
| D_CPP2 | 0.005475 | 0.0118 | 0.46 | 0.6436 |
| E_CPP2 | 0.000572 | 0.000964 | 0.59 | 0.5530 |
| B_CPP3 | -0.01312 | 0.0146 | -0.90 | 0.3703 |
| C_CPP3 | -0.00317 | 0.00128 | -2.47 | 0.0133 |
| D_CPP3 | -0.01005 | 0.0185 | -0.54 | 0.5865 |
| E_CPP3 | 0.001448 | 0.00150 | 0.96 | 0.3346 |
| P | 0.000429 | 0.000627 | 0.68 | 0.4945 |
| Q | -0.02898 | 0.00709 | -4.09 | <. 0001 |
| R | $\begin{aligned} & 0.030892 \\ & \text { Page } 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00193 \\ 57^{0.0} 310 \end{gathered}$ | 16.03 | <. 0001 |

# Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer/Year/2nd CPP Day/3rd CPP Day Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| S | -0.02025 | 0.00866 | -2.34 | 0.0193 |
| T | 0.00078 | 0.000907 | 0.86 | 0.3894 |
| P_W | 0.046398 | 0.0190 | 2.45 | 0.0144 |
| Q_W | 0.014231 | 0.00879 | 1.62 | 0.1053 |
| R_W | 0.000189 | 0.00296 | 0.06 | 0.9490 |
| S_W | -0.01485 | 0.00175 | -8.47 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | 0.000483 | 0.00134 | 0.36 | 0.7177 |
| Q_OUT_X | -0.00986 | 0.00581 | -1.70 | 0.0898 |
| R_OUT_X | -0.01566 | 0.00505 | -3.10 | 0.0019 |
| S_OUT_X | 0.009782 | 0.00867 | 1.13 | 0.2591 |
| T_OUT_X | -0.00086 | 0.00238 | -0.36 | 0.7175 |
| P_OUT_X_W | 0.010468 | 0.0277 | 0.38 | 0.7058 |
| Q_OUT_X_W | 0.004702 | 0.0123 | 0.38 | 0.7032 |
| R_OUT_X_W | 0.003882 | 0.00705 | 0.55 | 0.5817 |
| S_OUT_X_W | 0.004645 | 0.00249 | 1.86 | 0.0626 |
| T_OUT_X_W | 0.00156 | 0.00323 | 0.48 | 0.6286 |
| Q_04 | -0.03106 | 0.0121 | -2.57 | 0.0101 |
| R_04 | 0.0004 | 0.00332 | 0.12 | 0.9041 |
| S_04 | 0.005423 | 0.0143 | 0.38 | 0.7044 |
| T_04 | 0.001472 | 0.00159 | 0.93 | 0.3532 |
| P_W_04 | 0.085014 | 0.0296 | 2.87 | 0.0041 |
| Q_W_04 | 0.037162 | 0.0137 | 2.71 | 0.0066 |
| R_W_04 | -0.00366 | 0.00511 | -0.72 | 0.4739 |
| S_W_04 | 0.003473 | 0.00256 | 1.36 | 0.1752 |
| T_W_04 | -0.00182 | 0.00232 | -0.79 | 0.4318 |
| Q_OUT_X_04 | 0.011439 | 0.00734 | 1.56 | 0.1191 |
| R_OUT_X_04 | 0.018673 | 0.00743 | 2.51 | 0.0119 |
| S_OUT_X_04 | -0.02165 | 0.0110 | -1.97 | 0.0490 |
| T_OUT_X_04 | 0.000981 | 0.00339 | 0.29 | 0.7726 |
| Q_CPP2 | -0.00265 | 0.00363 | -0.73 | 0.4652 |
| R_CPP2 | 0.004206 | 0.00304 | 1.38 | 0.1666 |
| S_CPP2 | 0.010557 | 0.00494 | 2.14 | 0.0326 |
| T_CPP2 | 0.001841 | 0.00174 | 1.06 | 0.2890 |
| Q_CPP3 | 0.011173 | 0.00532 | 2.10 | 0.0359 |
|  | $-162+310$ |  |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t $\mid$ |
| R_CPP3 | 0.005354 | 0.00429 | 1.25 | 0.2123 |
| S_CPP3 | -0.01471 | 0.00732 | -2.01 | 0.0445 |
| T_CPP3 | 0.003507 | 0.00244 | 1.44 | 0.1511 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 247729 | Objective | 1.9996 |
| Missing | 29622 | Objective*N | 495363 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.48926 E 9 |  |  |

## Appendix 16.f:

Regression Model Underlying Tables 4-15 through 4-17

# Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer/Year/1st CPP Day/2nd CPP Day/3rd CPP Day Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure

|  | Model Summary |
| :---: | :---: |
| Model Varia | ables |
| Parameters | 87 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of S | Statements |
| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
|  | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br> D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), <br> E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), A_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> C_W(DIIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_OUT_X(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_OUT_ <br> X), C_OUT_X(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X), <br> D_OUT_X(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_OUT_X), <br> E_OUT_X(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_OUT_X), <br> A_OUT_X_W(DIF_OUT_X_WKD), <br> C_OUT_X_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X_ <br> WKD), B_- 04 (DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEA-AKP_AVE_04), <br> C_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_04), <br> D_04(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC_04), <br> E_04(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_04), <br> A_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), <br> C_W_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD_04), <br> B_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_OUT_X_04), <br> C_OUT_X_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_OUT_X_04), <br> D_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_OUT_X_04), <br> E_OUT_X_04(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_OUT_X_04), <br> B_CPP1(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CPP1), <br> C_CPP1(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_CPP1), <br> D_CPP1(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC_ <br> CPP1), E_CPP1(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_CPP1), <br> B_CPP1_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CPP1_04), <br> C_CPP1_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_CPP1_04), <br> D_CPP1_04(DIF_LN_PO_AVE_CAC_CPP1_04), <br> E_CPP1_04(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_CPP1_04), <br> B_CPP2(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CPP2), <br> C_CPP2(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_CPP2), <br> D_CPP2(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC_ CPP2), E_CPP2(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_CPP2), B_CPP3(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CPP3), C_CPP3(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_CPP3), <br> D_CPP3(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC_ CPP3), E_CPP3(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE_CPP3)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR $=0$ | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_OUT_X (DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_X), R_OUT_X(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X), S_OUT_X(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CĀC_OUTT_X), T_OUT_X(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X), -OUT-X W (DIF_OUT_X_WKD), <br>  |

## Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer/Year/1st CPP Day/2nd CPP Day/3rd CPP Day Dummies

The MODEL Procedure

| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | WKD), <br> R_OUT_X_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X_ WKD), <br> S_OUT_X_W(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_X_ WKD), <br> T_OUT_X_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X_ <br> WKD), Q_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_04), <br> R_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_04), <br> S_04(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_04), <br> T_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_04), <br> P_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), <br> Q_W_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD_04), <br> R_W_04(DIF_DAILLY_DH_HOUR_WKD_04), <br> S_W_04(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_WKD_04), <br> T_W_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD_04), <br> Q_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_OUT_ <br> X_04), <br> R_OUT_X_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_OUT_X_04), <br> S_OUT_X_04(DIF_LN_D_P_AVE_S_CAC_OUT_ <br> X_04), <br> T_OUT_X_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_OUT_X_04), <br> Q_CPP1(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_CPP1), <br> R_CPP1(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_CPP1), <br> S_CPP1(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_CPP1), <br> T_CPP1(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_CPP1), <br> Q_CPP1_04(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_CPP1_04), <br> R_CPP1_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_CPP1_04), <br> S_CPP1_04(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_ <br> CPP1_04), <br> T_CPP1_04(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_CPP1_04), <br> Q_CPP2(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_CPP2), <br> R_CPP2(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_CPP2), <br> S_CPP2(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_CPP2), <br> T_CPP2(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_CPP2), <br> Q_CPP3(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_CPP3), <br> R_CPP3(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_CPP3), <br> S_CPP3(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC_CPP3), <br> T_CPP3(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_CPP3)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 87 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $4.66 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| RPC(C_OUT_X_W) | 131.4238 |
| Object | 0.000046 |
| Trace(S) | 7365.753 |
| Objective Value | 1.999558 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 247729 |
| Missing | 29622 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 39 | 248 E 3 | 1.3878 E 9 | 5603.2 | 74.8543 | 0.0110 | 0.0109 | 2.8864 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 48 | 248 E 3 | 4.3656 E 8 | 1762.6 | 41.9832 | 0.0296 | 0.0294 | 2.6387 |

## Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer/Year/1st CPP Day/2nd CPP Day/3rd CPP Day Dummies

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00023 | 0.00112 | -0.21 | 0.8372 |
| B | -0.02869 | 0.00832 | -3.45 | 0.0006 |
| C | 0.010703 | 0.000594 | 18.03 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.09286 | 0.0100 | -9.29 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00016 | 0.000757 | -0.21 | 0.8336 |
| A_W | 0.016024 | 0.00499 | 3.21 | 0.0013 |
| C_W | 0.000941 | 0.000546 | 1.72 | 0.0846 |
| B_OUT_X | 0.007607 | 0.0115 | 0.66 | 0.5097 |
| C_OUT_X | -0.00416 | 0.00122 | -3.40 | 0.0007 |
| D_OUT_X | 0.058101 | 0.0150 | 3.87 | 0.0001 |
| E_OUT_X | 0.000503 | 0.00119 | 0.42 | 0.6721 |
| A_OUT_X_W | 0.017295 | 0.00769 | 2.25 | 0.0244 |
| C_OUT_X_W | -0.00037 | 0.000967 | -0.38 | 0.7005 |
| B_04 | 0.005608 | 0.0125 | 0.45 | 0.6534 |
| C_04 | 0.000317 | 0.000972 | 0.33 | 0.7445 |
| D_04 | 0.008676 | 0.0148 | 0.58 | 0.5586 |
| E_04 | -0.00096 | 0.00116 | -0.82 | 0.4094 |
| A_W_04 | -0.01041 | 0.00754 | -1.38 | 0.1670 |
| C_W_04 | 0.000488 | 0.000825 | 0.59 | 0.5546 |
| B_OUT_X_04 | -0.00384 | 0.0162 | -0.24 | 0.8127 |
| C_OUT_X_04 | 0.006252 | 0.00158 | 3.96 | $<.0001$ |
| D_OUT_X_04 | 0.021184 | 0.0229 | 0.93 | 0.3544 |
| E_OUT_X_04 | 0.000078 | 0.00178 | 0.04 | 0.9651 |
| B_CPP1 | -0.00407 | 0.00672 | -0.61 | 0.5450 |
| C_CPP1 | -0.00029 | 0.000596 | -0.49 | 0.6240 |
| D_CPP1 | 0.004003 | 0.00856 | 0.47 | 0.6400 |
| E_CPP1 | -0.00127 | 0.000731 | -1.74 | 0.0822 |
| B_CPP1_04 | 0.003793 | 0.0111 | 0.34 | 0.7317 |
| C_CPP1_04 | 0.000388 | 0.000929 | 0.42 | 0.6762 |
| D_CPP1_04 | -0.01605 | 0.0138 | -1.16 | 0.2443 |
| E_CPP1_04 | 0.000064 | 0.00116 | 0.06 | 0.9559 |
| B_CPP2 | -0.02387 | 0.0107 | -2.23 | 0.0260 |
| C_CPP2 | -0.00036 | 0.000979 | -0.37 | 0.7146 |
| D_CPP2 | 0.000176 | 0.0133 | 0.01 | 0.9894 |
|  | PO9 | 169 | 0 | 310 |

## Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer/Year/1st CPP Day/2nd CPP Day/3rd CPP Day Dummies

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx Std Err | t Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approx } \\ \text { Pr }>\|t\| \end{gathered}$ |
| E_CPP2 | -0.00046 | 0.00109 | -0.43 | 0.6687 |
| B_CPP3 | -0.01505 | 0.0154 | -0.98 | 0.3278 |
| C_CPP3 | -0.00288 | 0.00129 | -2.22 | 0.0262 |
| D_CPP3 | -0.01509 | 0.0194 | -0.78 | 0.4363 |
| E_CPP3 | 0.000393 | 0.00159 | 0.25 | 0.8042 |
| P | 0.000425 | 0.000627 | 0.68 | 0.4983 |
| Q | -0.0495 | 0.00817 | -6.06 | <. 0001 |
| R | 0.03379 | 0.00330 | 10.24 | <. 0001 |
| S | -0.02283 | 0.00953 | -2.40 | 0.0166 |
| T | 0.002103 | 0.00155 | 1.36 | 0.1745 |
| P_W | 0.078016 | 0.0197 | 3.95 | <. 0001 |
| Q_W | 0.029288 | 0.00918 | 3.19 | 0.0014 |
| R_W | -0.00096 | 0.00348 | -0.27 | 0.7835 |
| S_W | -0.01463 | 0.00177 | -8.25 | <. 0001 |
| T_W | -0.00007 | 0.00160 | -0.05 | 0.9634 |
| Q_OUT_X | -0.01013 | 0.00581 | -1.74 | 0.0813 |
| R_OUT_X | -0.01491 | 0.00510 | -2.92 | 0.0035 |
| S_OUT_X | 0.009723 | 0.00867 | 1.12 | 0.2621 |
| T_OUT_X | -0.00046 | 0.00240 | -0.19 | 0.8482 |
| P_OUT_X_W | 0.010063 | 0.0278 | 0.36 | 0.7174 |
| Q_OUT_X_W | 0.004821 | 0.0124 | 0.39 | 0.6967 |
| R_OUT_X_W | 0.002479 | 0.00714 | 0.35 | 0.7285 |
| S_OUT_X_W | 0.004423 | 0.00251 | 1.77 | 0.0775 |
| T_OUT_X_W | 0.000853 | 0.00327 | 0.26 | 0.7944 |
| Q_04 | -0.02209 | 0.0136 | -1.63 | 0.1033 |
| R_04 | -0.00611 | 0.00489 | -1.25 | 0.2112 |
| S_04 | 0.010713 | 0.0154 | 0.70 | 0.4869 |
| T_04 | -0.00165 | 0.00233 | -0.71 | 0.4791 |
| P_W_04 | 0.070507 | 0.0311 | 2.27 | 0.0233 |
| Q_W_04 | 0.030143 | 0.0144 | 2.09 | 0.0362 |
| R_W_04 | 0.000648 | 0.00574 | 0.11 | 0.9101 |
| S_W_04 | 0.003483 | 0.00259 | 1.35 | 0.1784 |
| T_W_04 | 0.000308 | 0.00264 | 0.12 | 0.9072 |
| Q_OUT_X_04 | ${ }^{0.011907}$ | $0.00734$ | 1.62 | 0.1048 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| R_OUT_X_04 | 0.019545 | 0.00752 | 2.60 | 0.0094 |
| S_OUT_X_04 | -0.02179 | 0.0110 | -1.98 | 0.0477 |
| T_OUT_X_04 | 0.001409 | 0.00344 | 0.41 | 0.6819 |
| Q_CPP1 | -0.00974 | 0.00218 | -4.47 | $<.0001$ |
| R_CPP1 | 0.000794 | 0.00331 | 0.24 | 0.8106 |
| S_CPP1 | -0.00397 | 0.00307 | -1.30 | 0.1952 |
| T_CPP1 | 0.001613 | 0.00164 | 0.98 | 0.3257 |
| Q_CPP1_04 | 0.00307 | 0.00365 | 0.84 | 0.4007 |
| R_CPP1_04 | 0.005838 | 0.00467 | 1.25 | 0.2117 |
| S_CPP1_04 | 0.007429 | 0.00503 | 1.48 | 0.1394 |
| T_CPP1_04 | 0.002219 | 0.00242 | 0.92 | 0.3583 |
| Q_CPP2 | -0.00664 | 0.00391 | -1.70 | 0.0897 |
| R_CPP2 | 0.008866 | 0.00438 | 2.03 | 0.0428 |
| S_CPP2 | 0.012023 | 0.00526 | 2.29 | 0.0222 |
| T_CPP2 | 0.004443 | 0.00230 | 1.93 | 0.0538 |
| Q_CPP3 | 0.008492 | 0.00543 | 1.56 | 0.1177 |
| R_CPP3 | 0.010337 | 0.00545 | 1.90 | 0.0577 |
| S_CPP3 | -0.01362 | 0.00742 | -1.84 | 0.0665 |
| T_CPP3 | 0.006112 | 0.00292 | 2.10 | 0.0362 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 247729 | Objective | 1.9996 |
| Missing | 29622 | Objective*N | 495348 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.48926 E 9 |  |  |

## Appendix 16.g: <br> Regression Models Underlying Tables 4-18, 4-19

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_ADU P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_ADU Q_W_ADU |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), D(DIF_LN_PEAKP̄_OPEAKㄱP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_ADU(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_adu)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_ADU(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_adu), <br> Q_W_ADU(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_adu_wkd)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE $=0.001$ Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 1.653297 |
| Object | 0.000048 |
| Trace(S) | 7257.503 |
| Objective Value | 1.999821 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 244140 |
| Missing | 33211 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 244 E 3 | 1.3476 E 9 | 5519.8 | 74.2951 | 0.0106 | 0.0106 | 2.8837 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 244 E 3 | 4.2423 E 8 | 1737.7 | 41.6862 | 0.0292 | 0.0292 | 2.6397 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\mathbf{t} \mid$ |
| A | -0.00019 | 0.00112 | -0.17 | 0.8658 |
| B | -0.02002 | 0.00515 | -3.88 | 0.0001 |
| C | 0.011166 | 0.000404 | 27.62 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.06709 | 0.00549 | -12.21 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00221 | 0.000390 | -5.67 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.015192 | 0.00341 | 4.45 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.000497 | 0.000375 | 1.33 | 0.1852 |
| B_ADU | -0.00048 | 0.000226 | -2.15 | 0.0317 |
| P | 0.000449 | 0.000629 | 0.71 | 0.4755 |
| Q | -0.03441 | 0.00663 | -5.19 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.030741 | 0.00152 | 20.20 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.00776 | 0.00710 | -1.09 | 0.2745 |
| T | 0.001704 | 0.000724 | 2.35 | 0.0186 |
| P_W | 0.08716 | 0.0137 | 6.37 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.029579 | 0.00635 | 4.66 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00138 | 0.00230 | -0.60 | 0.5492 |
| S_W | -0.01494 | 0.00132 | -11.30 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00034 | 0.00104 | -0.33 | 0.7396 |
| Q_ADU | -0.00065 | 0.000282 | -2.30 | 0.0215 |
| Q_W_ADU | 0.000182 | 0.000056 | 3.28 | 0.0011 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 244140 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 33211 | Objective*N | 488236 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.38937 E 9 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_BED P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_BED Q_W_BED |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_BED(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_bed)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_BED(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_bed), Q_W_BED(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_bed_wkd)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 1.846688 |
| Object | 0.000043 |
| Trace(S) | 7370.115 |
| Objective Value | 1.999832 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 244607 |
| Missing | 32744 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 245 E 3 | 1.3711 E 9 | 5605.3 | 74.8688 | 0.0106 | 0.0106 | 2.8862 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 245 E 3 | 4.3165 E 8 | 1764.8 | 42.0092 | 0.0286 | 0.0286 | 2.6383 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00018 | 0.00112 | -0.16 | 0.8708 |
| B | 0.003859 | 0.00760 | 0.51 | 0.6115 |
| C | 0.011217 | 0.000406 | 27.60 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.06199 | 0.00537 | -11.54 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00229 | 0.000394 | -5.82 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.012453 | 0.00339 | 3.67 | 0.0002 |
| C_W | 0.000749 | 0.000375 | 2.00 | 0.0459 |
| B_BED | -0.01289 | 0.00243 | -5.31 | $<.0001$ |
| P | 0.000486 | 0.000631 | 0.77 | 0.4412 |
| Q | -0.01669 | 0.0101 | -1.65 | 0.0993 |
| R | 0.030187 | 0.00153 | 19.72 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01241 | 0.00696 | -1.78 | 0.0746 |
| T | 0.00146 | 0.000728 | 2.01 | 0.0449 |
| P_W | 0.07487 | 0.0138 | 5.41 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.029841 | 0.00643 | 4.64 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00155 | 0.00231 | -0.67 | 0.5037 |
| S_W | -0.01087 | 0.00127 | -8.54 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00045 | 0.00105 | -0.43 | 0.6646 |
| Q_BED | -0.00966 | 0.00321 | -3.00 | 0.0027 |
| Q_W_BED | -0.0014 | 0.000565 | -2.48 | 0.0133 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 244607 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 32744 | Objective*N | 489173 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.43289 E 9 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_COLLEGE P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_COLLEGE Q_W_COLLEGE |  |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |  |
| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| DIF_LN_PEAKU | OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_COLLEGE(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_col)) |
|  | N_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_COLLEGE(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_col), <br> Q_W_COLLEGE(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_col_wkd)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $4.41 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 2.154449 |
| Object | 0.000039 |
| Trace(S) | 7396.236 |
| Objective Value | 1.99984 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 243789 |
| Missing | 33562 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 244 E 3 | 1.3714 E 9 | 5625.7 | 75.0045 | 0.0115 | 0.0114 | 2.8871 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 244 E 3 | 4.3162 E 8 | 1770.6 | 42.0780 | 0.0288 | 0.0288 | 2.6388 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.0002 | 0.00113 | -0.18 | 0.8606 |
| B | 0.004407 | 0.00488 | 0.90 | 0.3665 |
| C | 0.01137 | 0.000408 | 27.87 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.06033 | 0.00525 | -11.50 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00264 | 0.000396 | -6.67 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.012756 | 0.00341 | 3.74 | 0.0002 |
| C_W | 0.000738 | 0.000377 | 1.96 | 0.0500 |
| B_COLLEGE | -0.07615 | 0.00498 | -15.29 | $<.0001$ |
| P | 0.000446 | 0.000634 | 0.70 | 0.4813 |
| Q | -0.03362 | 0.00637 | -5.28 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.030284 | 0.00155 | 19.52 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01427 | 0.00682 | -2.09 | 0.0364 |
| T | 0.001566 | 0.000739 | 2.12 | 0.0341 |
| P_W | 0.078371 | 0.0139 | 5.64 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.031559 | 0.00640 | 4.93 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00165 | 0.00234 | -0.70 | 0.4812 |
| S_W | -0.0105 | 0.00125 | -8.40 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00063 | 0.00106 | -0.60 | 0.5515 |
| Q_COLLEGE | -0.01652 | 0.00655 | -2.52 | 0.0117 |
| Q_W_COLLEGE | -0.00933 | 0.00120 | -7.76 | $<.0001$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 243789 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 33562 | Objective*N | 487539 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.40944 E 9 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_ECK P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_ECK Q_W_ECK |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_ECK(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_eck)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_ECK(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_eck), <br> Q_W_ECK(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_eck_wkd)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE $=0.001$ Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 1.497901 |
| Object | 0.000051 |
| Trace(S) | 7384.78 |
| Objective Value | 1.999816 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 243350 |
| Missing | 34001 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 243 E 3 | 1.3672 E 9 | 5618.4 | 74.9557 | 0.0106 | 0.0106 | 2.8864 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 243 E 3 | 4.2984 E 8 | 1766.4 | 42.0288 | 0.0290 | 0.0289 | 2.6372 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approx } \\ \operatorname{Pr}>\|t\| \end{gathered}$ |
| A | -0.00015 | 0.00113 | -0.13 | 0.8942 |
| B | -0.04213 | 0.00482 | -8.74 | <. 0001 |
| C | 0.01115 | 0.000406 | 27.43 | <. 0001 |
| D | -0.06899 | 0.00521 | -13.23 | <. 0001 |
| E | -0.00203 | 0.000394 | -5.15 | <. 0001 |
| A_W | 0.010792 | 0.00341 | 3.16 | 0.0016 |
| C_W | 0.000802 | 0.000376 | 2.14 | 0.0326 |
| B_ECK | 0.030782 | 0.00525 | 5.86 | <. 0001 |
| P | 0.00046 | 0.000634 | 0.73 | 0.4675 |
| Q | -0.03268 | 0.00626 | -5.22 | <. 0001 |
| R | 0.03011 | 0.00154 | 19.62 | <. 0001 |
| S | -0.01508 | 0.00677 | -2.23 | 0.0259 |
| T | 0.001389 | 0.000730 | 1.90 | 0.0573 |
| P_W | 0.076822 | 0.0140 | 5.50 | <. 0001 |
| Q_W | 0.023961 | 0.00648 | 3.70 | 0.0002 |
| R_W | -0.00116 | 0.00232 | -0.50 | 0.6184 |
| S_W | -0.01273 | 0.00124 | -10.29 | <. 0001 |
| T_W | -0.0002 | 0.00105 | -0.19 | 0.8489 |
| Q_ECK | -0.02189 | 0.00679 | -3.22 | 0.0013 |
| Q_W_ECK | 0.009366 | 0.00123 | 7.62 | <. 0001 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 243350 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 34001 | Objective*N | 486655 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.40013 E 9 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_INCOME P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_INCOME Q_W_INCOME |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), B_INCOME(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_inc)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | ```F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_INCOME(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_inc), Q_W_INCOME(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_inc_wkd))``` |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $7.49 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 4.279169 |
| Object | 0.00005 |
| Trace(S) | 7370.642 |
| Objective Value | 1.99981 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 225689 |
| Missing | 51662 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 226 E 3 | 1.2643 E 9 | 5602.3 | 74.8483 | 0.0112 | 0.0111 | 2.8834 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 226 E 3 | 3.9908 E 8 | 1768.4 | 42.0521 | 0.0295 | 0.0295 | 2.6393 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00017 | 0.00118 | -0.14 | 0.8884 |
| B | 0.011718 | 0.00541 | 2.16 | 0.0304 |
| C | 0.011876 | 0.000430 | 27.61 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.05675 | 0.00541 | -10.50 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00274 | 0.000410 | -6.69 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.011703 | 0.00358 | 3.27 | 0.0011 |
| C_W | 0.000764 | 0.000395 | 1.94 | 0.0529 |
| B_INCOME | $-6.54 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $5.066 \mathrm{E}-8$ | -12.90 | $<.0001$ |
| P | 0.000439 | 0.000662 | 0.66 | 0.5077 |
| Q | -0.02846 | 0.00716 | -3.97 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.030212 | 0.00159 | 18.96 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01337 | 0.00707 | -1.89 | 0.0586 |
| T | 0.001327 | 0.000758 | 1.75 | 0.0799 |
| P_W | 0.060066 | 0.0144 | 4.16 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.02771 | 0.00660 | 4.20 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00253 | 0.00239 | -1.06 | 0.2895 |
| S_W | -0.00949 | 0.00131 | -7.26 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00101 | 0.00107 | -0.94 | 0.3450 |
| Q_INCOME | $-1.72 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $6.638 \mathrm{E}-8$ | -2.60 | 0.0094 |
| Q_W_INCOME | $-1.32 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $1.249 \mathrm{E}-8$ | -10.55 | $<.0001$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 225689 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 51662 | Objective*N | 451335 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.02995 E 9 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_MFU P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_MFU Q_W_MFU |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_C CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_MFU(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_mfu)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), <br> P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_MFU(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_mfu), <br> Q_W_MFU(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_mfu_wkd)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $6.75 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 1.720939 |
| Object | 0.000043 |
| Trace(S) | 7389.132 |
| Objective Value | 1.999831 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 244414 |
| Missing | 32937 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 244 E 3 | 1.3737 E 9 | 5620.6 | 74.9704 | 0.0107 | 0.0107 | 2.8859 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 244 E 3 | 4.3224 E 8 | 1768.6 | 42.0544 | 0.0291 | 0.0290 | 2.6375 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00017 | 0.00113 | -0.15 | 0.8793 |
| B | -0.03938 | 0.00484 | -8.13 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.011237 | 0.000406 | 27.66 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.06728 | 0.00525 | -12.83 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00209 | 0.000395 | -5.28 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.012844 | 0.00339 | 3.78 | 0.0002 |
| C_W | 0.000777 | 0.000375 | 2.07 | 0.0383 |
| B_MFU | 0.025649 | 0.00537 | 4.78 | $<.0001$ |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | 0.000479 | 0.000632 | 0.76 | 0.4485 |
| Q | -0.03591 | 0.00623 | -5.77 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.030314 | 0.00154 | 19.74 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01823 | 0.00681 | -2.68 | 0.0075 |
| T | 0.001387 | 0.000731 | 1.90 | 0.0577 |
| P_W | 0.079243 | 0.0138 | 5.73 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.025838 | 0.00642 | 4.02 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00143 | 0.00232 | -0.62 | 0.5377 |
| S_W | -0.0115 | 0.00124 | -9.28 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00034 | 0.00105 | -0.33 | 0.7437 |
| Q_MFU | -0.017 | 0.00716 | -2.37 | 0.0176 |
| Q_W_MFU | 0.006204 | 0.00126 | 4.93 | $<.0001$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 244414 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 32937 | Objective*N | 488787 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.43311 E 9 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_POOL P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_POOL Q_W_POOL |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |

## The 2 Equations to Estimate

| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), B_POOL(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_pool)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), <br> S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), <br> P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_POOL(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_pool), <br> Q_W_POOL(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_pool_wkd)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $3.34 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 1.449958 |
| Object | 0.000044 |
| Trace(S) | 7434.914 |
| Objective Value | 1.999829 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 240671 |
| Missing | 36680 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 241 E 3 | 1.3614 E 9 | 5657.1 | 75.2135 | 0.0109 | 0.0109 | 2.8865 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 241 E 3 | 4.2785 E 8 | 1777.8 | 42.1645 | 0.0289 | 0.0289 | 2.6408 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00013 | 0.00114 | -0.12 | 0.9073 |
| B | -0.0283 | 0.00445 | -6.36 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.011454 | 0.000411 | 27.85 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.07153 | 0.00531 | -13.47 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00226 | 0.000397 | -5.69 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.014696 | 0.00344 | 4.27 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.000604 | 0.000380 | 1.59 | 0.1120 |
| B_POOL | -0.01347 | 0.00877 | -1.53 | 0.1249 |
| P | 0.000462 | 0.000640 | 0.72 | 0.4707 |
| Q | -0.04082 | 0.00574 | -7.11 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.030292 | 0.00158 | 19.23 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.017 | 0.00688 | -2.47 | 0.0135 |
| T | 0.001467 | 0.000751 | 1.95 | 0.0509 |
| P_W | 0.074409 | 0.0143 | 5.20 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.024924 | 0.00662 | 3.76 | 0.0002 |
| R_W | -0.00102 | 0.00243 | -0.42 | 0.6731 |
| S_W | -0.01289 | 0.00126 | -10.23 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00014 | 0.00110 | -0.12 | 0.9013 |
| Q_POOL | -0.01478 | 0.0112 | -1.32 | 0.1861 |
| Q_W_POOL | 0.005024 | 0.00218 | 2.30 | 0.0214 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 240671 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 36680 | Objective*N | 481301 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.33464 E 9 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_PPHH P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_PPHH Q_W_PPHH |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |

The 2 Equations to Estimate

| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR $=$ | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_PPHH(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_pphh)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), <br> S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), <br> P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), <br> S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), Q_PPHH(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_pphh), <br> Q_W_PPHH(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_pphh_wkd)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 1.28156 |
| Object | 0.000048 |
| Trace(S) | 7378.952 |
| Objective Value | 1.999822 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 244226 |
| Missing | 33125 |

# Residential CPP-F, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Persons per Household Interaction 

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 244 E 3 | 1.3704 E 9 | 5611.5 | 74.9103 | 0.0106 | 0.0106 | 2.8868 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 244 E 3 | 4.3162 E 8 | 1767.4 | 42.0405 | 0.0286 | 0.0285 | 2.6381 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00018 | 0.00113 | -0.16 | 0.8742 |
| B | -0.05197 | 0.00601 | -8.65 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.011223 | 0.000407 | 27.61 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.06953 | 0.00520 | -13.36 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00224 | 0.000395 | -5.66 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.012663 | 0.00339 | 3.73 | 0.0002 |
| C_W | 0.000854 | 0.000375 | 2.28 | 0.0229 |
| B_PPHH | 0.008233 | 0.00150 | 5.47 | $<.0001$ |
| P | 0.000484 | 0.000632 | 0.77 | 0.4432 |
| Q | -0.03863 | 0.00791 | -4.88 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.03017 | 0.00153 | 19.67 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01626 | 0.00677 | -2.40 | 0.0163 |
| T | 0.001483 | 0.000730 | 2.03 | 0.0421 |
| P_W | 0.078071 | 0.0138 | 5.66 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.023573 | 0.00646 | 3.65 | 0.0003 |
| R_W | -0.00079 | 0.00232 | -0.34 | 0.7342 |
| S_W | -0.01224 | 0.00124 | -9.87 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00012 | 0.00105 | -0.11 | 0.9090 |
| Q_PPHH | -0.00108 | 0.00196 | -0.55 | 0.5835 |
| Q_W_PPHH | 0.001367 | 0.000347 | 3.93 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 244226 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 33125 | Objective*N | 488408 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.42929 E 9 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_SPA P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_SPA Q_W_SPA |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), |  |
| $=$ | D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), |  |
|  | A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), |  |
|  | B_SPA(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_spa)) |  |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $3.47 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| RPC(R_W) | 1.153909 |
| Object | 0.000044 |
| Trace(S) | 7373.458 |
| Objective Value | 1.999829 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277351 |
| Solved | 277351 |
| Used | 243216 |
| Missing | 34135 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 243 E 3 | 1.3653 E 9 | 5613.7 | 74.9246 | 0.0107 | 0.0107 | 2.8868 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 243 E 3 | 4.2798 E 8 | 1759.8 | 41.9496 | 0.0288 | 0.0288 | 2.6409 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00013 | 0.00113 | -0.11 | 0.9097 |
| B | -0.02753 | 0.00440 | -6.25 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.011234 | 0.000407 | 27.59 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.06839 | 0.00530 | -12.90 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00217 | 0.000394 | -5.52 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.014247 | 0.00341 | 4.17 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.000652 | 0.000376 | 1.73 | 0.0834 |
| B_SPA | -0.02644 | 0.00854 | -3.09 | 0.0020 |
| P | 0.000473 | 0.000633 | 0.75 | 0.4549 |
| Q | -0.04096 | 0.00567 | -7.22 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.030286 | 0.00154 | 19.67 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01835 | 0.00687 | -2.67 | 0.0075 |
| T | 0.00151 | 0.000733 | 2.06 | 0.0394 |
| P_W | 0.068375 | 0.0140 | 4.87 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.023099 | 0.00649 | 3.56 | 0.0004 |
| R_W | -0.00046 | 0.00233 | -0.20 | 0.8440 |
| S_W | -0.01189 | 0.00125 | -9.49 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | 0.000099 | 0.00105 | 0.09 | 0.9248 |
| Q_SPA | 0.004901 | 0.0106 | 0.46 | 0.6439 |
| Q_W_SPA | -0.00379 | 0.00199 | -1.91 | 0.0566 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 243216 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 34135 | Objective*N | 486391 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.39416 E 9 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 20 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D E A_W C_W B_CARE P Q R S T P_W Q_W R_W S_W T_W Q_CARE Q_W_CARE |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), |
| $=$ | D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), E(DIF_CES_DH_PRICE), |
|  | A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), |
|  | B_CARE(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_C)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR $=$ | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), |
|  | S(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_CAC), T(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S), |
|  | P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), |
|  | R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), |
|  | S_W(DIF_LN_Daily_P_AVE_S_cac_wkd), T_W(DIF_Daily_DH_Price_S_WKD), |
|  | Q_CARE(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_C), |
|  | Q_W_CARE(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD_C)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_CPPF_0304_ALLCUST_CARE_V2 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 20 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $6.38 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| RPC(Q_CARE) | 3.323685 |
| Object | 0.000031 |
| Trace(S) | 7361.098 |
| Objective Value | 1.999857 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 277023 |
| Solved | 277023 |
| Used | 247430 |
| Missing | 29593 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 247 E 3 | 1.3855 E 9 | 5599.6 | 74.8303 | 0.0116 | 0.0115 | 2.8863 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 12 | 247 E 3 | 4.3583 E 8 | 1761.5 | 41.9705 | 0.0301 | 0.0301 | 2.6399 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00019 | 0.00112 | -0.17 | 0.8678 |
| B | -0.05162 | 0.00450 | -11.46 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.011299 | 0.000403 | 28.02 | $<.0001$ |
| D | -0.06846 | 0.00513 | -13.35 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.0025 | 0.000389 | -6.45 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.011261 | 0.00338 | 3.33 | 0.0009 |
| C_W | 0.000839 | 0.000373 | 2.25 | 0.0243 |
| B_CARE | 0.096199 | 0.00556 | 17.30 | $<.0001$ |
| P | 0.000497 | 0.000627 | 0.79 | 0.4282 |
| Q | -0.02748 | 0.00590 | -4.66 | $<.0001$ |
| R | 0.02973 | 0.00152 | 19.58 | $<.0001$ |
| S | -0.01697 | 0.00668 | -2.54 | 0.0111 |
| T | 0.001244 | 0.000717 | 1.73 | 0.0828 |
| P_W | -0.04083 | 0.0154 | -2.65 | 0.0081 |
| Q_W | -0.03156 | 0.00724 | -4.36 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00402 | 0.00225 | -1.79 | 0.0732 |
| S_W | -0.01159 | 0.00122 | -9.49 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00157 | 0.00101 | -1.56 | 0.1185 |
| Q_CARE | 0.014471 | 0.00759 | 1.91 | 0.0565 |
| Q_W_CARE | 0.026471 | 0.00182 | 14.54 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 247430 | Objective | 1.9999 |
| Missing | 29593 | Objective*N | 494825 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.48405 E 9 |  |  |

# Appendix 16.h: Regression Model Underlying Tables 4-20 through 4-22 

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 26 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model <br> Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C E A_W C_W A_IW_W C_IW_W B_IW C_IW E_IW P Q R T P_W Q_W R_W T_W Q_IW R_IW T_IW <br> P_IW_W Q_IW_W R_IW_W T_IW_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr), E(DIF_CES_HDH65_PRICE), A_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr_WKD), A_IW_W(DIF_winter_WKD), <br> C_IW_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr_TW_WKD), <br> B_IW(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_TW), <br> C_IW(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr_TW), <br> E_IW(DIF_CES_HDH65_PRICE_TW)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR), T(DIF_Daily_HDH65_Price_S), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR_WKD), <br> T_W(DIF_Daily_HDH65_Price_S_WKD), <br> Q_IW(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_TW), <br> R_IW(DIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR_TW), <br> T_IW(DIF_Daily_HDH65_Price_S_TW), P_IW_W(DIF_winter_WKD), <br> Q_IW_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_TW_WKD), <br> R_IW_W(DIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR_TW_WKD), <br> T_IW_W(DIF_Daily_HDH65_Price_S_TW_WKD)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.WINTER_CPPF_RES_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 26 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| RPC(T_W) | 2.358768 |
| Object | 0.000024 |
| Trace(S) | 7363.794 |
| Objective Value | 1.999792 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 161933 |
| Solved | 161933 |
| Used | 161810 |
| Missing | 123 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 11 | 162 E 3 | 8.9769 E 8 | 5548.2 | 74.4860 | 0.0037 | 0.0037 | 2.9143 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 15 | 162 E 3 | 2.9376 E 8 | 1815.6 | 42.6102 | 0.0042 | 0.0041 | 2.6685 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00042 | 0.00137 | -0.31 | 0.7586 |
| B | -0.02861 | 0.0102 | -2.82 | 0.0049 |
| C | 0.009309 | 0.000868 | 10.73 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00305 | 0.00139 | -2.20 | 0.0279 |
| A_W | 0.031487 | 0.00721 | 4.36 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | -0.00043 | 0.00107 | -0.40 | 0.6859 |
| A_IW_W | -0.02389 | 0.00975 | -2.45 | 0.0143 |
| C_IW_W | 0.000719 | 0.00141 | 0.51 | 0.6093 |
| B_IW | -0.00341 | 0.0131 | -0.26 | 0.7949 |
| C_IW | -0.00165 | 0.00111 | -1.48 | 0.1382 |
| E_IW | 0.003241 | 0.00175 | 1.86 | 0.0634 |
| P | -0.00041 | 0.000786 | -0.52 | 0.6040 |
| Q | -0.05064 | 0.0222 | -2.29 | 0.0223 |
| R | 0.002043 | 0.00479 | 0.43 | 0.6700 |
| T | 0.001164 | 0.00231 | 0.50 | 0.6137 |
| P_W | 0.199624 | 0.0533 | 3.75 | 0.0002 |
| Q_W | 0.087517 | 0.0251 | 3.48 | 0.0005 |
| R_W | 0.000876 | 0.00592 | 0.15 | 0.8823 |
| T_W | -0.00081 | 0.00277 | -0.29 | 0.7710 |
| Q_IW | 0.066344 | 0.0339 | 1.96 | 0.0500 |
| R_IW | -0.0009 | 0.00588 | -0.15 | 0.8780 |
| T_IW | -0.00271 | 0.00284 | -0.95 | 0.3400 |
| P_IW_W | -0.09734 | 0.0943 | -1.03 | 0.3018 |
| Q_IW_W | -0.05617 | 0.0444 | -1.26 | 0.2060 |
| R_IW_W | -0.00492 | 0.00813 | -0.61 | 0.5449 |
| T_IW_W | -0.00074 | 0.00380 | -0.19 | 0.8459 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 161810 | Objective | 1.9998 |
| Missing | 123 | Objective*N | 323586 |
| Sum of Weights | 2.94602 E 9 |  |  |

## Appendix 16.i: <br> Regression Model Underlying Tables 4-23, 4-24

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 14 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


|  | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | A B C E A_W C_W P Q R T P_W Q_W R_W T_W |
|  | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_ | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr), E(DIF_CES_HDH65_PRICE), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr_WKD) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = |  |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.WINTER_CPPF_RES_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 14 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(C_W) | 4.613968 |
| Object | 0.000021 |
| Trace(S) | 7365.62 |
| Objective Value | 1.999872 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 161933 |
| Solved | 161933 |
| Used | 161810 |
| Missing | 123 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 6 | 162 E 3 | 8.9786 E 8 | 5549.1 | 74.4920 | 0.0035 | 0.0035 | 2.9144 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 8 | 162 E 3 | 2.9392 E 8 | 1816.6 | 42.6211 | 0.0036 | 0.0036 | 2.6682 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00049 | 0.00137 | -0.35 | 0.7235 |
| B | -0.03027 | 0.00643 | -4.71 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.008028 | 0.000541 | 14.84 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00086 | 0.000841 | -1.02 | 0.3061 |
| A_W | 0.020565 | 0.00483 | 4.26 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.000331 | 0.000692 | 0.48 | 0.6320 |
| P | -0.00024 | 0.000785 | -0.31 | 0.7597 |
| Q | -0.03292 | 0.0161 | -2.04 | 0.0414 |
| R | 0.005773 | 0.00236 | 2.45 | 0.0145 |
| T | 0.001488 | 0.00114 | 1.31 | 0.1915 |
| P_W | 0.202626 | 0.0417 | 4.86 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.083447 | 0.0197 | 4.24 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00831 | 0.00349 | -2.38 | 0.0172 |
| T_W | -0.00408 | 0.00163 | -2.50 | 0.0124 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 161810 | Objective | 1.9999 |
| Missing | 123 | Objective*N | 323599 |
| Sum of Weights | 2.94602 E 9 |  |  |

## Appendix 16.j:

Regression Models Underlying Table 4-25

# Residential Information Only, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day/Information Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 16 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A C A_W B_W_INFO C_W A_CPP B_CPP_INFO C_CPP P R P_W Q_W_INFO R_W P_CPP Q_CPP_INFO } \\ & \text { R_CPP } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |  |
| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| DIF_LN_PEAK | SE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_W(DIF_Weekend), B_W_INFO(DIF_info_WKD), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), A_CPP(DIF_CPP_F_DAY), B_CPP_INFO(DIF_info_CPP), C_CPP(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_CPP)) |
|  | F_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W_INFO(DIF_info_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), P_CPP(DIF_CPP_F_DAY), Q_CPP_INFO(DIF_info_CPP), R_CPP(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_CPP)) |

Observations will be weighted by WEIGHT

Residential Information Only, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day/Information Dummies

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation
year=2003 ZONE=2
NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary
year=2003 ZONE=2

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_0304_INFO_ONLY_RES |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 16 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B_CPP_INFO) | 0.416131 |
| Object | 0.000011 |
| Trace(S) | 13929.02 |
| Objective Value | 1.999167 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 19710 |
| Solved | 19710 |
| Used | 19708 |
| Missing | 2 |

# Residential Information Only, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day/Information Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure
year=2003 ZONE=2

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 19700 | 2.0661 E 8 | 10487.6 | 102.4 | 0.0027 | 0.0023 | 2.9506 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 8 | 19700 | 67795122 | 3441.4 | 58.6632 | 0.0120 | 0.0116 | 2.6581 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t $\mid$ |
| A | 0.00055 | 0.00399 | 0.14 | 0.8905 |
| C | 0.005953 | 0.00120 | 4.94 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.004584 | 0.0112 | 0.41 | 0.6828 |
| B_W_INFO | 0.015478 | 0.0178 | 0.87 | 0.3836 |
| C_W | 0.001264 | 0.00134 | 0.94 | 0.3465 |
| A_CPP | -0.03458 | 0.0134 | -2.58 | 0.0098 |
| B_CPP_INFO | 0.000945 | 0.0213 | 0.04 | 0.9646 |
| C_CPP | 0.002373 | 0.00156 | 1.52 | 0.1282 |
| P | 0.000272 | 0.00229 | 0.12 | 0.9053 |
| R | 0.020598 | 0.00153 | 13.44 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | 0.023298 | 0.00627 | 3.72 | 0.0002 |
| Q_W_INFO | 0.011477 | 0.0101 | 1.14 | 0.2547 |
| R_W | -0.00481 | 0.00156 | -3.09 | 0.0020 |
| P_CPP | 0.01273 | 0.00737 | 1.73 | 0.0839 |
| Q_CPP_INFO | -0.02235 | 0.0121 | -1.84 | 0.0655 |
| R_CPP | -0.00143 | 0.00176 | -0.81 | 0.4161 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 19708 | Objective | 1.9992 |
| Missing | 2 | Objective*N | 39400 |
| Sum of Weights | 658669295 |  |  |

Residential Information Only, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day/Information Dummies

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation
year=2003 ZONE=3
NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary
year=2003 ZONE=3

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_0304_INFO_ONLY_RES |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 16 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(A_W) | 1.728848 |
| Object | 0.000116 |
| Trace(S) | 8144.016 |
| Objective Value | 1.998938 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 19265 |
| Solved | 19265 |
| First | 26846 |
| Last | 52010 |

# Residential Information Only, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day/Information Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure
year=2003 ZONE=3

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 19257 | 1.2194 E 8 | 6332.4 | 79.5766 | 0.0140 | 0.0136 | 2.8635 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 8 | 19257 | 34885646 | 1811.6 | 42.5627 | 0.0627 | 0.0623 | 2.6567 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t $\mid$ |
| A | 0.000881 | 0.00421 | 0.21 | 0.8343 |
| C | 0.017137 | 0.00116 | 14.74 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.025151 | 0.0159 | 1.59 | 0.1130 |
| B_W_INFO | 0.039601 | 0.0219 | 1.81 | 0.0700 |
| C_W | 0.000274 | 0.00132 | 0.21 | 0.8350 |
| A_CPP | 0.033312 | 0.0189 | 1.77 | 0.0775 |
| B_CPP_INFO | -0.10132 | 0.0263 | -3.86 | 0.0001 |
| C_CPP | -0.00196 | 0.00153 | -1.28 | 0.2007 |
| P | -0.00072 | 0.00225 | -0.32 | 0.7488 |
| R | 0.036591 | 0.00113 | 32.28 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | 0.042393 | 0.00750 | 5.65 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W_INFO | 0.038647 | 0.0116 | 3.33 | 0.0009 |
| R_W | -0.00169 | 0.00111 | -1.52 | 0.1277 |
| P_CPP | 0.0202 | 0.00904 | 2.24 | 0.0254 |
| Q_CPP_INFO | -0.02599 | 0.0139 | -1.87 | 0.0620 |
| R_CPP | -0.00031 | 0.00129 | -0.24 | 0.8122 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 19265 | Objective | 1.9989 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 38510 |
| Sum of Weights | 357582812 |  |  |

Residential Information Only, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day/Information Dummies

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation
year=2004 ZONE=2
NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary
year=2004 ZONE=2

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_0304_INFO_ONLY_RES |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 16 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(C_CPP) | 0.447005 |
| Object | 0.00003 |
| Trace(S) | 13353.08 |
| Objective Value | 1.998871 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 14973 |
| Solved | 14973 |
| First | 52011 |
| Last | 66983 |

# Residential Information Only, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day/Information Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure
year=2004 ZONE=2

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 14965 | 1.4569 E 8 | 9735.1 | 98.6666 | 0.0062 | 0.0058 | 2.8817 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 8 | 14965 | 54143056 | 3618.0 | 60.1496 | 0.0151 | 0.0146 | 2.6110 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx Std Err | t Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approx } \\ \operatorname{Pr}>\|t\| \end{gathered}$ |
| A | -0.00047 | 0.00444 | -0.11 | 0.9150 |
| C | 0.008805 | 0.00142 | 6.21 | <. 0001 |
| A_W | -0.00821 | 0.0118 | -0.70 | 0.4870 |
| B_W_INFO | 0.025926 | 0.0189 | 1.37 | 0.1694 |
| C_W | 0.003297 | 0.00149 | 2.21 | 0.0271 |
| A_CPP | -0.02779 | 0.0177 | -1.57 | 0.1154 |
| B_CPP_INFO | 0.03646 | 0.0270 | 1.35 | 0.1770 |
| C_CPP | 0.00086 | 0.00188 | 0.46 | 0.6467 |
| P | 0.000757 | 0.00270 | 0.28 | 0.7795 |
| R | 0.021844 | 0.00194 | 11.28 | <. 0001 |
| P_W | 0.024329 | 0.00728 | 3.34 | 0.0008 |
| Q_W_INFO | -0.00967 | 0.0114 | -0.85 | 0.3960 |
| R_W | 0.000888 | 0.00191 | 0.46 | 0.6422 |
| P_CPP | 0.016804 | 0.0109 | 1.54 | 0.1241 |
| Q_CPP_INFO | 0.014092 | 0.0163 | 0.86 | 0.3873 |
| R_CPP | -0.00551 | 0.00248 | -2.22 | 0.0263 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 14973 | Objective | 1.9989 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 29929 |
| Sum of Weights | 494885439 |  |  |

Residential Information Only, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day/Information Dummies

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation
year=2004 ZONE=3
NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary
year=2004 ZONE=3

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUMMER_0304_INFO_ONLY_RES |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 16 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| RPC(A_W) | 17.45798 |
| Object | 0.000026 |
| Trace(S) | 9206.752 |
| Objective Value | 1.998873 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 14871 |
| Solved | 14871 |
| First | 66984 |
| Last | 81854 |

# Residential Information Only, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day/Information Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure
year=2004 ZONE=3

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 14863 | $1.0625 E 8$ | 7148.5 | 84.5489 | 0.0108 | 0.0104 | 2.8781 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 8 | 14863 | 30591664 | 2058.2 | 45.3679 | 0.0618 | 0.0614 | 2.6078 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx Std Err | t Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approx } \\ \operatorname{Pr}>\|t\| \end{gathered}$ |
| A | -0.00197 | 0.00513 | -0.38 | 0.7005 |
| C | 0.015218 | 0.00164 | 9.25 | <. 0001 |
| A_W | -0.00759 | 0.0183 | -0.41 | 0.6789 |
| B_W_INFO | 0.000327 | 0.0251 | 0.01 | 0.9896 |
| C_W | 0.005167 | 0.00156 | 3.32 | 0.0009 |
| A_CPP | -0.05524 | 0.0325 | -1.70 | 0.0896 |
| B_CPP_INFO | 0.010318 | 0.0366 | 0.28 | 0.7782 |
| C_CPP | 0.004123 | 0.00235 | 1.75 | 0.0797 |
| P | -0.00111 | 0.00275 | -0.40 | 0.6863 |
| R | 0.040037 | 0.00153 | 26.18 | <. 0001 |
| P_W | 0.052966 | 0.00881 | 6.01 | <. 0001 |
| Q_W_INFO | 0.006038 | 0.0134 | 0.45 | 0.6530 |
| R_W | -0.00219 | 0.00147 | -1.49 | 0.1357 |
| P_CPP | -0.02881 | 0.0148 | -1.94 | 0.0522 |
| Q_CPP_INFO | 0.016611 | 0.0195 | 0.85 | 0.3950 |
| R_CPP | 0.001475 | 0.00197 | 0.75 | 0.4538 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 14871 | Objective | 1.9989 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 29725 |
| Sum of Weights | 272213491 |  |  |

## Appendix 16.k: <br> Regression Model Underlying Tables 5-2 through 5-5

# Residential TOU Rate, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer Summer/2004 Inner Summer Dummies 

The MODEL Procedure


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

[^21]The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_0304_TOU_RES |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 49 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $4.76 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| RPC(S_W_04_IN) | 2.105958 |
| Object | 0.000048 |
| Trace(S) | 12291.88 |
| Objective Value | 1.99958 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 177477 |
| Solved | 177477 |
| Used | 151767 |
| Missing | 25710 |

Residential TOU Rate, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With Outer Summer/2004 Inner Summer Dummies

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 20 | 152 E 3 | 1.4095 E 9 | 9288.4 | 96.3765 | 0.0094 | 0.0093 | 2.8849 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 29 | 152 E 3 | 4.5574 E 8 | 3003.5 | 54.8038 | 0.0326 | 0.0324 | 2.6335 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx Std Err | t Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approx } \\ \text { Pr }>\|t\| \end{gathered}$ |
| A | -0.00113 | 0.00143 | -0.79 | 0.4290 |
| B | -0.07024 | 0.0139 | -5.07 | <. 0001 |
| C | 0.011501 | 0.000740 | 15.53 | <. 0001 |
| D | -0.13009 | 0.0170 | -7.65 | <. 0001 |
| E | 0.004093 | 0.00128 | 3.21 | 0.0013 |
| A_W | 0.002643 | 0.00660 | 0.40 | 0.6888 |
| C_W | 0.00127 | 0.000706 | 1.80 | 0.0720 |
| B_OUT_X | 0.028001 | 0.0196 | 1.43 | 0.1525 |
| C_OUT_X | -0.00209 | 0.00125 | -1.67 | 0.0954 |
| D_OUT_X | 0.066352 | 0.0237 | 2.79 | 0.0052 |
| E_OUT_X | -0.00233 | 0.00204 | -1.14 | 0.2538 |
| A_OUT_X_W | 0.018323 | 0.0103 | 1.78 | 0.0748 |
| C_OUT_X_W | 0.001058 | 0.00126 | 0.84 | 0.4018 |
| A_04_IN | 0.009904 | 0.0256 | 0.39 | 0.6985 |
| B_04_IN | 0.072365 | 0.0225 | 3.21 | 0.0013 |
| C_04_IN | 0.000689 | 0.00124 | 0.55 | 0.5791 |
| D_04_IN | 0.013516 | 0.0271 | 0.50 | 0.6179 |
| E_04_IN | 0.003283 | 0.00219 | 1.50 | 0.1340 |
| A_W_04_IN | 0.008705 | 0.0110 | 0.79 | 0.4272 |
| C_W_04_IN | 0.001782 | 0.00115 | 1.55 | 0.1213 |
| P | 0.000251 | 0.000815 | 0.31 | 0.7584 |
| Q | -0.0844 | 0.0245 | -3.45 | 0.0006 |
| R | 0.039847 | 0.00628 | 6.34 | <. 0001 |
| S | -0.11329 | 0.0238 | -4.75 | <. 0001 |
| T | 0.004177 | 0.00304 | 1.37 | 0.1695 |
| P_W | 0.196672 | 0.0370 | 5.32 | <. 0001 |
| Q_W | 0.089806 | 0.0179 | 5.02 | <. 0001 |
| R_W | $\begin{aligned} & -0.01815 \\ & -n_{\text {2ae }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00601 \\ & 9.04\} \end{aligned}$ | -3.02 | 0.0025 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| S_W | -0.01193 | 0.00254 | -4.70 | $<.0001$ |
| T_W | -0.00848 | 0.00285 | -2.98 | 0.0029 |
| Q_OUT_X | -0.01324 | 0.00853 | -1.55 | 0.1209 |
| R_OUT_X | -0.01618 | 0.0123 | -1.32 | 0.1870 |
| S_OUT_X | 0.028546 | 0.0126 | 2.26 | 0.0236 |
| T_OUT_X | -0.00565 | 0.00597 | -0.95 | 0.3439 |
| P_OUT_X_W | 0.092022 | 0.0490 | 1.88 | 0.0602 |
| Q_OUT_X_W | 0.036522 | 0.0227 | 1.61 | 0.1075 |
| R_OUT_X_W | 0.006546 | 0.0133 | 0.49 | 0.6236 |
| S_OUT_X_W | 0.007601 | 0.00356 | 2.13 | 0.0329 |
| T_OUT_X_W | 0.003589 | 0.00632 | 0.57 | 0.5699 |
| P_04_IN | -0.04011 | 0.0877 | -0.46 | 0.6474 |
| Q_04_IN | -0.01827 | 0.0427 | -0.43 | 0.6688 |
| R_04_IN | -0.00255 | 0.0133 | -0.19 | 0.8476 |
| S_04_IN | 0.003131 | 0.0176 | 0.18 | 0.8586 |
| T_04_IN | 0.000637 | 0.00645 | 0.10 | 0.9214 |
| P_W_04_IN | 0.162391 | 0.0740 | 2.19 | 0.0282 |
| Q_W_04_IN | 0.070085 | 0.0356 | 1.97 | 0.0493 |
| R_W_04_IN | -0.00872 | 0.0128 | -0.68 | 0.4949 |
| S_W_04_IN | 0.00174 | 0.00370 | 0.47 | 0.6384 |
| T_W_04_IN | -0.00435 | 0.00611 | -0.71 | 0.4765 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 151767 | Objective | 1.9996 |
| Missing | 25710 | Objective*N | 303470 |
| Sum of Weights | 4.56089 E 9 |  |  |

## Appendix 16.I: <br> Regression Model Underlying Tables 5-6 through 5-8

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 26 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model <br> Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C E A_W C_W A_IW_W C_IW_W B_IW C_IW E_IW P Q R T P_W Q_W R_W T_W Q_IW R_IW T_IW <br> P_IW_W Q_IW_W R_IW_W T_IW_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |

The 2 Equations to Estimate
DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR $\operatorname{F}$ (A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr), $=$ E(DIF_CES_HDH65_PRICE), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr_WKD), A_IW_W(DIF_winter_WKD), C_IW_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr_TW_WKD), B_IW(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_TW), C_IW(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH65_Hr_TW), E_IW(DIF_CES_HDH65_PRICE_TW))

DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR =
F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_C), R(DIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR),
T(DIF_Daily_HDH65_Price_C), P_W(DIF_Weekend),
Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_C_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR_WKD), T_W(DIF_Daily_HDH65_Price_C_WKD), Q_IW(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_C_TW), R_IW(DIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR_TW), T_IW(DIF_Daily_HDH65_Price_C_TW), P_IW_W(DIF_winter_WKD), Q_IW_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_C_TW_WKD), R_IW_W(DIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR_TW_WKD), T_IW_W(DIF_Daily_HDH65_Price_C_TW_WKD))

> | Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

[^22]The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.WINTER_0304_TOU_RES |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $\boldsymbol{=}$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 26 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $1.313 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| RPC(T_IW_W) | 11.73224 |
| Object | 0.000044 |
| Trace(S) | 11531.82 |
| Objective Value | 1.999648 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 99978 |
| Solved | 99978 |
| Used | 98741 |
| Missing | 1237 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 11 | 98730 | 8.5733 E 8 | 8683.6 | 93.1857 | 0.0038 | 0.0037 | 2.9093 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 15 | 98726 | 2.812 E 8 | 2848.2 | 53.3689 | 0.0046 | 0.0045 | 2.6417 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00027 | 0.00174 | -0.16 | 0.8743 |
| B | -0.06148 | 0.0197 | -3.12 | 0.0018 |
| C | 0.009043 | 0.00125 | 7.22 | $<.0001$ |
| E | -0.00697 | 0.00275 | -2.54 | 0.0112 |
| A_W | 0.002427 | 0.0101 | 0.24 | 0.8092 |
| C_W | -0.00392 | 0.00148 | -2.65 | 0.0082 |
| A_IW_W | -0.00579 | 0.0138 | -0.42 | 0.6748 |
| C_IW_W | 0.004613 | 0.00194 | 2.38 | 0.0172 |
| B_IW | -0.03247 | 0.0278 | -1.17 | 0.2428 |
| C_IW | -0.00271 | 0.00162 | -1.67 | 0.0942 |
| E_IW | 0.009667 | 0.00359 | 2.69 | 0.0071 |
| P | -0.00015 | 0.000995 | -0.15 | 0.8804 |
| Q | -0.19555 | 0.0531 | -3.68 | 0.0002 |
| R | 0.003815 | 0.00988 | 0.39 | 0.6995 |
| T | 0.002784 | 0.00475 | 0.59 | 0.5580 |
| P_W | 0.277344 | 0.0677 | 4.10 | $<.0001$ |
| Q_W | 0.131871 | 0.0326 | 4.05 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | 0.001181 | 0.00781 | 0.15 | 0.8798 |
| T_W | -0.00077 | 0.00372 | -0.21 | 0.8361 |
| Q_IW | -0.12568 | 0.0838 | -1.50 | 0.1337 |
| R_IW | 0.014175 | 0.0129 | 1.10 | 0.2726 |
| T_IW | 0.003733 | 0.00622 | 0.60 | 0.5483 |
| P_IW_W | 0.023079 | 0.1233 | 0.19 | 0.8515 |
| Q_IW_W | 0.004353 | 0.0591 | 0.07 | 0.9413 |
| R_IW_W | -0.0112 | 0.0109 | -1.02 | 0.3061 |
| T_IW_W | -0.00384 | 0.00521 | -0.74 | 0.4614 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Residential TOU Rate, Winter 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Winter, With Inner Winter Dummy
The MODEL Procedure

| Number of Observations |  |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Used | 98741 | Objective | 1.9996 |  |
| Missing | 1237 | Objective*N | 197447 |  |
| Sum of Weights | 2.88551 E 9 |  |  |  |

Appendix 16.m:
Regression Model Underlying Tables 6-2, 6-3

## Residential CPP-V Rate, Track A, Summer 2004, All Customers, Whole Summer

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 12 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C D A_W C_W P Q R P_W Q_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br>  <br> $=$ <br>  <br> D(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_CAC), A_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD)) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR $=$ | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD)) |  |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

# Residential CPP-V Rate, Track A, Summer 2004, All Customers, Whole Summer 

The MODEL Procedure SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_04_RES_CPPV_TRKA |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 12 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $7.87 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| RPC(C_W) | 2.223648 |
| Object | 0.000293 |
| Trace(S) | 1032.313 |
| Objective Value | 1.998905 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 25730 |
| Solved | 25730 |
| Used | 23598 |
| Missing | 2132 |

Residential CPP-V Rate, Track A, Summer 2004, All Customers, Whole Summer
The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 6 | 23592 | 18565365 | 786.9 | 28.0524 | 0.0130 | 0.0128 | 2.9049 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 6 | 23592 | 5788958 | 245.4 | 15.6645 | 0.0220 | 0.0218 | 2.6280 |


$\left.$| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value |  | | Approx |
| ---: |
| Pr > \|t| | \right\rvert\,


| Number of Observations | Statistics for <br> System |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 23598 | Objective | 1.9989 |
| Missing | 2132 | Objective*N | 47170 |
| Sum of Weights | 84490444 |  |  |

## Appendix 16.n: <br> Regression Model Underlying Tables 6-4, 6-5

Dispatch Dummy
The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 13 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_CPP_DISP A_W C_W P Q R P_CPP_DISP P_W Q_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |

The 2 Equations to Estimate

| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_CPP_DISP(DIF_CPP_Dispatch), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_CPP_DISP(DIF_CPP_Dispatch), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> Q_W(DIF_LN_DĀILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

Dispatch Dummy
The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_0304_CPPV_TRKC_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 13 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $7.74 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| RPC(R) | 0.158744 |
| Object | 0.000551 |
| Trace(S) | 0.381401 |
| Objective Value | 1.998706 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 68839 |
| Solved | 68839 |
| Used | 67546 |
| Missing | 1293 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 6 | 67540 | 20155.1 | 0.2984 | 0.5463 | 0.0399 | 0.0398 | 2.8359 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 7 | 67539 | 5604.6 | 0.0830 | 0.2881 | 0.0574 | 0.0573 | 2.6030 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | 0.001011 | 0.00210 | 0.48 | 0.6305 |
| B | -0.07743 | 0.00730 | -10.61 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.008312 | 0.000965 | 8.61 | $<.0001$ |
| A_CPP_DISP | -0.21403 | 0.00890 | -24.04 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.053617 | 0.00517 | 10.38 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.010634 | 0.00149 | 7.13 | $<.0001$ |
| P | 0.000706 | 0.00111 | 0.64 | 0.5238 |
| Q | -0.04408 | 0.0126 | -3.49 | 0.0005 |
| R | 0.030563 | 0.000715 | 42.76 | $<.0001$ |
| P_CPP_DISP | -0.0194 | 0.00579 | -3.35 | 0.0008 |
| P_W | 0.048327 | 0.0294 | 1.64 | 0.1006 |
| Q_W | 0.002605 | 0.0148 | 0.18 | 0.8606 |
| R_W | 0.004575 | 0.000721 | 6.34 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 67546 | Objective | 1.9987 |
| Missing | 1293 | Objective*N | 135005 |

## Appendix 16.0: <br> Regression Model Underlying Discussion of Residential Track C, CPP-V Winter Analysis (Section 6.2)

## Residential CPP-V Rate, Track C, Winter 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Winter

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 11 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W P Q R P_W Q_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH_65_Hr), |  |
| $=$ | A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_HDH_65_Hr_WKD)) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR $=$ | F(P(1), Q(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S), R(DIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR), <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> P_W(DIF_Weekend), Q_W(DIF_LN_DAILY_P_AVE_S_WKD), <br> R_WIF_DAILY_HDH65_HOUR_WKD)) |  |

Observations will be weighted by WEIGHT

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.WINTER_CPPV_TRKC_ALLCUST |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 11 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $6.41 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| RPC(P_W) | 0.803371 |
| Object | 0.000025 |
| Trace(S) | 0.288278 |
| Objective Value | 1.999686 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 42483 |
| Solved | 42483 |
| Used | 41556 |
| Missing | 927 |

Residential CPP-V Rate, Track C, Winter 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Winter
The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 5 | 41551 | 9202.5 | 0.2215 | 0.4706 | 0.0064 | 0.0063 | 2.9152 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 6 | 41550 | 2775.7 | 0.0668 | 0.2585 | 0.0116 | 0.0115 | 2.6585 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00032 | 0.00231 | -0.14 | 0.8886 |
| B | -0.02173 | 0.00739 | -2.94 | 0.0033 |
| C | 0.006932 | 0.000843 | 8.22 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | 0.051986 | 0.00721 | 7.21 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.002474 | 0.00117 | 2.12 | 0.0342 |
| P | -0.00051 | 0.00127 | -0.40 | 0.6882 |
| Q | 0.008792 | 0.0120 | 0.73 | 0.4644 |
| R | 0.002383 | 0.000675 | 3.53 | 0.0004 |
| P_W | -0.01005 | 0.0467 | -0.22 | 0.8297 |
| Q_W | -0.0212 | 0.0232 | -0.92 | 0.3600 |
| R_W | 0.001555 | 0.000518 | 3.00 | 0.0027 |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 41556 | Objective | 1.9997 |
| Missing | 927 | Objective*N | 83099 |

## Appendix 17

## Regression Models Underlying All Commercial and Industrial Sector Analysis

| Variable |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| A | Intercept |
| A_CPP | CPP Day Dummy |
| A_W | Weekend Dummy |
| A_W_04 | 2004 Year Dummy* Weekend Dummy |
| B | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price) |
| B_04 | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*2004 Year Dummy |
| B_ADU | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Average Daily Use |
| B_SQFT | Ln(Average Peak Price / Off-Peak Price)*Square Footage |
| C* | Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour |
| C_04 | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*2004 Year Dummy |
| C_W | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour) * Weekend Dummy |
| C_W_04 | (Peak Degree Hour per Hour - Off-Peak Degree Hour per Hour)*Weekend Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| P | Intercept |
| P_04 | 2004 Year Dummy |
| P_W_04 | 2004 Year Dummy*Weekend Dummy |
| Q* $^{\text {Q_04 }}$ | Ln(Daily Average Price) |
| Q_W_04 | Ln(Daily Average Price)*2004 Year Dummy |
| R | Ln(Daily Average Price)*Weekend Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |
| R_04 | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour |
| R_W | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*2004 Year Dummy |
| R_W_04 | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*Weekend Dummy |
|  | Daily Average Degree Hour per Hour*Weekend Dummy*2004 Year Dummy |

[^23]
## Appendix 17.a:

Regression Models Underlying Table 7-2

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 9 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR |  |  |
| $=$ | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br> A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD)) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR $=$ | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD) $)$ |  |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_04_CPPV_CI_LT20 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 9 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B) | 0.346478 |
| Object | 0.000218 |
| Trace(S) | 3201.982 |
| Objective Value | 1.998792 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 11666 |
| Solved | 11666 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 5 | 11661 | 20781820 | 1782.2 | 42.2157 | 0.0681 | 0.0678 | 2.7448 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 11662 | 16557916 | 1419.8 | 37.6805 | 0.1360 | 0.1358 | 2.6414 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00249 | 0.00628 | -0.40 | 0.6915 |
| B | -0.04452 | 0.0144 | -3.10 | 0.0020 |
| C | 0.003988 | 0.00214 | 1.87 | 0.0622 |
| A_W | -0.40831 | 0.0162 | -25.25 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.009599 | 0.00170 | 5.65 | $<.0001$ |
| P | -0.00154 | 0.00560 | -0.28 | 0.7832 |
| R | 0.020015 | 0.00338 | 5.93 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | -0.49421 | 0.0133 | -37.13 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | 0.012191 | 0.00218 | 5.60 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations | Statistics for <br> System |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 11666 | Objective | 1.9988 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 23318 |
| Sum of Weights | 45202184 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 9 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The $\mathbf{2}$ Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br> (D_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD)) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR $=$ | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD) $)$ |  |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_04_CPPV_CI_GT20 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 9 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(C_W) | 0.587444 |
| Object | 0.000419 |
| Trace(S) | 506.9195 |
| Objective Value | 1.998544 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 14560 |
| Solved | 14560 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 5 | 14555 | 3107944 | 213.5 | 14.6127 | 0.1050 | 0.1047 | 2.6899 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 14556 | 4270563 | 293.4 | 17.1286 | 0.2125 | 0.2123 | 2.5436 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | Approx <br> t Value <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |  |
| A | -0.00113 | 0.00328 | -0.35 | 0.7293 |
| B | -0.06924 | 0.00830 | -8.34 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.002835 | 0.00115 | 2.46 | 0.0137 |
| A_W | -0.28042 | 0.00860 | -32.59 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.002027 | 0.000956 | 2.12 | 0.0340 |
| P | -0.00155 | 0.00384 | -0.40 | 0.6859 |
| R | 0.008169 | 0.00247 | 3.31 | 0.0009 |
| P_W | -0.48177 | 0.00904 | -53.32 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | 0.009951 | 0.00174 | 5.71 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations | Statistics for <br> System |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Used | 14560 | Objective | 1.9985 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 29099 |
| Sum of Weights | 19884996 |  |  |

## Appendix 17.b: <br> Regression Models Underlying Table 7-3

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 10 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C B_SQFT A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), B_SQFT(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_sqft), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE $=0.001$ Criteria Met.

C\&I CPP-V Rate, Track A LT20kW, Summer 2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, Square Footage Interaction

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_04_CPPV_CI_LT20_V2 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 10 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B_SQFT) | 0.279528 |
| Object | 0.000269 |
| Trace(S) | 3424.406 |
| Objective Value | 1.998424 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 9637 |
| Solved | 9637 |

## The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 6 | 9631 | 17632530 | 1830.8 | 42.7880 | 0.0752 | 0.0747 | 2.7394 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 9633 | 15351110 | 1593.6 | 39.9199 | 0.1346 | 0.1343 | 2.6637 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00337 | 0.00703 | -0.48 | 0.6316 |
| B | -0.08053 | 0.0175 | -4.60 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.005124 | 0.00238 | 2.15 | 0.0316 |
| B_SQFT | $4.692 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 2.37 | 0.0178 |
| A_W | -0.44626 | 0.0191 | -23.32 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.00996 | 0.00194 | 5.13 | $<.0001$ |
| P | -0.00131 | 0.00656 | -0.20 | 0.8417 |
| R | 0.023817 | 0.00388 | 6.14 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | -0.52289 | 0.0157 | -33.24 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | 0.012212 | 0.00244 | 5.01 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations | Statistics for <br> System |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 9637 | Objective | 1.9984 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 19259 |
| Sum of Weights | 36998211 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 10 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C B_ADU A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br> $=$ <br> B_ADU(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_adu), A_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD)) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR $=$ | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD)) |  |

> | Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

C\&I CPP-V Rate, Track A LT20kW, Summer 2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, ADU Interaction
The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_04_CPPV_CI_LT20_V2 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 10 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B) | 1.093377 |
| Object | 0.000289 |
| Trace(S) | 3423.403 |
| Objective Value | 1.998385 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 9637 |
| Solved | 9637 |

C\&I CPP-V Rate, Track A LT20kW, Summer 2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, ADU Interaction
The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 6 | 9631 | 17622412 | 1829.8 | 42.7757 | 0.0758 | 0.0753 | 2.7405 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 9633 | 15351568 | 1593.6 | 39.9205 | 0.1346 | 0.1343 | 2.6637 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value |  | | Approx |
| ---: |
| Pr > \|t| |$|$| A | -0.00336 | 0.00703 | -0.48 | 0.6327 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B | -0.00184 | 0.0226 | -0.08 | 0.9351 |
| C | 0.00525 | 0.00238 | 2.20 | 0.0275 |
| B_ADU | -0.00142 | 0.000420 | -3.39 | 0.0007 |
| A_W | -0.44696 | 0.0191 | -23.36 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.009972 | 0.00194 | 5.14 | $<.0001$ |
| P | -0.00131 | 0.00656 | -0.20 | 0.8424 |
| R | 0.024015 | 0.00388 | 6.20 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | -0.52186 | 0.0157 | -33.18 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | 0.011944 | 0.00244 | 4.90 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations | Statistics for <br> System |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 9637 | Objective | 1.9984 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 19258 |
| Sum of Weights | 36998211 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 10 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C B_ADU A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), <br> $=$ <br> B_ADU(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_adu), A_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD)) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR $=$ | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD)) |  |

> | Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_04_CPPV_CI_GT20_V2 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 10 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B_ADU) | 3.334034 |
| Object | 0.000506 |
| Trace(S) | 537.4294 |
| Objective Value | 1.998214 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 12933 |
| Solved | 12933 |

C\&I CPP-V Rate, Track A GT20kW, Summer 2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, ADU Interaction
The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 6 | 12927 | 2887977 | 223.4 | 14.9468 | 0.1087 | 0.1084 | 2.7057 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 12929 | 4060000 | 314.0 | 17.7207 | 0.2221 | 0.2219 | 2.5622 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00117 | 0.00357 | -0.33 | 0.7428 |
| B | -0.07897 | 0.0114 | -6.94 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.00276 | 0.00125 | 2.22 | 0.0267 |
| B_ADU | 0.000016 | 0.000024 | 0.67 | 0.5015 |
| A_W | -0.29084 | 0.00948 | -30.69 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.001298 | 0.00102 | 1.27 | 0.2038 |
| P | -0.00146 | 0.00423 | -0.35 | 0.7298 |
| R | 0.007225 | 0.00267 | 2.71 | 0.0068 |
| P_W | -0.51842 | 0.0100 | -51.67 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | 0.011381 | 0.00186 | 6.13 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations | Statistics for <br> System |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 12933 | Objective | 1.9982 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 25843 |
| Sum of Weights | 17527209 |  |  |

## The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 10 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C B_SQFT A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |

The 2 Equations to Estimate
DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR $\operatorname{F}$ (A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), $=$ B_SQFT(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_sqft), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD))
DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = $\quad$ F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD))

| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_04_CPPV_CI_GT20_V2 |
| OUT $\boldsymbol{=}$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $\boldsymbol{} \boldsymbol{=}$ | X |
| OUTS $\boldsymbol{} \boldsymbol{} \boldsymbol{~}$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 10 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(C_W) | 0.677196 |
| Object | 0.000747 |
| Trace(S) | 536.9239 |
| Objective Value | 1.997733 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 12933 |
| Solved | 12933 |

C\&I CPP-V Rate, Track A GT20kW, Summer 2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, Square Footage Interaction

## The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 6 | 12927 | 2881551 | 222.9 | 14.9302 | 0.1107 | 0.1104 | 2.7080 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 12929 | 4059892 | 314.0 | 17.7205 | 0.2221 | 0.2219 | 2.5622 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00118 | 0.00356 | -0.33 | 0.7407 |
| B | -0.09527 | 0.0104 | -9.18 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.002685 | 0.00124 | 2.16 | 0.0310 |
| B_SQFT | $1.388 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $3.61 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 3.84 | 0.0001 |
| A_W | -0.29118 | 0.00947 | -30.76 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.001391 | 0.00102 | 1.36 | 0.1728 |
| P | -0.00146 | 0.00423 | -0.35 | 0.7294 |
| R | 0.007105 | 0.00267 | 2.66 | 0.0078 |
| P_W | -0.51905 | 0.0100 | -51.72 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | 0.011576 | 0.00186 | 6.23 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations | Statistics for <br> System |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 12933 | Objective | 1.9977 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 25837 |
| Sum of Weights | 17527209 |  |  |

# Appendix 17.c: <br> Regression Models Underlying Tables 7-6, 7-7 

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 11 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W A_CPP P R P_W R_W P_CPP |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |

The 2 Equations to Estimate
DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR $\operatorname{F}$ (A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), A_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day))

DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = $\begin{aligned} & \text { F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), }\end{aligned}$ R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), P_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day))

Observations will be weighted by WEIGHT

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

C\&I CPP-V Rate, Track C LT20kW, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day Dummy

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_0304_CPPV_CI_TRKC_LT20 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 11 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B) | 1.078588 |
| Object | 0.000115 |
| Trace(S) | 21.61564 |
| Objective Value | 1.99918 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 18627 |
| Solved | 18627 |

C\&I CPP-V Rate, Track C LT20kW, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day Dummy

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 6 | 18621 | 204578 | 10.9864 | 3.3146 | 0.1138 | 0.1136 | 2.8252 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 5 | 18622 | 197937 | 10.6292 | 3.2602 | 0.1935 | 0.1933 | 2.7333 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00138 | 0.00488 | -0.28 | 0.7767 |
| B | 0.033701 | 0.0124 | 2.72 | 0.0064 |
| C | 0.006863 | 0.00157 | 4.38 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | -0.48046 | 0.0173 | -27.72 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.003072 | 0.00143 | 2.15 | 0.0318 |
| A_CPP | -0.22942 | 0.0150 | -15.28 | $<.0001$ |
| P | -0.00127 | 0.00480 | -0.27 | 0.7907 |
| R | 0.016268 | 0.00260 | 6.25 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | -0.47768 | 0.0128 | -37.40 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.02027 | 0.00147 | -13.83 | $<.0001$ |
| P_CPP | -0.036 | 0.0128 | -2.82 | 0.0048 |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Used | 18627 | Objective | 1.9992 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 37239 |
| Sum of Weights | 461811 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 11 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


|  | Model Variables | DIF_L | N_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parameters | A B C | A_W C_W A_CPP P R P_W R_W P_CPP |
|  | Equations | DIF_L | N_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |  |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR |  |  | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> A_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = |  |  | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), P_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

C\&I CPP-V Rate, Track C GT20kW, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day Dummy

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_0304_CPPV_CI_TRKC_GT20 |
| OUT $\boldsymbol{=}$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $\boldsymbol{=}$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 11 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(C_W) | 1.122762 |
| Object | 0.000389 |
| Trace(S) | 3.026679 |
| Objective Value | 1.998792 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 25634 |
| Solved | 25634 |

C\&I CPP-V Rate, Track C GT20kW, Pooled Summer 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Summer, With CPP Day Dummy

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 6 | 25628 | 39894.3 | 1.5567 | 1.2477 | 0.0595 | 0.0593 | 2.6230 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 5 | 25629 | 37674.9 | 1.4700 | 1.2124 | 0.1188 | 0.1187 | 2.3644 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | Approx <br> t Value <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |  |
| A | -0.00106 | 0.00215 | -0.49 | 0.6221 |
| B | -0.02247 | 0.00547 | -4.10 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.005261 | 0.000681 | 7.73 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | -0.16462 | 0.00693 | -23.75 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.000253 | 0.000595 | 0.42 | 0.6710 |
| A_CPP | -0.11774 | 0.00675 | -17.44 | $<.0001$ |
| P | -0.00045 | 0.00209 | -0.21 | 0.8309 |
| R | 0.016906 | 0.00118 | 14.36 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | -0.229 | 0.00569 | -40.24 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00114 | 0.000829 | -1.38 | 0.1682 |
| P_CPP | -0.02213 | 0.00565 | -3.91 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 25634 | Objective | 1.9988 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 51237 |
| Sum of Weights | 336078 |  |  |

## Appendix 17.d: <br> Regression Models Underlying Table 7-8

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 14 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W A_CPP B_ADU A_CPP_ADU P R P_W R_W P_CPP P_CPP_ADU |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> A_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day), B_ADU(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_adu), A_CPP_ADU(DIF_AN_ADU_CPP)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), P_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day), P_CPP_ADU(DIF_AN_ADU_CPP)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

[^24]The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_0304_CPPV_CI_TRKC_LT20 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 14 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B_ADU) | 0.466015 |
| Object | 0.000855 |
| Trace(S) | 21.36306 |
| Objective Value | 1.997514 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 18018 |
| Solved | 18018 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 18010 | 196080 | 10.8873 | 3.2996 | 0.1186 | 0.1182 | 2.8427 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 6 | 18012 | 188690 | 10.4758 | 3.2366 | 0.1912 | 0.1910 | 2.7296 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00147 | 0.00494 | -0.30 | 0.7663 |
| B | 0.125925 | 0.0207 | 6.09 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.006686 | 0.00159 | 4.20 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | -0.47414 | 0.0174 | -27.28 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.002216 | 0.00145 | 1.53 | 0.1270 |
| A_CPP | -0.33125 | 0.0270 | -12.26 | $<.0001$ |
| B_ADU | -0.00173 | 0.000298 | -5.80 | $<.0001$ |
| A_CPP_ADU | 0.001896 | 0.000418 | 4.54 | $<.0001$ |
| P | -0.00122 | 0.00485 | -0.25 | 0.8008 |
| R | 0.016789 | 0.00263 | 6.38 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | -0.46438 | 0.0128 | -36.33 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.02106 | 0.00147 | -14.34 | $<.0001$ |
| P_CPP | 0.032685 | 0.0223 | 1.47 | 0.1420 |
| P_CPP_ADU | -0.00131 | 0.000333 | -3.93 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 18018 | Objective | 1.9975 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 35991 |
| Sum of Weights | 445934 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 14 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W A_CPP B_SQFT A_CPP_SQFT P R P_W R_W P_CPP P_CPP_SQFT |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> A_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day), B_SQFT(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_sqft), A_CPP_SQFT(DIF_SQFT_CPP)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), P_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day), P_CPP_SQFT(DIF_SQFT_CPP)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

[^25]The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_0304_CPPV_CI_TRKC_LT20 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 14 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B) | 3.306526 |
| Object | 0.000205 |
| Trace(S) | 21.40824 |
| Objective Value | 1.998812 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 18018 |
| Solved | 18018 |


| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 18010 | 196838 | 10.9294 | 3.3060 | 0.1152 | 0.1148 | 2.8382 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 6 | 18012 | 188746 | 10.4789 | 3.2371 | 0.1910 | 0.1908 | 2.7282 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value |  | | Approx |
| ---: |
| Pr > \|t| |$|$| A | -0.00147 | 0.00495 | -0.30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B | 0.030157 | 0.0140 | 2.15 |
| C | 0.006556 | 0.00159 | 4.11 |
| A_W | -0.47826 | 0.0174 | -27.52 |
| C_W | 0.002756 | 0.00145 | 1.90 |
| A_CPP | -0.24392 | 0.0175 | -13.92 |
| B_SQFT | $8.17 \mathrm{E}-7$ | $2.071 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 0.0574 |
| A_CPP_SQFT | $3.355 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.291 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 1.46 |
| P | -0.00121 | 0.00485 | -0.6933 |
| R | 0.016839 | 0.00263 | 0.1432 |
| P_W | -0.46454 | 0.0128 | -36.36 |
| R_W | -0.02104 | 0.00147 | -14.34 |
| P_CPP | -0.0603 | 0.0145 | -4.0001 |
| P_CPP_SQFT | $5.801 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $1.822 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 3.14 |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 18018 | Objective | 1.9988 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 36015 |
| Sum of Weights | 445934 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 14 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W A_CPP B_ADU A_CPP_ADU P R P_W R_W P_CPP P_CPP_ADU |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> A_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day), B_ADU(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_adu), A_CPP_ADU(DIF_AN_ADU_CPP)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), P_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day), P_CPP_ADU(DIF_AN_ADU_CPP)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

[^26]The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_0304_CPPV_CI_TRKC_GT20 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 14 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B_ADU) | 3.616844 |
| Object | 0.001071 |
| Trace(S) | 3.062912 |
| Objective Value | 1.997232 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 22328 |
| Solved | 22328 |
| First | 92 |
| Last | 25634 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 22320 | 35109.6 | 1.5730 | 1.2542 | 0.0609 | 0.0606 | 2.6283 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 6 | 22322 | 33257.6 | 1.4899 | 1.2206 | 0.1144 | 0.1142 | 2.3709 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00096 | 0.00232 | -0.41 | 0.6801 |
| B | -0.05468 | 0.00843 | -6.48 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.005036 | 0.000726 | 6.94 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | -0.17223 | 0.00754 | -22.83 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.000473 | 0.000635 | 0.74 | 0.4563 |
| A_CPP | -0.12979 | 0.0108 | -12.07 | $<.0001$ |
| B_ADU | 0.000078 | 0.000023 | 3.32 | 0.0009 |
| A_CPP_ADU | 0.000064 | 0.000030 | 2.15 | 0.0320 |
| P | -0.0006 | 0.00226 | -0.27 | 0.7908 |
| R | 0.016627 | 0.00125 | 13.29 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | -0.21689 | 0.00615 | -35.25 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00269 | 0.000870 | -3.10 | 0.0020 |
| P_CPP | 0.007179 | 0.00892 | 0.81 | 0.4207 |
| P_CPP_ADU | -0.00011 | 0.000026 | -4.29 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 22328 | Objective | 1.9972 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 44594 |
| Sum of Weights | 292223 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 14 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W A_CPP B_SQFT A_CPP_sqft P R P_W R_W P_CPP P_CPP_sqft |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> A_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day), B_SQFT(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_sqft), A_CPP_sqft(DIF_SQFT_CPP)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), P_CPP(DIF_CPP_V_TrkC_Day), <br> P_CPP_sqft(DIF_SQFT_CPP)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

[^27]The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.SUM_0304_CPPV_CI_TRKC_GT20 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 14 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(C_W) | 1.212116 |
| Object | 0.000778 |
| Trace(S) | 3.060617 |
| Objective Value | 1.997818 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Read | 22328 |
| Solved | 22328 |
| First | 92 |
| Last | 25634 |

## The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 8 | 22320 | 35048.8 | 1.5703 | 1.2531 | 0.0625 | 0.0622 | 2.6323 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 6 | 22322 | 33267.2 | 1.4903 | 1.2208 | 0.1142 | 0.1140 | 2.3693 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approx } \\ \operatorname{Pr}>\|t\| \end{gathered}$ |
| A | -0.00096 | 0.00232 | -0.41 | 0.6794 |
| B | -0.05226 | 0.00725 | -7.21 | <. 0001 |
| C | 0.004973 | 0.000726 | 6.85 | <. 0001 |
| A_W | -0.1735 | 0.00754 | -23.01 | <. 0001 |
| C_W | 0.000559 | 0.000635 | 0.88 | 0.3785 |
| A_CPP | -0.12481 | 0.00952 | -13.11 | <. 0001 |
| B_SQFT | $1.929 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $5.54 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 3.48 | 0.0005 |
| A_CPP_sqft | $1.572 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $8.27 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 1.90 | 0.0573 |
| P | -0.0006 | 0.00226 | -0.26 | 0.7911 |
| R | 0.01665 | 0.00125 | 13.29 | <. 0001 |
| P_W | -0.21767 | 0.00615 | -35.38 | <. 0001 |
| R_W | -0.00254 | 0.000870 | -2.92 | 0.0035 |
| P_CPP | -0.03879 | 0.00779 | -4.98 | <. 0001 |
| P_CPP_sqft | $2.258 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $6.386 \mathrm{E}-7$ | 3.54 | 0.0004 |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 22328 | Objective | 1.9978 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 44607 |
| Sum of Weights | 292223 |  |  |

## Appendix 17.e:

Regression Model Underlying Discussion of C\&I Track C, CPP-V Winter Analysis (Section 7.2)

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 9 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


|  | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A B C A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |  |
|  | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |  |
| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_H | OUR $=$ | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPeak_hDH65_Hr), A_W(DIF_weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_hDH65_Hr_WKD)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_H | HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_hDH65_HOUR), P_W(DIF_weekend), R_W(DIF_DAILY_hDH65_HOUR_WKD)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.CI_CPPV_TRKC_WINTER_LT20 |
| OUT $\boldsymbol{}$ _ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 9 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(R) | 0.806203 |
| Object | 0.000256 |
| Trace(S) | 17.10727 |
| Objective Value | 1.999089 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 22521 |
| Solved | 22521 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 5 | 22516 | 190714 | 8.4701 | 2.9104 | 0.1205 | 0.1203 | 2.8435 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 22517 | 194482 | 8.6371 | 2.9389 | 0.1554 | 0.1553 | 2.6850 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00038 | 0.00385 | -0.10 | 0.9221 |
| B | -0.00947 | 0.0103 | -0.92 | 0.3596 |
| C | 0.006941 | 0.00123 | 5.65 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | -0.44059 | 0.0132 | -33.47 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | -0.00883 | 0.00145 | -6.09 | $<.0001$ |
| P | -0.001 | 0.00389 | -0.26 | 0.7978 |
| R | -0.00068 | 0.00164 | -0.42 | 0.6780 |
| P_W | -0.45213 | 0.0115 | -39.18 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | 0.000917 | 0.00115 | 0.80 | 0.4255 |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 22521 | Objective | 1.9991 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 45021 |
| Sum of Weights | 570237 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 9 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR |  |  |
| $=$ | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPeak_hDH65_Hr), <br> A_W(DIF_weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_hDH65_Hr_WKD)) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_hDH65_HOUR), P_W(DIF_weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_hDH65_HOUR_WKD)) |  |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.CI_CPPV_TRKC_WINTER_GT20 |
| OUT $\boldsymbol{=}$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 9 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(B) | 2.359541 |
| Object | 0.001818 |
| Trace(S) | 2.568362 |
| Objective Value | 1.996064 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 29959 |
| Solved | 29959 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 5 | 29954 | 40581.9 | 1.3548 | 1.1640 | 0.0682 | 0.0681 | 2.5871 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 29955 | 36352.1 | 1.2136 | 1.1016 | 0.1343 | 0.1342 | 2.3733 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00014 | 0.00186 | -0.08 | 0.9400 |
| B | -0.01783 | 0.00428 | -4.17 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.00164 | 0.000523 | 3.14 | 0.0017 |
| A_W | -0.17939 | 0.00581 | -30.90 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | -0.00226 | 0.000654 | -3.46 | 0.0005 |
| P | -0.00044 | 0.00176 | -0.25 | 0.8005 |
| R | 0.001611 | 0.000654 | 2.46 | 0.0138 |
| P_W | -0.20723 | 0.00503 | -41.17 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00081 | 0.000492 | -1.64 | 0.1012 |


| Number of <br> Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 29959 | Objective | 1.9961 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 59800 |
| Sum of Weights | 391275 |  |  |

## Appendix 17.f:

Regression Models Underlying Table 7-10, 7-11

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 16 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W B_04 C_04 A_W_04 C_W_04 P R P_W R_W R_04 P_W_04 R_W_04 |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |

## The 2 Equations to Estimate

| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), B_04(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_04), C_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_04), A_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), C_W_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD_04)) |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), R_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_04), <br> P_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), R_W_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD_04)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

[^28]The MODEL Procedure SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.CI_TOU_LT20_POOL |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 16 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(C) | 9.411165 |
| Object | 0.000272 |
| Trace(S) | 6570.485 |
| Objective Value | 1.998673 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 20453 |
| Solved | 20453 |


| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 9 | 20444 | 91833290 | 4491.9 | 67.0220 | 0.0228 | 0.0224 | 2.9481 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 7 | 20446 | 42497872 | 2078.5 | 45.5910 | 0.1028 | 0.1025 | 2.5559 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | -0.00213 | 0.00593 | -0.36 | 0.7197 |
| B | -0.00471 | 0.0318 | -0.15 | 0.8822 |
| C | 0.000876 | 0.00316 | 0.28 | 0.7817 |
| A_W | -0.23649 | 0.0247 | -9.57 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | -0.00309 | 0.00261 | -1.18 | 0.2367 |
| B_04 | -0.12571 | 0.0448 | -2.80 | 0.0051 |
| C_04 | 0.004148 | 0.00440 | 0.94 | 0.3458 |
| A_W_04 | -0.06092 | 0.0343 | -1.78 | 0.0754 |
| C_W_04 | 0.006647 | 0.00358 | 1.86 | 0.0631 |
| P | 0.001379 | 0.00403 | 0.34 | 0.7323 |
| R | 0.016851 | 0.00413 | 4.08 | $<.0001$ |
| P_W | -0.34505 | 0.0140 | -24.63 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00674 | 0.00248 | -2.71 | 0.0067 |
| R_04 | -0.00971 | 0.00547 | -1.77 | 0.0761 |
| P_W_04 | -0.05142 | 0.0195 | -2.64 | 0.0083 |
| R_W_04 | 0.01625 | 0.00354 | 4.60 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Used | 20453 | Objective | 1.9987 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 40879 |
| Sum of Weights | 127832329 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 16 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W B_04 C_04 A_W_04 C_W_04 P R P_W R_W R_04 P_W_04 R_W_04 |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |

## The 2 Equations to Estimate

| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPEAK_DH_Hr), A_W(DIF_Weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD), <br> B_04(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE_04), C_04(DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_04), A_W_04(DIF_WKD_0 $\overline{4}$ ), C_W_0 $\overline{4}\left(\right.$ DIF_Peak_OPeak_DH_Hr_WKD_04) $^{\text {(Dis }}$ |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR), P_W(DIF_Weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD), R_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_04), <br> P_W_04(DIF_WKD_04), R_W_04(DIF_DAILY_DH_HOUR_WKD_04)) |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

[^29]The MODEL Procedure SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.CI_TOU_GT20_POOL |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 16 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| RPC(C_W) | 1.913091 |
| Object | 0.000436 |
| Trace(S) | 1247.752 |
| Objective Value | 1.998408 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 22207 |
| Solved | 22207 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 9 | 22198 | 9352955 | 421.3 | 20.5266 | 0.0390 | 0.0387 | 2.7190 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 7 | 22200 | 18346292 | 826.4 | 28.7473 | 0.1150 | 0.1148 | 2.3883 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr > \|t| |
| A | -0.00171 | 0.00290 | -0.59 | 0.5556 |
| B | -0.09294 | 0.0213 | -4.37 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.002161 | 0.00145 | 1.49 | 0.1369 |
| A_W | -0.19798 | 0.0137 | -14.40 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.001526 | 0.00119 | 1.28 | 0.2015 |
| B_04 | -0.11792 | 0.0308 | -3.83 | 0.0001 |
| C_04 | 0.000746 | 0.00206 | 0.36 | 0.7168 |
| A_W_04 | -0.02359 | 0.0195 | -1.21 | 0.2256 |
| C_W_04 | 0.000331 | 0.00167 | 0.20 | 0.8433 |
| P | 0.000116 | 0.00406 | 0.03 | 0.9772 |
| R | 0.00707 | 0.00360 | 1.96 | 0.0496 |
| P_W | -0.38011 | 0.0148 | -25.70 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00866 | 0.00202 | -4.29 | $<.0001$ |
| R_04 | 0.002564 | 0.00499 | 0.51 | 0.6078 |
| P_W_04 | 0.025804 | 0.0203 | 1.27 | 0.2030 |
| R_W_04 | 0.000406 | 0.00297 | 0.14 | 0.8911 |


| Number of Observations | Statistics for <br> System |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 22207 | Objective | 1.9984 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 44379 |
| Sum of Weights | 50151630 |  |  |

## Appendix 17.g:

Regression Models Underlying Table 7-12

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 9 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR |  |  |
| $=$ | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPeak_hDH65_Hr), <br> A_W(DIF_weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_hDH65_Hr_WKD)) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR $=$ | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_hDH65_HOUR), P_W(DIF_weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_hDH65_HOUR_WKD)) |  |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.CI_TOU_WINTER_LT20 |
| OUT $\boldsymbol{=}$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $\boldsymbol{=}$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 9 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(R_W) | 0.499491 |
| Object | 0.000047 |
| Trace(S) | 5161.832 |
| Objective Value | 1.999479 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 21095 |
| Solved | 21095 |

The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 5 | 21090 | 65599486 | 3110.5 | 55.7714 | 0.0198 | 0.0197 | 2.8651 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 21091 | 43265595 | 2051.4 | 45.2921 | 0.0836 | 0.0835 | 2.6059 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | t Value | Approx <br> Pr $>\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |
| A | 0.000261 | 0.00476 | 0.05 | 0.9563 |
| B | -0.00754 | 0.0130 | -0.58 | 0.5628 |
| C | 0.00683 | 0.00160 | 4.26 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | -0.23033 | 0.0161 | -14.30 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | -0.00927 | 0.00192 | -4.84 | $<.0001$ |
| P | -0.0001 | 0.00387 | -0.03 | 0.9788 |
| R | 0.005269 | 0.00170 | 3.10 | 0.0019 |
| P_W | -0.28572 | 0.0114 | -25.03 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00199 | 0.00119 | -1.67 | 0.0947 |


| Number of Observations |  | Statistics for <br> System |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 21095 | Objective | 1.9995 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 42179 |
| Sum of Weights | 137080789 |  |  |

The MODEL Procedure

| Model Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Model Variables | 2 |
| Parameters | 9 |
| Equations | 2 |
| Number of Statements | 2 |


| Model Variables | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |
| ---: | :--- |
| Parameters | A B C A_W C_W P R P_W R_W |
| Equations | DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR |


| The 2 Equations to Estimate |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR |  |  |
| $=$ | F(A(1), B(DIF_LN_PEAKP_OPEAKP_AVE), C(DIF_Peak_OPeak_hDH65_Hr), <br> A_W(DIF_weekend), C_W(DIF_Peak_OPeak_hDH65_Hr_WKD)) |  |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR = | F(P(1), R(DIF_DAILY_hDH65_HOUR), P_W(DIF_weekend), <br> R_W(DIF_DAILY_hDH65_HOUR_WKD)) |  |


| Observations will be weighted by | WEIGHT |
| :--- | :--- |

NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

The MODEL Procedure
SUR Estimation Summary

| Data Set Options |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DATA $=$ | F_INTER.CI_TOU_WINTER_GT20 |
| OUT $=$ | DATASET_1 |
| OUTEST $=$ | X |
| OUTS $=$ | S |


| Minimization Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Parameters Estimated | 9 |
| Method | Gauss |
| Iterations | 1 |


| Final Convergence <br> Criteria |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| R | 0 |
| PPC | 0 |
| RPC(C_W) | 0.691208 |
| Object | 0.000205 |
| Trace(S) | 1133.857 |
| Objective Value | 1.999208 |


| Observations <br> Processed |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Read | 23487 |
| Solved | 23487 |

C\&I TOU Rate, Track A GT20kW, Winter 2003-2004, All Customers, Whole Winter
The MODEL Procedure

| Nonlinear SUR Summary of Residual Errors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equation | DF <br> Model | DF <br> Error | SSE | MSE | Root MSE | R-Square | Adj <br> R-Sq | Durbin <br> Watson |
| DIF_LN_PEAKUSE_OPEAKUSE_HOUR | 5 | 23482 | 8905855 | 379.3 | 19.4747 | 0.0568 | 0.0566 | 2.7405 |
| DIF_LN_DAILYUSE_HR | 4 | 23483 | 17720131 | 754.6 | 27.4699 | 0.1178 | 0.1177 | 2.2830 |


| Nonlinear SUR Parameter Estimates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | Estimate | Approx <br> Std Err | Approx <br> t Value <br> Pr > $\|\mathbf{t}\|$ |  |
| A | -0.00116 | 0.00267 | -0.43 | 0.6647 |
| B | -0.07245 | 0.00873 | -8.30 | $<.0001$ |
| C | 0.00521 | 0.000905 | 5.76 | $<.0001$ |
| A_W | -0.20512 | 0.00960 | -21.36 | $<.0001$ |
| C_W | 0.000492 | 0.00108 | 0.46 | 0.6473 |
| P | -0.00134 | 0.00377 | -0.36 | 0.7226 |
| R | 0.005287 | 0.00168 | 3.14 | 0.0017 |
| P_W | -0.30269 | 0.0114 | -26.51 | $<.0001$ |
| R_W | -0.00887 | 0.00118 | -7.52 | $<.0001$ |


| Number of Observations | Statistics for <br> System |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Used | 23487 | Objective | 1.9992 |
| Missing | 0 | Objective*N | 46955 |
| Sum of Weights | 53133276 |  |  |


[^0]:    1 The Dalenius-Hodges procedure generates optimal stratification boundaries for a fixed number of strata within a homogenous population. Boundaries are optimal in the sense that the variance of the estimate for a given population parameter is minimized. In this instance, the technique was used to define a set of homogeneous sub-populations. Usually the stratifying variable (as is the case for this sample design) is a proxy value for the population parameter of interest. Peak-peiod demand is not known for residential customers, so summer average daily usage was used as a proxy.
    2 The Neyman Optimal allocation technique assigns sampling points to each stratum based on the percentage of the total population standard deviation of the parameter of interest represented by the stratum. Neyman allocation optimizes the fixed sample size (i.e. maximizes the precision). In practice, this technique tends to disproportionately allocate sample units to the high energy users because the variance in these strata is large compared to other strata. Daily average energy use was used as a proxy for the parameter of interest (i.e., energy use during the peak period).

[^1]:    3 Initially, the smart thermostat program was offered only to customers in SDG\&E's inland climate zone whose monthly summer consumption was at least 700 kWh . This resulted in a marketing list of approximately 60,000 customers. SDG\&E estimates that $50 \%$ of its inland customers have the use of a central air conditioner. Though SDG\&E only directly marketed to its inland customers, any residential customer was able to participate if they had central air conditioning. Because initial participation rates were lower than expected, SDG\&E reduced the required monthly summer consumption level down to 600 kWh . Lowering the summer monthly kWh threshold resulted in a target-marketing list of approximately 80,000 customers.

[^2]:    4 Those with summer daily usage less than 10 kWh (not enough load for having A/C), pumping and lighting SIC codes were excluded.
    5 The Smart thermostat program had been offered to about 68,000 customers with commercial SIC codes excluding government accounts, schools, all chain-affiliated customers, customers without 13 months of billing history, and those not meeting the summer/winter ratio of 1.2. Because of this and the opt-in nature of this program, this sample is not a representative sample of small C\&I population.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ These rates represent the part of your electric bill that changes under your new Shift \& Save Pricing Plan. You will be charged the same surcharges, receive the same discounts and pay the same taxes that you would pay on your current rate. Your new rates may be adjusted from time-to-time with Public Utility Commission approval, just as your current rate is. Paugill raçibefingorpation if a rate change adjustment is planned.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ The costs presented to operate the appliances shown in these tables are based on your new rates. Individual costs may vary depending on the model, age and efficiency of your own appliances.

[^5]:    EPRP CUSTONEA
    BBOG CENTURY PARK CT
    SANDIEGOCA E212)-9999

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Deaton, Angus S. and John Muellbauer. Economics and Consumer Behavior. Cambridge University Press, 1980 and Pollak, Robert A. and Terence J. Wales. Demand System Specification and Estimation. Oxford University Press, 1992.
    ${ }^{2}$ Marshall, Alfred. Principles of Economics. 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ edition, Macmillan \& Co. Ltd., 1922.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hicks, John R. Value and Capital. $2^{\text {nd }}$ edition, Oxford University Press, 1946.

[^7]:    ${ }^{4}$ For a general discussion of price elasticities and related concepts, consult Paul A. Samuelson and William D. Nordhaus, Economics, Sixteenth Edition, Irwin McGraw-Hill, 1998.

[^8]:    ${ }^{5}$ Samuelson, Paul A. Foundations of Economic Analysis. Harvard University Press, 1947.

[^9]:    ${ }^{6}$ For a discussion of OLS and other estimation methods, see Johnston, Jack and John DiNardo. Econometric Methods. Mc-Graw Hill, 1997.

[^10]:    ${ }^{7}$ Aigner, Dennis (editor). "Welfare Economics of Peak-load Pricing of Electricity. Journal of Econometrics, Annals 1984-3. North-Holland, 1984.

[^11]:    ${ }^{8}$ Another flexible functional form, the Translog, is well suited to modeling demand systems with "large" price elasticities. This functional form was used by a variety of researchers in a variety of TOU pricing experiments, and found to be unstable, since the underlying price elasticities are small.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ As a practical matter, since the SPP only used two time periods (peak and off-peak), only the elasticity of substitution between peak and off-peak usage was estimated. Thus, when applying the formulas noted below, we have to assume that $b_{12}=b_{32}$.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The equations presented in this Appendix are based on energy use for each rate period, rather than energy use per hour.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ The row and column labels in the matrix correspond to the coefficients in each model. Variable definitions corresponding to each letter vary some across models and are defined at the beginning of Appendices 16 and 17.

[^15]:    2 See, for example, Goldberger, "A Course in Econometrics", pp 102, 110; Greene, "Econometric Analysis," pp. 124, 278.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ The estimates based on weighted data are contained in the first row of Table 11-2.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Jack Johnston and John DiNardo, Econometric Methods, Fourth Edition, The McGraw-Hill Companies, 1997 or a similar reference.

[^17]:    ${ }^{3}$ The first difference seems to have over-corrected for serial correlation but not by much. Given the simplicity of the procedure, we believe that first differencing is the best course of action, rather than resorting to the more complex AR (1) process.
    ${ }^{4}$ Arnold Zellner, "An Efficient Method of Estimating Seemingly Unrelated Regressions and Tests for Aggregation Bias," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 57, 1962, 348-68.

[^18]:    1 The "infra-marginal price" is the amount paid by customers on a multi-part tariff for the electricity used up to the marginal block in which they are consuming. In the simplest case of a two-part tariff with a fixed and variable component, the infra-marginal price would equal the monthly fee. However, if the tariff has two tiers in addition to a fixed monthly charge, and the consumer's usage placed him or her on the second tier, the infra-marginal price would equal the fixed charge plus the marginal price of first-tier usage times the length of the tier.
    2 In the current instance, we would need to eliminate all of the July data from the demand models so that we could use it to calculate lagged prices.
    3 The marginal price varies with usage only when customers move across tiers. For any usage within a tier, the marginal price is constant. The average price, on the other hand, changes with each additional kWh usage even within a tier.

[^19]:    4 Low-income customers are eligible for a $20 \%$ discount on their monthly electric bill through a program called CARE, California Alternate Rates for Energy. For details about PG\&E's CARE programs, consult http://www.pge.com/care/.

[^20]:    5 Separate demand models were estimated using the average price for a customer at the midpoint of tier 1, tier 2 and tier 3. The results were generally similar, in terms of the overall goodness of fit of the regressions, as measured by the R-square values, and the magnitude and statistical significance of the price elasticities of demand. A decision was made to use Tier 3 prices since the "typical" customer for each utility lies in Tier 3.

[^21]:    NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

[^22]:    NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

[^23]:    *Note: In Summer regressions, Degree Hours refers to Cooling Degree Hours, Base 72 In Winter regressions, Degree Hours refers to Heating Degree Hours, Base 65.

[^24]:    NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met

[^25]:    NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

[^26]:    NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met

[^27]:    NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

[^28]:    NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

[^29]:    NOTE: At SUR Iteration 1 CONVERGE=0.001 Criteria Met.

