

San Diego Gas & Electric Marketing Programs & Planning 8335 Century Park Court San Diego, California 92123

1994 & 1995 Residential New Construction Program

Ninth Year Retention Evaluation

March 2004



Study ID Nos. 934 & 970

Table of Contents

Program Description	Ì
Sampling and Data Collection	
Measures/"Like" Measures	
Econometric Framework	
Table 6	(
Table 7	8
Measure Retention Survey	

1994 & 1995 RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION INCENTIVES: NINTH YEAR RETENTION EVALUATION

STUDY ID NOS. 934 & 970

Program Description

SDG&E's PY94 and PY95 Residential New Construction Program was designed to encourage new home builders to incorporate energy saving advanced building technologies and appliances that exceeded Title 24 State Building Energy Efficiency Standards. By so doing, developers were able to take advantage of conservation opportunities at the optimum time. All residential builders who exceeded the space cooling, space heating, or water heating standards of Title 24 by a minimum of five percent were eligible to participate in the program.

Financial incentives were provided to builders to help offset additional costs of installing the more energy-efficient measures of high performance glass, air conditioning, and R-19 wall insulation.

Sampling and Data Collection

Since incentives were provided to the new construction developers, actual residents in those homes may have been unaware of their program participation. In PY94 and PY95, SDG&E rebated 877 measures at 840 unique addresses. These addresses provided by the developer often were lot numbers without street numbers or street names. Since this was a new construction program, the homes were uninhabited at the time of participation so no customer name was provided.

Matching current residents with the data provided proved to be challenging. Several passes at the customer master file and looking up addresses in a "reverse" phone directory (sorted by street name, not customer name), created a database of 262 customers for the 1998 retention phone survey. Additional occupancy and research increased this database overtime. The database sizes are provided in the annual tally sheets at the end of the report

Program Description Page 1

The M&E Protocols require that retention studies evaluate the top 10 measures or 50% of the estimated resource value, whichever number of measures is less. The three measures in the Residential New Construction Program were 1) air conditioners with a SEER rating of 11.0 or greater; 2) high performance glass (tinted or dual paned); and 3) R-19 wall insulation. All three measures were evaluated for estimating their Effective Useful Life (EUL).

SDG&E contracted with CIC Research, Inc. to conduct telephone surveys on all identified participants in the program. A copy of the survey, tally sheets, and response rates are provided at the end of this study.

Measures/"Like" Measures

In order to apply any changes in EUL to measures not studied, M&E Protocols require that the utility identify any "like" measures within the program. For SDG&E's PY94 and PY95 Residential New Construction Program, all three measures in the program were evaluated, so there are no "like" measures.

Econometric Framework

Retention model for estimating median lifetime

The model for lifetime estimation involves the key concepts of the survivor function, the hazard function, and median lifetime. Once these concepts are established, they will be applied to the data and a maximum-likelihood framework (which brings the concepts and the data together) to produce estimated median lifetime.

The survivor function

For the lifetime of the equipment in question, the survivor function is,

$$S(j) = prob(lifetime \ge j)$$

It is the estimated survivor function that allows the formation of an expected median lifetime. Of course, the survivor function must be specified. This is done through a related function: the hazard function.

Measures/"Like" Measures Page 2

The hazard function

The hazard function h(j) is the probability of equipment failure (removal, retirement, etc.) in the next unit of time, conditioned on having reached age j. It bears the following relationship to the survivor function.

$$h(j) = -\frac{dS(j)/dj}{S(j)}$$

The hazard function is generally the "intuitive starting point" of any lifetime analysis, since it is structured to reflect the general pattern of equipment failures. The quadratic hazard function allows for U-shaped and linear hazard curves ($b_2 = 0$, below), as well as an exponential survivor function ($b_1 = b_2 = 0$, below) as special cases:¹

Equation 1 (The quadratic hazard function)

$$-\frac{dS(j)/dj}{S(j)} = h(j) = b_0 + b_1 j + b_2 j^2$$

Note that the hazard function is actually a differential equation in the survivor curve.

Getting the survivor function from the hazard function

The exact structure of the survivor function can be obtained by solving the hazard function (a differential equation in the survivor function) for S(j), imposing the constraint S(0)=1:

Equation 2 (The survivor function)

$$S(j) = e^{-(\beta_1 j + \beta_2 j^2 + \beta_3 j^3)} (\beta_1 = b_0, \beta_2 = \frac{b_1}{2}, \beta_3 = \frac{b_2}{3})$$

The median lifetime

The median age at failure m is then given by the implicit expression,

Equation 3 (Definition of the median m)

$$S(m) = e^{-(\beta_1 m + \beta_2 m^2 + \beta_3 m^3)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

We now show the steps necessary to estimate the median lifetime from actual data, by defining the "discrete failure function" and the likelihood function.

Econometric Framework Page 3

¹ Lawless, J.F. (1982). Statistical Models and Methods for Lifetime Data. New York: Wiley. 252-253.

The discrete failure function

For uniform periods of time (months), the likelihood of failure at age j (before age j+1) is,

Equation 4 (The discrete failure function)

$$F(j) = S(j) - S(j+1)$$

The data, the likelihood function, and estimation

Consider an equipment sample of size n. Let n_j^F be the number of known failures at age j, and let n^Q be the number of known failures whose age at failure is unknown; then the number of survivors by observation at age J is $n-n^Q-\sum_{j=0}^J n_j^F$. Furthermore, let ω be the likelihood that the age at failure is unknown, given failure. The log-likelihood function (the log of the likelihood of observing the data) is then,

$$L(\beta, \omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{J} n_{j}^{F} \log[(1-\omega)F(j)] + n^{Q} \log\{\omega[1-S(J+1)]\} + \left(n-n^{Q} - \sum_{j=0}^{J} n_{j}^{F}\right) \log S(J+1).$$

The log-likelihood function can be maximized with respect to its arguments just as a sum-of-squares function can be minimized in a standard regression problem. Standard numerical and grid-search methods can be used to maximize the log-likelihood function. Once estimates are obtained for the vector of coefficients β , the median lifetime can be estimated using Equation 3.

The estimated variance of β , on which the standard errors of its elements are based, is a fairly complex calculation and one which will not be expressly derived here, although the calculation is based on the expectation of the second-derivative matrix for the log-likelihood function:

$$VAR(\beta) = -\left(E \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'}\right)^{-1}$$

The estimated median is a nonlinear function of β ; as such, its standard error can be estimated dependably for large samples, based on VAR(β).

Solving data problems--developing independent and dependent failures

Lifetime estimation using maximum likelihood requires the statistical independence of failures. Sometimes equipment failures are indeed independent, as when failures occur due to age or manufacturing weaknesses. However, in many cases failures are not independent--that is, they

Econometric Framework Page 4

are "dependent"--as when, for example, a "cluster" or "bank" of lighting measures are jointly removed during a remodeling.

Independent failures can easily be handled using the maximum likelihood framework described above. Fortunately, dependent failures can also be handled in a similar fashion. A cluster of dependent failures can be viewed as an independent failure in its own right, one of numerous observed clusters, each of which is subject to the possibility of independent failure. The maximum likelihood framework can simply be applied to the clustered data.

Modeling and estimating with independent and dependent failures

When any one piece of equipment is subject to both independent and dependent failure, the hazard function can be modified accordingly (ignoring the event of both types of failures occurring jointly):

$$h(j) = h_{ind}(j) + h_{dep}(j)$$

Independent failures are bound to be age-dependent, so that,

$$h_{ind}(j) = b_0^{ind} + b_1 j + b_2 j^2$$

Dependent failures are mostly likely age-independent (with respect to the building-remodeling effect, we expect the age of the equipment to be irrelevant), so that,

$$h_{dep}(j) = b_0^{dep}$$

This yields a new survivor function (and, implicitly, a new median life that can be estimated based on the joint use of independent and dependent failure data):

$$S(i) = e^{-[(\beta_1^{ind} + \beta_1^{dep})j + \beta_2 j^2 + \beta_3 j^3]}$$

The variance matrix for the joint estimation problem can be constructed, as can the standard error for the jointly estimated median lifetime, represented by the expression,

$$S(m) = e^{-\left[\left(\beta_1^{ind} + \beta_1^{dep}\right)_{j} + \beta_2 m^2 + \beta_3 m^3\right]} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Econometric Framework Page 5

M&E PROTOCOLS TABLE 6 RESULTS USED TO SUPPORT PY94 FOURTH EARNINGS CLAIM

FOR

RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM NINTH YEAR RETENTION EVALUATION MARCH 2004

STUDY ID NOS. 934 & 970

TABLE 6 for RETENTION STUDIES

PROGRAM: Residential New Construction

YEAR(S): PY94 & PY95

1. Enduse	1. Measure	2. ex- ante EUL	2. ex-ante EUL Source	,	4. ex-post EUL for 3rd & 4th claim Per Protocols		5. Standard Error		lower bounds	7. P Value	8. Realization Rate	9. "Like" Measures to be Adjusted
Space Cond.	A/C SEER 11.0	18	**	539.1	539.1	18	300.5	154.0	924.2	8.3%	29.9	none
Space Cond.	A/C SEER 11.1	18	**	297.3	297.3	18	80.3	194.3	400.3	0.1%	16.5	none
Space Cond.	High Performance Glass	20	***	193.3	193.3	20	86.9	82.0	304.6	4.6%	9.7	none
Space Cond.	R-19 Wall Insulation	20	***	873.2	873.2	20	506.5	224.1	1,522.4	9.2%	43.7	none

*M&E Protocols Appendix "F"

**Advice Letter filing 926-E-A/934-G-A: March 23, 1995

*** Custom Job: Engineering Judgement

Note: NA indicates that no failures were observed

M&E PROTOCOLS TABLE 7 DATA QUALITY AND PROCESSING DOCUMENTATION

FOR

RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM NINTH YEAR RETENTION EVALUATION MARCH 2004

STUDY ID NOS. 934 & 970

M&E PROTOCOLS TABLE 7

DATA QUALITY AND PROCESSING DOCUMENTATION

For Residential New Construction Program

Ninth Year Retention Evaluation

March 2004

Study ID Nos. 934 & 970

1. OVERVIEW INFORMATION

- a. **Study Title and Study ID:** 1994 and 1995 Residential New Construction Program Ninth Year Retention Evaluation, March 2004, Study ID Nos. 934 & 970.
- b. **Program, Program Year(s), and Program Description (Design):** Residential New Construction Program for the 1994 and 1995 program years. The Program was designed to encourage new homebuilders to incorporate energy saving advanced building technologies and appliances that exceeded Title 24 State Building Energy Efficiency.
- c. **End Uses and Measures Covered:** Space Conditioning; three measures: high performance glass, air conditioners, and R-19 wall insulation.
- d. **Methods and Models Used:** See the section of the report entitled Econometric Framework for a complete description of the final model specifications.

e. Analysis sample size:

Program Year	Measure	# of Customers in Program	# of Installations in Program	# of Measures Installed in Program	# of Measures in Sample Frame	Date of Retention Studies
94&95	A/C SEER 11+	362	362	362	101 301 258 226 216 199	July-98 Nov - Dec 99 Aug - 00 Aug - Sep 01 May - June 02 May - Aug 03
94&95	Hi Perf Glass	94	94	94	10 49 39 23 23 23	July-98 Nov - Dec 99 Aug - 00 Aug - Sep 01 May - June 02 May - Aug 03
94&95	R-19 wall ins	421	421	421	8 110 91 71 78 73	July-98 Nov - Dec 99 Aug - 00 Aug - Sep 01 May - June 02 May - Aug 03

2. <u>DATABASE MANAGEMENT</u>

- a. **Data sources:** the data came from the following sources:
 - Lot number, installed measures, and participation date from the program tracking database
 - Customer name, address, phone number, from master file and "reverse" phone directory
 - Measures were determined to be in place and operable by the phone survey described in the section of the report entitled Sampling and Data Collection.

The data were merged together to form the dataset for the econometric analysis leading to the estimated Effective Useful Life

- b. **Data Attrition:** 877 measures were installed at 840 unique addresses (lot numbers) in the program. Matching lot numbers to current residents produced a database. Multiple attempts were made to contact the customers by phone. A copy of the survey, tally sheets, and response rates are provided at the end of this study.
- c. **Data Quality Checks:** The data sets for the regression analysis were merged in SAS by the appropriate key variables. Counts of the data sets before and after the merges were verified to ensure accurate merging.
- d. All data collected for this analysis was utilized.

3. SAMPLING

- a. Sampling procedures and protocols: A census of PY94 and PY95 was attempted. Because the program participants were developers and builders, participating units were identified by lot numbers, not street addresses and before occupancy took place. Attempting to identify current occupants in those dwellings without street addresses proved challenging. See the section of the report entitled Sampling and Data Collection and 2b above for a detailed description.
- b. **Survey information:** A copy of the SDG&E New Construction Survey is attached at the end of the report. Survey completed response rate and reasons for non-completed surveys are provided at the end of the report.
- c. **Statistical Descriptions:** See Failure Distribution Table provided in Section 4.c

4. DATA SCREENING AND ANALYSIS

a. Outliers and Missing Data Points: No outliers and no missing data.

b. Background Variables: NA

c. Screened Data: In the following failure distribution table,

NN =the quantity of the measure studied

NQ = the number of observed failures whose age at failure is unknown

NF = the number of observed failures whose age at failure is known

ND = the number of measures still in place and operable

FAILURE DISTRIBUTION TABLE

DATUM	DESCRIPTOR	AGE (MONTHS)
81	NN94	NA
5	NQ94	107
76	ND94	107
86	NN95	NA
0	NQ95	95
86	ND95	95
27_RNC_IND_Windows.xlsindependent		

DATUM	DESCRIPTOR	AGE (MONTHS)
329	NN94	NA
3	NQ94	107
326	ND94	107
104	NN95	NA
0	NQ95	95
104	ND95	95
28_RNC_IND_WallInsul.xlsindependent		

DATUM	DESCRIPTOR	AGE (MONTHS)
197	NN94	NA
2	NQ94	107
195	ND94	107
96	NN95	NA
1	NQ95	95
95	ND95	95
29_RNC_IND_ACSEER1.xlsindependent		

DATUM	DESCRIPTOR	AGE (MONTHS)
365	NN94	NA
8	NQ94	107
357	ND94	107
338	NN95	NA
5	NQ95	95
333	ND95	95
29_RNC_IND_ACSEER2.xlsindependent		

d. Model statistics: See M&E Protocol Table 6.

e. Specification:

	Type of Da	ta Used	Type of Specification Used			
				Combination		
	Independent	Dependent	Exponential	Linear	Linear/Exponential	
Study	Failures	Failures	Specification	Specification	Specification	
RNC	X		X			

1) **Heterogeneity:** See section of the report entitled "Econometric Framework."

2) **Omitted Factors:** None omitted.

f. Error in Measuring Variables: NA.

g. Influential Data Points: None.

h. Missing Data: None.

i. **Precision:** The calculation for the standard error is based on the expectation of the second-derivative matrix for the log-likelihood function.

MEASURE RETENTION SURVEY FOR

RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM NINTH YEAR RETENTION EVALUATION MARCH 2004

STUDY ID NOS. 934 & 970

SDG&E Residential New Construction

July-98 Nov - Dec 99 Aug - 00 Aug - Sep 01 May - June 02 May - Aug 03

Merge # Hello. This is calling on behalf of SDG&E. We're conducting a brief survey to determine if energy efficiency measures placed in newly built homes are still in place. Have I reached the residence at (address)? According to SDG&E records, you moved into a home that was built in 1994 or 1995. Is that correct? (IF YES, CONTINUE. IF NO, PROBE TO BE CERTAIN YOU DON'T HAVE THE RIGHT HOUSEHOLD.)	
As you may or may not know, the contractor who built your home participated in an SDG&E energy efficiency program which included (READ ITEMS CHECKED BELOW)	
1 dual-paned or tinted windows	a
2 wall insulation	b
<u>3</u> energy-efficient central air conditioning	c
The California PUC requires that SDG&E contact customers who have participated in their energy efficiency programs to see if the items are still in place. Therefore, I have just a couple of quick questions to ask you. (ASK FOR ITEMS CHECKED ABOVE) 1. First, have you replaced any of the windows that were originally installed in the house?	
 1 yes (CONTINUE) 2 no (SKIP TO Q2) 1a. Did you replace them with windows which were tinted or double paned, or just ordinary glass? 	1
1 tinted or double paned 2 ordinary glass 9 DK 1b. When did you replace them? month & year/	2
 Is the wall insulation that was originally installed still in place, or has some remodeling or construction taken place which removed some of that original insulation? 	3 4
1 yes, all insulation is still in place (SKIP TO Q3)	

2 no (EXPLAIN)	-
2a. When did that construction take place? month & year/	5
3. Is the central air conditioning that was installed when the house was new splace and operable?	till in
 1 yes, in place and operable (SKIP TO CLOSING) 2 yes, in place but not operable (CONTINUE) 3 no, not in place (CONTINUE) 	6
3a. When was it removed or when did it become inoperable?	
month & year /	7
Those are all my questions. Thanks so much for your cooperation.	

SDG&E's PY94&PY95 New Residential Construction Study Tally of Call Results - 1998

Call Result	Nos.	%
Number not in service	47	17.9
Business number	28	10.7
Wrong number	21	8.0
Refusal	15	5.7
Answering machine	14	5.3
No answer	7	2.7
Other language	5	1.9
Respondent never available	2	0.8
Callback	2	0.8
Busy Number	2	0.8
Completed interviews	119	45.4
TOTAL	262	100.0

SDG&E's PY94&PY95 New Residential Construction Study Tally of Call Results - 1999

	19	94		<u> 1995</u>
Call Result	No.	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
Number not in service	20	5.7	25	9.0
Business number	12	3.4	15	5.4
Other language	5	1.4	1	
Wrong number	40	11.5	36	13.0
Refusals	10	2.9	9	3.2
Answering Machine	3	0.8	7	2.5
No answer	2	0.6	5	1.8
Busy line	2	0.6	1	
Completed interviews	<u>255</u>	<u>73.1</u>	<u>181</u>	<u>65.1</u>
Total	349	100.0	278	100.0

SDG&E's PY94&PY95 Residential New Construction Study Tally of Call Results - 2000

	<u>1994</u>		<u> 1995</u>	
Call Result	No.	<u>%</u>	No.	<u>%</u>
Number not in service	53	14.0	31	10.7
Business number	25	6.6	17	5.9
Other language	2	0.5	1	0.3
Wrong number	31	8.2	26	9.0
Refusals	30	7.9	23	8.0
Answering machine	12	3.2	10	3.5
No answer	16	4.2	7	2.4
Callbacks	3	0.8	2	0.7
Busy line	2	0.5	4	1.4
Completed interviews	205	54.1	168	58.1
Total	379	100.0	289	100.0

SDG&E PY94&PY95 Residential New Construction Study Tally of Call Results - 2001

	<u>1994</u>		<u>1995</u>	
Call Result	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
Number not in service	62	17.1	47	16.5
Business number	21	5.8	19	6.7
Other language	5	1.4	1	0.4
Wrong number	64	17.7	36	12.6
Refusals	21	5.8	21	7.4
Answering machine	10	2.8	4	1.4
No answer	11	3.0	8	2.7
Callbacks	1	0.3	2	0.7
Busy line	4	1.1	6	2.1
Completed interviews	163	45.0	141	49.5
Total	362	100.0	285	100.0

SDG&E PY94&PY95 Residential New Construction Study Tally of Call Results - 2002

	<u>1994</u>		<u>1995</u>	
Call Result	No.	<u>%</u>	No.	<u>%</u>
Number not in service	78	18.7	73	24.7
Business number	22	5.3	19	6.4
Other language	15	3.6	7	2.4
Wrong number	71	17.0	36	12.2
Refusals	26	6.3	15	5.1
Answering machine	10	2.4	16	5.4
No answer	10	2.4	3	1.0
Callbacks	1	0.2	1	0.3
Busy line	1	0.2	1	0.3
Completed interviews	183	43.9	125	42.2
Total	417	100.0	296	100.0

SDG&E PY94&PY95 Residential New Construction Study Tally of Call Results - 2003

	<u>1994</u>		<u>1995</u>	
Call Result	No.	<u>%</u>	No.	<u>%</u>
Number not in service	48	13.3	38	14.6
Business number	7	1.9	12	4.6
Other language	6	1.7	9	3.5
Wrong number	37	10.3	19	7.3
Refusals	54	15.0	23	8.9
Answering machine	23	6.4	21	8.1
No answer	20	5.5	14	5.4
Callbacks	1	0.3	1	0.4
Busy line	2	0.6	3	1.1
Completed interviews	162	45.0	120	46.1
Total	360	100.0	260	100.0